

## MASTER'S THESIS

# Physiological and Molecular Responses of the Massive Coral *Dipsastraea rotumana* to Heat and Hypo-saline Stresses

HU, Juntong

*Date of Award:*  
2021

[Link to publication](#)

### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

# Abstract

Coral reefs around the world have declined due to their exposure to global and regional stressors. However, corals of different growth forms differ in their sensitivity to stressors. Most previous studies of coral responses to stressors have used branching corals as the experimental models, while corals of massive growth form have received very little attention because they are in general considered to be more tolerant to environmental stressors. The objective of my MPhil thesis was to study the effects of high temperature and low salinity on the massive scleractinian coral *Dipsastraea rotumana* in the northern South China Sea, as these two environmental stressors have been known to cause coral bleaching in subtropical regions in recent years. The coral fragments were exposed to either high temperature or low salinity in the laboratory, then determined the physiological and molecular responses of *D. rotumana* and its endosymbiotic zooxanthellae (*Cladocopium* sp.).

When exposed to 32°C for thirty days, *D. rotumana* exhibited a 4.54% reduction in photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_v/F_M$ ) in the first ten days, but this parameter became relatively stable in the following days. Cell density of zooxanthellae and chlorophyll *a* concentration fluctuated between  $3.96 \pm 0.15 \times 10^6$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $5.51 \pm 0.11 \times 10^6$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> and between  $7.47 \pm 0.21$  µg/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $10.79 \pm 0.30$  µg/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Both values showed a similar changing trend, with the lowest point appearing on day 20. Compared to other species of scleractinian corals, *D. rotumana* displayed higher tolerance to the elevated temperature, with no apparent discoloration occurring even after 30 days of exposure. The high resistance to thermal stress might be related to an up-regulation in the expression of genes involved in immunity and cytoskeleton. In the zooxanthellae, genes that participated in the photosystems were significantly down-regulated on day 10, congruent with the physiological responses.

The responses of *D. rotumana* to low salinity exposure depended on the salinity levels. Photosynthetic efficiencies in the 21 psu and 25 psu treatments were similar, and they fluctuated more when compared to the 29 psu treatment and the normal salinity control (33 psu). Zooxanthellae cell density and chlorophyll *a* concentration of corals in the 21 psu and 25 psu treatments were also lower when compared to the 29 PSU treatment and the control. Notably, these compromised physiological conditions occurred only after 20 days of exposure to the low salinity treatments, indicating the species is tolerant to this environmental stressor. At the molecular level, the hypo-saline stress mainly caused an up-regulation of genes involved in the antioxidation network and specific response of genes involved in amino acid metabolism and transport. Nevertheless, the zooxanthellae cells were less affected by the hypo-saline stress than by the thermal stress.

In conclusion, this study revealed the high-tolerance of the massive coral *D. rotumana* to high temperature and low salinity stressors. As one of the first comprehensive transcriptomic analyses of the responses of massive coral species and their algal symbionts to the two stressors, this study provides insights into the potential responses of massive scleractinian corals to future global warming and flooding events.

# Table of contents

DECLARATION .....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgments .....	iii
Table of contents.....	iv
List of tables.....	vii
List of figures.....	viii
Chapter 1 General Introduction .....	1
1.1 Research background.....	1
1.2 Objective and purpose .....	7
1.3 Materials and methods .....	8
1.3.1 Sampling and stock information .....	8
1.3.2 Laboratory experimental system .....	8
1.3.3 Measuring physiological variables.....	9
1.3.3.1 Photosynthetic efficiency .....	9
1.3.3.2 Coral tissue analysis .....	10
1.3.3.3 Coral color analysis .....	11
1.3.3.4 Statistical analyses and visualization.....	11
1.3.4 Transcriptomic analysis .....	11
1.3.4.1 Construction and sequencing of transcriptome libraries .....	11
1.3.4.2 Transcriptome assembly and annotation .....	12
1.3.4.3 Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and functional analysis .....	13
Chapter 2 Responses of <i>Dipsastraea rotumana</i> to heat stress.....	16
2.1 Introduction.....	16
2.2 Experimental design .....	18
2.3 Results.....	19
2.3.1 Physiological responses .....	19
2.3.2 Information on the transcripts .....	20
2.3.3 Coral host transcriptomic responses to heat stress.....	20
2.3.3.1 Differential gene expression and functional analyses .....	20
2.3.3.2 Involvement of oxidative stress genes.....	22
2.3.3.3 Involvement of metabolism related genes .....	24

2.3.3.4	Involvement of genes functioning in tissue integrity and immunity ..	24
2.3.3.5	Specific stress responses in the coral lineage .....	25
2.3.4	Zooxanthellae responses to heat stress.....	26
2.3.4.1	Differential gene expression and functional analyses .....	26
2.3.4.2	Involvement of stress response genes.....	27
2.3.4.3	Involvement of growth and metabolism related genes.....	29
2.3.4.4	Photosynthesis related gene responses .....	31
2.4	Discussion.....	34
2.4.1	Physiological responses .....	34
2.4.2	Thermal stress responses of the coral host.....	35
2.4.2.1	Coral antioxidation network.....	35
2.4.2.2	Metabolism regulation.....	37
2.4.2.3	Tissue integrity and immune responses.....	37
2.4.2.4	Mechanisms to prevent exocytosis of symbiont.....	38
2.4.3	Thermal stress response of the algal symbiont .....	40
2.4.3.1	Zooxanthellae antioxidant network .....	40
2.4.3.2	Cell cycle in thermally stressed symbiont cells.....	41
2.4.3.3	Fatty acid desaturation and metabolism .....	43
2.4.3.4	Photosynthesis .....	45
Chapter 3	Responses of <i>Dipsastraea rotumana</i> to low salinity stress.....	63
3.1	Introduction.....	63
3.2	Experimental design .....	66
3.3	Results.....	67
3.3.1	Physiological responses of the hypo-saline stressed corals .....	67
3.3.2	Transcriptomic responses in the coral host .....	69
3.3.2.1	Differential gene expression and functional analysis.....	69
3.3.2.2	Involvement of oxidative stress and osmoregulation related genes ...	70
3.3.2.3	Involvement of proteolysis genes.....	71
3.3.2.4	Involvement of betaine and glutamate catabolism .....	72
3.3.2.5	Specific stress responses in the coral lineage .....	73
3.3.3	Zooxanthellae responses .....	73
3.3.3.1	Differential gene expression and functional analysis.....	73
3.3.3.2	Involvement of photosynthesis related genes.....	74
3.3.3.3	Involvement of translation related ribosomal proteins .....	75
3.4	Discussion.....	77

3.4.1 Physiological responses .....	77
3.4.2 Hypo-saline stress response of the coral host .....	78
3.4.2.1 Common responses.....	79
3.4.2.2 Osmoregulation and transportation .....	80
3.4.3 Hypo-saline stress responses of the algal symbiont.....	81
Chapter 4 General conclusions and discussion.....	101
4.1 General conclusions .....	101
4.2 Implications and perspectives .....	102
References.....	104
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	123

## List of tables

<b>Table 2.1</b> Comparison of the linear regression equation and coefficients for photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_V/F_M$ ) for coral nubbins under control (25°C) and high-temperature (32°C) treatments. ....	47
<b>Table 2.2</b> Symbiotic algae density (cells/cm <sup>2</sup> ) of <i>D. rotumana</i> under control (25°C) and high-temperature (32°C) treatments.....	48
<b>Table 2.3</b> Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) of <i>D. rotumana</i> under control (25°C) and high-temperature (32°C) treatments.....	49
<b>Table 2.4</b> Coral color of <i>D. rotumana</i> under control (25°C) and high-temperature (32°C) treatments. The mean intensity of gray ranges from 0 to 255 and the value of 255 is equivalent to white. ....	50
<b>Table 3.1</b> Comparison of the linear regression equation and coefficients for photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_V/F_M$ ) for coral nubbins under control (25°C) and high-temperature (32°C) treatments.....	83
<b>Table 3.2</b> Symbiotic algae density (cells/cm <sup>2</sup> ) of <i>D. rotumana</i> under control (33 psu) and low-salinity (29/25/21 psu) treatments. ....	84
<b>Table 3.3</b> Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) of <i>D. rotumana</i> under control (33 psu) and low-salinity (29/25/21 psu) treatments. ....	85
<b>Table 3.4</b> Coral color of <i>D. rotumana</i> under control (33 psu) and low-salinity (29/25/21 psu) treatments. The mean intensity of gray ranges from 0 to 255 and the value of 255 is equivalent to white. ....	86
<b>Table 3.5</b> Illustration of the DEG numbers (FDR<0.05) in coral host at different time points and salinity conditions.....	87
<b>Table 3.6</b> Illustration of the DEG numbers (FDR<0.05) in algal symbiont cells at different time points and salinity conditions. ....	88

## List of figures

- Figure 1.1** Diagram of the exposure tank..... 14
- Figure 1.2** Image analysis of coral color. (A) Images were calibrated and integrated into a measuring scale and each selected area was expressed in mm<sup>2</sup>. (B) Note the mean intensity of gray in each photo, with bleaching severity corresponding to higher pixel values. A value of 0 is taken to be black and a value of 255 is equivalent to white..... 15
- Figure 2.1** Temporal changes in photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_V/F_M$ ) of *D. rotumana* under control (a) and high-temperature (b) treatment. The data on day 0 represents the average measurements of the acclimation period. All data are means and S.E. (n=6). Before applying heat stress (during acclimation), all colonies of *D. rotumana* had similar photosynthetic efficiencies ( $F_V/F_M = \sim 0.62$ ). The red line displays the change in temperature during the experiment. .... 51
- Figure 2.2** (a) Symbiotic algae density (cells/cm<sup>2</sup>) of *D. rotumana* under control (black points) and high-temperature (white points) treatments. Points and error bars represent means and S.E., respectively, for nine replicates per treatment group (the three biological replicates were separated into three sub-replicates). Before exposed to the salinity stress (during acclimation), all nubbins of *D. rotumana* had approximately equivalent symbiotic algae density ( $\mu = 5.59 \pm 0.19 \times 10^6$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup>). (b) Chlorophyll *a* concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) of *D. rotumana* under control (black points) and high-temperature (white points) treatments. Points and error bars represent means and S.E., respectively, for twelve replicates per treatment group (the three replicates were separated into four sub-replicates). Before exposed to the salinity stress (during acclimation), all nubbins of *D. rotumana* had approximately equivalent chlorophyll *a* concentration ( $\mu = 10.47 \pm 0.27 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). Redline shows the change of temperature in the high-temperature treatment group. .... 52
- Figure 2.3** Photograph of *D. rotumana* fragments in the control (A) and heat stress treatment (B) taken at day 30. At the end of the heat stress exposure, no conspicuous bleaching phenomenon was observed in both

groups.....	53
<b>Figure 2.4</b> Principal component analysis (performed by DEGs; FDR<0.05) showing the influence of high-temperature and time on gene expression of <i>D. rotumana</i> .....	54
<b>Figure 2.5</b> Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed coral genes detected in contrasts between control and heat treatment group at different time points.....	55
<b>Figure 2.6</b> Heatmap illustration of the differentially expressed genes involved in stress response and metabolism (FDR<0.05) in <i>D. rotumana</i> on day 10, day 20, and day 30. Data are displayed as log <sub>2</sub> FC relative to control; if a gene exhibited significant differential expression at any time point, its log <sub>2</sub> FC at all time points were shown above. (A) Differential expression of genes involved in antioxidant network (enzymes and non-enzymatic antioxidants), molecular chaperones, and selected ubiquitin-proteasome pathway components. (B) Differential expression of transcripts encoding carbonic anhydrases, membrane transport proteins from solute carrier groups, and ribosomal proteins. (C) Differential expression of metabolism genes.....	57
<b>Figure 2.7</b> Expression heatmaps of differentially expressed genes (FDR<0.05) associated with coral tissue integrity, immunity, and specific stress response to coral lineage in thermally stressed <i>D. rotumana</i> at Day10, Day20, and Day30. Data are displayed as log <sub>2</sub> FC relative to control; if a gene exhibited significant differential expression at any time point, it was shown above. (A) Differential expression of genes involved in tissue integrity and immunity. (B) Differential expression of GFP-like protein and small cysteine protein genes. ....	58
<b>Figure 2.8</b> Principal component analysis (performed by DEGs; FDR<0.05) showing the influence of high-temperature on gene expression of algal symbionts. ....	59
<b>Figure 2.9</b> Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed symbiotic algae genes detected in contrasts between control and heat treatment groups. ....	60
<b>Figure 2.10</b> Expression heatmap of selected differentially expressed genes in <i>Cladocopium</i> sp. cells exposed to thermal stress at Day10, Day20, and	



Day30. (A) Differential expression of antioxidant defenses. (B) Differential expression of genes participating in the cell cycle. (C) Differential expression of metabolic genes. (D) Differential expression of genes involved in UPP. (E) Differential expression of genes involved in photosynthesis.....61

**Figure 3.1** Temporal changes in photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_v/F_M$ ) of *Dipsastraea rotumana* under control (a) and low-salinity (b: 29 psu, c: 25 psu, d: 21 psu) treatments. The data on day 0 means the average measurement of acclimation period; points and error bars represent means and S.E. for six replicates per treatment group. Before exposed to the salinity stress (during acclimation), all nubbins of *D. rotumana* had approximately equivalent photosynthetic efficiency ( $F_v/F_M = \sim 0.62$ ). Blue line displays the change of salinity. ....89

**Figure 3.2** Symbiotic algae density (cells/cm<sup>2</sup>) of *D. rotumana* under control (a) and low-salinity ((b) 29 psu; (c) 25 psu; (d) 21 psu) treatments. Points and error bars represent means and S.E. for nine replicates per treatment group (the three replicates were separated into three sub-replicates). Before exposed to the salinity stress (during acclimation), all nubbins of *D. rotumana* had approximately equivalent algal symbiont density ( $\mu = 5.50 \pm 0.22 \times 10^6$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup>). Blue lines display the change of salinity in different groups.....90

**Figure 3.3** Chlorophyll *a* concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) of *D. rotumana* under control (a) and low-salinity (b: 29 psu, c: 25 psu, d: 21 psu) treatments. Points and error bars represent means and S.E. for twelve replicates per treatment group (the three replicates were separated into four sub-replicates). Before exposed to the salinity stress (during acclimation), all nubbins of *D. rotumana* had approximately equivalent chlorophyll *a* concentration ( $\mu = 10.48 \pm 0.26 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). Blue line means the change of salinity in different treatment groups.....91

**Figure 3.4** *Dipsastraea rotumana* coral fragments color of the three salinity exposure treatments and control group on day1 (left) and day30 (right). (A)-(B) control group; (C)-(D) 29 psu treatment group; (E)-(F) 25 psu treatment group; (G)-(H) 21 psu treatment group. ....92

**Figure 3.5** Principal component analysis (performed by DEGs; FDR<0.05)

showing the strong effects of different salinity conditions had on gene expression of *D. rotumana*.....93

**Figure 3.6** Heatmap illustration of differentially expressed antioxidant, transport, and ERAD-associated genes (FDR<0.05) in *D. rotumana* at day 10, day 20, and day 30. Data are displayed as log<sub>2</sub>FC relative to control; if a gene exhibited significant differential expression at any time point, it was shown above, and the blank means the gene is not significant. (A) Differential expression of genes related to antioxidant network. (B) Differential expression of genes involved in the transport of ions or some organic molecules. (C) Differential expression of genes related to proteolysis within the ER. (D) Differential expression of GFP-like protein genes. (A)-(C): scale bar ranging from -3 to 3. (D): scale bar ranging from -5 to 5. ....94

**Figure 3.7** Expression of genes involved in amino acid metabolism under hypo-saline stress at different time points. Colors represent up-regulated (red), down-regulated (blue) and mixed (purple) genes (FDR < 0.05). When a gene showed significantly differential expression at any time points no matter which hypo-saline treatment group it was from, it was shown above. (Adapted from Aguilar et al., 2019 Figure. 3). ....96

**Figure 3.8** Principal component analysis (performed by DEGs; FDR<0.05) showing different salinity conditions had little effect on gene expression of algal symbionts.....98

**Figure 3.9** Heatmap illustration of differentially expressed photosynthesis, ribosomal protein, and major basic nuclear genes (FDR<0.05) in algal symbiont at day 10, day 20, and day 30. Data are displayed as log<sub>2</sub>FC relative to control; if a gene exhibited significant differential expression at any time point, it was shown above, and the blank means the gene is not significant. (A) Differential expression of genes involved in photosynthesis. (B) Differential expression of transcripts encoding ribosomal proteins. (C) Differential expression of major basic nuclear protein. ....99