

The 2014 summer coral bleaching event in subtropical Hong Kong

Xie, James Y.; Lau, Dickey C. C.; Kei, Keith; Yu, Vriko P. F.; Chow, Wing-Kuen; Qiu, Jian-Wen

Published in:
Marine Pollution Bulletin

DOI:
[10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.061)

Published: 30/11/2017

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Xie, J. Y., Lau, D. C. C., Kei, K., Yu, V. P. F., Chow, W-K., & Qiu, J-W. (2017). The 2014 summer coral bleaching event in subtropical Hong Kong. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 124(2), 653-659.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.061>

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent publication URLs

Authors

James Y. Xie, Dickey C.C. Lau, Keith Kei, Vriko P. F. Yu, Wing-Kuen Chow, and Jian-Wen Qiu

1
2
3
4
5 **The 2014 summer coral bleaching event in subtropical Hong Kong**
6

7
8 James Y. Xie^a, Dickey C.C. Lau^b, Keith Kei^c, Vriko P.F. Yu^c, Wing-kuen Chow^{b,*}, Jian-
9
10 Wen Qiu^{a,*}
11
12

13
14 ^a Department of Biology, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, P.R. China
15

16
17 ^b Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, Hong Kong SAR Government, P.R.
18
19
20 China
21

22
23 ^c College of International Education, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, P.R. China
24
25

26
27 *Corresponding authors:
28

29
30 Jian-Wen Qiu, Department of Biology, Hong Kong Baptist University, 224 Waterloo Road,
31
32 Hong Kong; E-mail: qiuwjw@hkbu.edu.hk; Phone: +852-34117055
33

34
35
36 Wing-kuen Chow, Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, Hong Kong SAR
37
38 Government, Hong Kong; E-mail: wk_chow@afcd.gov.hk; Phone: 2150 6890
39
40

1
2
3
4
5 **Abstract**
6
7

8
9 We reported a coral bleaching event that occurred in August-September 2014 in Hong Kong
10 waters based on video transect surveys conducted at eight sites. The bleaching affected eight
11 species of corals with different growth forms. Bleaching at seven of the eight study sites was
12 minor, affecting only 0.4–5.2% colonies and 0.8–10.0% coral-covered area. Sharp Island East,
13 however, suffered from a moderate level of bleaching, with 13.1% colonies and 30.1% coral-
14 covered area affected. Examination of the government’s environmental monitoring data
15 indicated that abnormal water quality conditions preceding and during the bleaching event.
16 Follow-up field surveys of tagged colonies showed that 76% of them had fully recovered, 12%
17 partially recovered, and 12% suffered from mortality. These results indicate that the subtropical
18 corals of Hong Kong are not immune to bleaching, and there is a need to study their responses
19 under climate change scenarios. (141 words)
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 *Keywords:* global climate change, coral bleaching, high temperature, hypoxia, *Porites*, *Platygyra*
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 **1. Introduction**
6
7

8
9 Coral bleaching refers to coral tissue whitening due to the loss of endosymbiont
10 zooxanthellae or pigments in these symbiotic algae (Glynn, 1983; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999). Over
11 the last several decades, large-scale bleaching events have been increasing reported in reefs
12 around the world, especially the 1982–1983 events in the Caribbean (Glynn, 1991), the 1997-
13 1998 events in the Indian Ocean, Caribbean, and tropical Pacific (Wilkinson, 1998), and the
14 2010 events in the Caribbean (Alemu and Clement, 2014) and tropical Pacific (Doshi *et al.*, 2012;
15 Sutthacheep *et al.*, 2012). Such large-scale bleaching incidences have caused mass coral
16 mortality and large scale degradation of reefs. For instance, the 1997-1998 bleaching events have
17 reduced 16% coral cover around the world, with up to 90% loss in coral cover in some reefs in
18 the Indian Ocean (Wilkinson, 1998).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 To date, most coral bleaching events have been reported from tropical reefs. Among the few
34 reports of coral bleaching on subtropical reefs, the affected area was usually small and the impact
35 minor (e.g., Loya *et al.* 2001; Celliers and Schleyer, 2002; Dalton and Carroll, 2011; Harrison *et*
36 *al.*, 2011; Abdo *et al.*, 2012). However, such small-scale bleaching events should not be
37 overlooked due to the following reasons: 1, subtropical corals are living under marginal
38 environmental conditions for reef development with slow growth rates and variable recruitment
39 success, therefore their recovery from bleaching disturbance is expected to be slow (Harrison *et*
40 *al.*, 2011; Hoey *et al.*, 2011; Yang and Goodkin, 2014); 2, many subtropical coral reefs are
41 already under the threats of multiple anthropogenic stressors (e.g., sewage pollution, tourism and
42 sedimentation due to coastal development), therefore bleaching may interact with these stressors
43 to escalate the damage (Ang *et al.*, 2005; Berger *et al.*, 2011); 3, global climate change may
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 result in more frequent extreme weather conditions that can cause bleaching in subtropical corals,
6 therefore the cumulative bleaching effects should be considered (Berger *et al.*, 2014); 4, rising
7 sea temperatures may cause tropical corals to shift their ranges to subtropical regions, and such
8 species invasion can affect the recovery of bleached subtropical corals (Greenstein and Pandolfi,
9 2008).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 Located slightly south to the Tropic of Cancer (22°10' – 22°30'N), Hong Kong has a
18 subtropical climate with clear seasonal changes in water temperature. In the wet season (May–
19 October), high temperatures (25–29°C) satisfy the conditions for coral community development.
20 In the dry season (November–April), however, low temperatures, sometimes down to 14°C,
21 prevent corals from developing into true reef structures. Nevertheless, compared with many
22 subtropical coral communities, those in Hong Kong are diverse, with 84 species of hard corals
23 (Chan *et al.*, 2005) and 29 species of soft corals (Fabricius and McCorry, 2006; Yeung *et al.*,
24 2014). In Hong Kong, there have been a few anecdotal reports of coral bleaching since the 1980s,
25 and the damage was usually localized (McCorry, 2002; Ang *et al.*, 2005). The only recorded
26 mass coral bleaching event occurred in 1997, a strong El Niño year (McCorry, 2002). Here we
27 describe a regional-scale coral bleaching event in Hong Kong, analyze some environmental
28 conditions that might have triggered the bleaching, and discuss the consequence of the bleaching
29 event on these subtropical coral communities. Our data could contribute to a better understanding
30 of the resilience of subtropical coral communities to global environmental changes.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **2. Materials and Methods**

55 *2.1. Study area*

1
2
3
4
5 The marine waters of Hong Kong can be divided into an estuarine zone in the west, a
6 transition zone surrounding Hong Kong Island, and an oceanic zone in the east (Fig. 1). Along
7 the west-east direction there is a strong gradient of salinity and sedimentation due to the
8 influence of freshwater input from the Pearl River, with a mean annual discharge of $1.06 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3$
9 s^{-1} that ranks only second to the Yangtze River among all rivers in China (Zhang *et al.*, 2012).
10 Most of the natural coastlines in Hong Kong are lined with igneous rocks that usually extend less
11 than 10 m below the sea surface. Below the igneous rocks is usually a bottom of silt and clay that
12 can be stirred up easily. These geological and geographical features have limited the distribution
13 of coral communities mainly in the shallow waters of the oceanic and the transitional zones, with
14 those in the oceanic zone being better developed (Hodgson and Yau, 1997; Ang *et al.*, 2005;
15 Chan *et al.*, 2005; Goodkin *et al.*, 2011; Duprey *et al.*, 2016).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 2.2. *Quantifying substrate composition and coral bleaching*

35
36
37 Based on preliminary observations during surveys jointly organized by the Agriculture,
38 Fisheries and Conservation Department, Hong Kong, and Reef Check Foundation that covered
39 33 sites in the eastern waters, we selected eight sites that had signs of bleaching for more detailed
40 surveys (Fig. 1). The surveys were conducted during day time between 1000 h and 1500 h in
41 August and September 2014. At each site, a rapid underwater inspection was conducted to locate
42 the area with the highest coral cover. Photographs were taken to record the general pattern of
43 coral bleaching. A 100-m transect was laid parallel to the shore on the sea bottom which was 2-5
44 m below the water surface. A video transect survey was then conducted to quantify the substrate
45 composition and coral bleaching by a diver swimming slowly along the transect at a speed of
46 approximately 10 meters per minute. The video was captured at 24 frames per second with a
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 resolution of 1920×1080 pixels using a Canon S110 digital camera inside an underwater housing.
6
7 The camera was held with the lens pointing downward, covering approximately 0.75 m wide on
8
9 the bottom. Due to the low underwater visibility, it was impossible to cover a wider distance.
10
11

12
13 In the laboratory, substrate composition of each video was quantified using a point sampling
14
15 technique that involves stopping the video every 4 seconds to record the substrate composition
16
17 overlaid by 5 fixed points on the computer screen (Hill and Wilkinson, 2004). On average, 835
18
19 points from 167 frames from each transect were sampled to provide reliable data on the substrate
20
21 composition. The substrate was classified according to Reef Check's Instruction Manual
22
23 ([http://icran.org/pdf/MAR-Pages/tourism/Docs/RC%20Instruction%20Manual%20\(English\).pdf](http://icran.org/pdf/MAR-Pages/tourism/Docs/RC%20Instruction%20Manual%20(English).pdf))
24
25 as rock (hard substrate > 15 cm in the longest dimension), rubble (hard substrate with 0.5–15 cm
26
27 in the longest dimension), sand (either volcanic or carbonate material < 0.5 cm in diameter), live
28
29 coral, dead coral, or others (e.g., sponge, mud) (Fig. 1). Live corals were further identified to
30
31 genus and their bleaching status (i.e., bleached and non-bleached) were determined. Cover of
32
33 each substrate, expressed in percentage, was calculated by dividing the number of points for the
34
35 substrate by the total points for all substrates (Fig. 1). Cover for each coral genus (bleached and
36
37 non-bleached), expressed in percentage, was also calculated by dividing the number of points for
38
39 the genus by the total points for all corals (Fig. 2).
40
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 Each video file was also examined to enumerate coral colonies and determine their
48
49 bleaching status (Fig. 2). All coral colonies >10 cm in diameter were identified to genus and
50
51 enumerated. Each colony was inspected to determine the bleaching status (healthy: no obvious
52
53 pigment loss; slightly bleached: < 25% surface area bleached; moderately bleached: 25-50%
54
55 tissue bleached; severely bleached: > 50% surface area bleached).
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6 *2.3. Environmental parameters*

7
8 The Environmental Protection Department (EPD) of the Hong Kong Special Administrative
9 Region government runs a territory-wide water quality monitoring program, which conducts
10 regular monthly surveys at 90 stations (<http://epic.epd.gov.hk/EPICRIVER/marine/?lang=en>).
11
12 Data from station PM7 in this monitoring network, in the middle of Port Shelter and the
13 vicinities of our coral bleaching survey sites (Fig. 1), were chosen for analysis to understand the
14 potential causes of the 2014 bleaching event. Water temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), salinity
15 and chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*) concentration data taken monthly from May to December during 2013
16 to 2015 were compared to determine whether the environmental conditions were abnormal in
17 2014. Each parameter had readings from the surface (1 m below surface), middle, and bottom (1
18 m above bottom), allowing the determination of stratification in the water column.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 *2.4. Recovery from bleaching*

35
36 To determine whether consequences of the bleaching, we tagged 48 bleached colonies in
37 September 2014 at three sites with representatives of different coral genera (Fig. 3), and
38 examined the tagged colonies in December 2014 and April 2015. At each re-visit, each sighted
39 colony was photographed with a Canon S110 digital camera inside an underwater housing. In the
40 laboratory, the time-series photographs were compared visually to determine the pattern of
41 recovery/mortality.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **3. Results**

55
56 *3.1. Substrate composition and coral bleaching quantified by area cover*
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

There were substantial differences in substrate composition among the eight study sites (Fig. 1). Live coral cover varied from 18.7% at Lo Fu Ngam to 76.6% at Sharp Island East; rock cover ranged from 6.1% at Sharp Island East to 61.9% at Pak Lap Tsai; and sand cover from 0.9% at Pak Lap Tsai to 33.3% at Lo Fu Ngam. Sites with high coral cover (i.e. > 50%) were all inside Port Shelter and had low sand and rock cover. In total 18 genera of live corals were identified (Fig. 2). The number of identified genera ranged from 7 at Town Island to 16 at Shelter Island. Coral communities exhibited a clear site-specific coral dominance pattern. Specifically, Lo Fu Ngam was co-dominated by *Acropora* (22.1%) and *Porites* (26.5%). Sharp Island West was dominated by *Pavona* (87.9%). Sharp Island East was co-dominated by *Porites* (29.0%) and *Pavona* (38.7%). Shelter Island was co-dominated by *Favites* (24.2%), *Platygyra* (39.7%) and *Porites* (18.0%). Bluff Island was co-dominated by *Acropora* (37.3%) and *Montipora* (17.0%). Town Island was dominated by *Porites* (80.7%). Pak Lap Tsai was co-dominated by *Montipora* (31.5%) and *Porites* (29.7%). High Island Dam was co-dominated by *Montipora* (32.0%), *Platygyra* (16.9%), *Favites* (14.6%) and *Porites* (12.9%).

Bleaching mainly affected six genera of the most common species (*Acropora*, *Montipora*, *Pavona*, *Platygyra*, *Porites*, *Hydnophora*) (Fig. 2). Two other genera (*Leptastrea* and *Plesiastrea*), when also affected, accounted for only a small percentage of the total bleached coral area or total colony counts. Bleaching, quantified as impacted area over the total area covered by corals, varied greatly among the sites (left column in Fig. 3). At five sites, the bleached area was small (1.2-4.4%). Only at three sites were the bleached area exceeded 5%: 30.6% at Sharp Island East, 10.0% at Town Island, and 7.9% at Bluff Island. At Sharp Island East, *Pavona*, *Platygyra*, and *Porites* was responsible to 14.5%, 12.4 and 3.5% of the 30.6% bleached area, respectively. At Town Island, *Porites* and *Acropora* was responsible for 9.3% and

1
2
3
4
5 0.7% of the 10.0% bleached area, respectively. And at Bluff Island, *Montipora*, *Acropora* and
6
7 *Platygyra* was responsible for 6.3%, 1.2% and 0.4% of the 7.9% bleached area respectively.
8
9

10 11 12 3.2. Coral community composition and bleaching quantified by colony counts 13 14

15 Colony count data (right column in Fig. 3) showed a similar pattern of coral dominance
16 from the area cover data (left column in Fig. 3). Specifically, Lo Fu Ngam was dominated by
17 *Porites* (35.5%). Sharp Island West was co-dominated by *Pavona* (43.9%) and *Platygyra*
18 (27.3%). Sharp Island East was co-dominated by *Platygyra* (41.4%) and *Pavona* (24.5%).
19
20 Shelter Island was dominated by *Favites* (40.4%). Bluff Island was dominated by *Acropora*
21 (47.6%). Town Island was dominated by *Porites* (74.6%). Pak Lap Tsai was co-dominated by
22 *Montipora* (29.0%) and *Porites* (35.0%). And High Island Dam was co-dominated by *Favites*
23 (31.1%), *Montipora* (20.2%) and *Porites* (18.8%). Bleaching was mild in general, but there was
24
25 substantial site difference which varied from 1 colony (0.4%) at Lo Fu Ngam to 83 colonies
26 (13.1%) at Sharp Island East. Sites with higher levels of bleaching (i.e. > 3% colonies) were
27 confined to Port Shelter: 13.1% at Sharp Island East, 3.5% at Sharp Island West, and 5.2% at
28
29 Bluff Island. The coral genera appeared to have differential susceptibility, with bleaching
30 affecting only one to four genera among ten genera (*Acropora*, *Montipora*, *Pavona*, *Platygyra*,
31
32 *Porites*, *Hydnophora*, *Leptastrea*, *Plesiastrea*, *Turbinaria*, *Psammocora*) at a specific site.
33
34 Nevertheless, at Sharp Island East, the most severely impacted site, relatively large percentages
35 of *Leptastrea* (4 of 23 colonies, 17.4%), *Pavona* (17 of 156 colonies, 10.9%), and *Platygyra* (59
36 of 263 colonies, 23.2%) were bleached. Among them, all 4 impacted *Leptastrea*, 16 colonies of
37
38 *Pavona*, and 38 colonies of *Platygyra* were moderately bleached (25-50% by colony area), and 2
39 colonies of *Platygyra* were severely bleached (> 50% by colony area).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.3. Environmental parameters

Several water quality parameters at PM7, the EPD water quality monitoring station in the middle of Port Shelter, were abnormal during the second half year of 2014 that covered the bleaching event in Mid-August to early September, when compared with the same period in 2013 and 2015 (Fig. 4). Specifically, the surface water salinity in mid-May was only 25 psu, being 9 psu lower than the bottom water salinity. In mid-August, the mid-water Chl-*a* concentration was extremely high ($14 \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$). In mid-July to September 2014, the bottom water was extremely hypoxic ($1.2\text{-}1.6 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$). Mid-July to early September corresponded to the hottest time of the year, with surface water temperatures ranging from 27.9 to 30.4°C , being $5\text{-}6^\circ\text{C}$ higher than the bottom water temperatures, again indicating strong vertical stratification. Compared with 2013 and 2015, the mean surface water temperatures during mid-July to September in 2014 were also slightly higher: 28.3°C in 2013, 29.1°C in 2014 and 27.8°C in 2015. In fact, only in 2014 was there a temperature reading higher than 30°C (early September). Starting from October as the surface water temperature decreased, the temperature, DO increased and salinity values became very similar between the surface and bottom water, indicating the loss in vertical stratification.

3.4. Recovery from coral bleaching

Of the 48 tagged colonies, 33 colonies were recovered in the follow-up surveys, including 13 colonies of *Platygyra*, 12 colonies of *Montipora*, 4 colonies *Acropora*, 1 colony of *Hydnophora*, 1 colony of *Pavona*, 1 colony of *Cyphastrea*, and 1 colony of *Porites* (Supplementary Fig. S1). We could not evaluate the status of the missing colonies due to the loss

1
2
3
4
5 of their steel stakes with tag. Among the colonies found, 25 (76%) had fully recovered, 4
6 colonies (12%) had partially recovered, and 4 colonies (12%) had suffered from mortality. The
7 dead corals included one colony of *Acropora valida*, two colonies of *Montipora peltiformis*, and
8 one colony of *Platygyra carnosa*. The partially recovered corals included three colonies of *M.*
9 *peltiformis*, and one colony of *P. carnosa*. For those colonies re-sighted in both the December
10 2014 and April 2015 surveys, the pattern of recovery was identical on both dates, indicating no
11 observable change in coral health status between the two surveys. For *Platygyra*, encrusting
12 filamentous algae had usually developed on the dead colonies or the part of the bleached area
13 that failed to re-generate healthy tissue.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **4. Discussion**

31
32 The anecdotal reports of coral bleaching in Hong Kong since 1981 showed that most of the
33 incidences were mild, affecting only small areas and isolated colonies (McCorry, 2002; Ang *et*
34 *al.*, 2005). The only well-documented regional scale coral bleaching event occurred in the
35 summer of 1997, during which McCorry (2002) surveyed nine sites across local waters,
36 including six sites across Hong Kong waters for a quick qualitative assessment, and three sites in
37 the eastern waters that suffered from relatively severe bleaching (Coral Beach, Hoi Ha Wan;
38 Sharp Island – corresponding Sharp Island West in the present study; Tung Ping Chau) for
39 quantitative line intercept transect (LIT) survey. She found whole-community die-off at Sham
40 Wan in the southern waters, and bleaching of 15 genera of corals at other sites. At the three LIT
41 survey sites, species in eight genera showed relatively strong signs of bleaching, with > 15%
42 colonies being bleached or recently dead: *Montipora* (82%), *Goniopora* (64%), *Porites* (33%),
43 *Pavona* (27%), *Stylocoeniella* (33%), *Acropora* (17%), *Hydnophora* (17%), and *Lithophyllon*
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 (17%). *Platygyra*, however, exhibited only a weak bleaching response, with 3% colonies being
6
7 bleached or recently dead.
8
9

10 Different from the 2007 event, the 2014 summer bleaching event in Hong Kong only
11 affected the Port Shelter area, without causing extensive coral mass mortality throughout Hong
12 Kong waters. Even within the Port Shelter area, seven of the eight survey sites suffered from
13 only minor coral bleaching. We used two methods to show the level of coral bleaching. Although
14 the results were in general consistent, there was some notable difference between the area cover
15 data and colony count data for species with very small or large colonies. For instance, at Sharp
16 Island East, there appeared no *Psammocora* because the colonies were small and they only
17 covered 0.07% of the coral substrate, too small to see in the figure (left column of Figure 3). But
18 the head count data showed that there were 13 colonies of *Psammocora*, representing 2% of the
19 total coral colonies and showed clearly in the figure (right column). In addition, bleaching based
20 on coral covered area might look more severe than based on colony counts due to the fact that
21 most of the genera affected by bleaching, especially *Montipora peltiformis* and *Platygyra* spp.,
22 have big colonies covering a much larger area than other genera. Therefore, using colony counts
23 alone would have underestimated the bleaching on genera with larger colonies.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Nevertheless, the percent bleaching by coral covered area (30.6%) as well as colony counts
45 (13.1%) consistently showed that Sharp Island East located in the inner Port Shelter suffered
46 from a moderately level of coral bleaching. Given that Port Shelter is a semi land-locked bay, the
47 poor water exchange with the open South China Sea water could have contributed to the higher
48 severity of bleaching at this site.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 The causes of the 1997 and 2014 bleaching events in Hong Kong were apparently different.
57 The 1997 bleaching event happened during an extended period of hyposalinity associated with a
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 heavy annual precipitation of 3,343 mm – the highest over the 131-year history of weather
6 records by the Hong Kong Observatory (http://www.hko.gov.hk/cis/climat_e.htm). The rainfall
7 in June to August 1997 (2,359 mm) reduced the surface water salinity at Hoi Ha Wan and Sharp
8 Island to 19 psu and 20 psu, respectively (McCorry, 2002). That year's unprecedented rainfall
9 coincided with an exceptionally strong El Niño event that also caused coral bleaching in many
10 other tropical reefs (Wilkinson, 1998). Nevertheless, The EPD's water quality data showed that
11 surface water temperatures during the event were not particularly high, and bottom DO levels
12 were not particularly low when comparing the same period in 1996 and 1998, suggesting that
13 hyposalinity was the main cause of bleaching.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 The 2014 bleaching event in Hong Kong also occurred in summer, but the triggering factors
28 were more complicated. The total rainfall in May to June was 1,124 mm, being 363 mm higher
29 than the average value between 1981 and 2010 (http://www.hko.gov.hk/cis/climat_e.htm). This
30 high rainfall resulted in a clear stratification of the water column, as shown by the difference
31 between surface and bottom salinity in mid-May to mid-July (Fig. 4). The high Chl-a
32 concentration in the mid-water in mid-August ($14 \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$), being around 7 times the corresponding
33 values in 2013 and 2015, might indicate high algal biomass. The bottom water in the hottest
34 months of July to September 2014 was hypoxic, and this summer hypoxic period was
35 substantially longer than the corresponding hypoxic period in 2013 and 2015. Taken together,
36 the environmental data showed that hypoxia and high temperature coincided with the 2014
37 bleaching event, but other environmental factors might have interacted, leading to the
38 development of the stressful conditions for the corals. Nevertheless, because the EPD data were
39 sparse (one datum per month), they should be interpreted with caution.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 The 2014 coral bleaching event in Hong Kong was apparently not isolated, but associated a
6 shifting of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation from the cool phase and into the warm phase since
7 2013 (Fang *et al.*, 2014; Rodgers *et al.*, 2015). Corals in Hawaii were also impacted by bleaching
8 in 2014, with heavy rainfall in Kāneʻohe Bay causing a 22.5% reduction in coral cover in July in
9 an area directly affected by flooding, and subsequently high temperature in September causing a
10 major bleaching event throughout the bay, further reducing coral cover in the freshwater
11 impacted area by 60.0% (Bahr *et al.*, 2015; Ritson-Williams and Gates, 2016). Tagging of 150
12 bleached colonies showed that only one colony of *Pocillopora damicornis* and two colonies of
13 *Montipora capitata* subsequently died, indicating good recovery of most affected corals (Ritson-
14 Williams and Gates, 2016).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Little is known about the rates and mechanisms of bleaching recovery in Hong Kong corals.
30 McCorry (2002) found that in 1997, except for corals at Sham Wan which were all killed,
31 recovery occurred within 4 – 8 weeks of water salinity returning to normal values, with
32 accompanying rates of mortality depending on the species: some colonies of *Montipora*
33 *peltiformis* and *Acropora pruinosa* suffered total mortality, whereas *Pavona decussata* typically
34 only suffered partial mortality. Our follow-up surveys of the 2014 summer bleaching event
35 showed that most bleached colonies had already fully recovered in December, roughly three
36 months after the event. Overall, the generally low percentage of bleached corals among the eight
37 study sites except for Sharp Island East and the high percentage (76%) of tagged coral colonies
38 that had fully recovered in the colder months indicated that the event was mild and there was
39 very limited direct impact on the community structure of the coral communities. Nevertheless,
40 the bleaching at Sharp Island East should raise an alarm about the possible consequences on the
41 community structure, given that the level was higher (30.6% coral-covered area), and bleaching
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 had disproportionately larger effects on the most dominant species such as *Pavona decussata* and
6
7 *Platygyra carnosa*. Moreover, since Hong Kong corals are already threatened by several
8
9 stressors including recreational diving (Chung *et al.*, 2013; Au *et al.*, 2014), sedimentation
10
11 associated with coastal development (Wilson and Wong, 1996; Ang *et al.*, 2005), nutrient
12
13 pollution (Duprey *et al.*, 2016), bioerosion (Dumont *et al.*, 2013; Qiu *et al.*, 2014; Xie *et al.*,
14
15 2016) and abnormal coral growth (Sun *et al.*, 2013; Zhang *et al.*, 2017), and that the climate in
16
17 the 21st century for Hong Kong is hotter and wetter
18
19 (www.epd.gov.hk/epd/english/climate_change), coral bleaching should not be viewed as an
20
21 isolated phenomenon. Examining the synergistic effects between stressors can allow for
22
23 determination of whether controlling certain anthropogenic activities can reduce the potential
24
25 impact of coral bleaching. Studying the bleaching responses of corals and their symbiotic
26
27 zooxanthellae (Wong *et al.*, 2016) to environmental stressors both during bleaching events and in
28
29 laboratory may reveal the resilience of different species of corals and predict the winners of
30
31 losers to global environmental change (Cunning *et al.*, 2016).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Acknowledgements**

42 This study was supported by the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD)
43
44 and a research grant from Environmental and Conservation Fund, Hong Kong (project no. 2015-
45
46 84). We thank the Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation for permission to publish
47
48 this paper and Environmental Protection Department (EPD) for making the water quality data
49
50 available for our study.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Supplementary material

Supplementary Fig. S1. Photographs showing the recovery of coral colonies from bleaching.

Photo credit: James Y. Xie

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **References**

- 10
11 Abdo, D.A., Bellchambers, L.M., Evans, S.N., 2012. Turning up the heat: increasing temperature
12 and coral bleaching at the high latitude coral reefs of the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. PLoS
13 One 7, e43878.
14
15
16
17
18 Alemu, J.B., Clement, Y., 2014. Mass coral bleaching in 2010 in the southern Caribbean. PLoS
19 One 9, e83829.
20
21
22
23 Ang, P.O., Choi, L.S., Cornish, A., Fung, H.L., Lee, M.W., Lin, T.P., Ma, W.C., Tam, M.C.,
24 Wong, S.Y., 2005. 3.2 Hong Kong. In: Japan Wildlife Research Center (Ed.), Status of
25 Coral Reefs in East Asian Seas Region, 2004. Ministry of Environment, Tokyo, Japan, pp.
26 121–152.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Au, A.C.S., Zhang, L., Chung, S.S., Qiu, J.W., 2014. Diving associated coral breakage in Hong
34 Kong: differential susceptibility to damage. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 85, 789–796.
35
36
37
38 Bahr, K.D., Jokiel, P.L., Rodgers, K.S., 2015. The 2014 coral bleaching and freshwater flood
39 events in Kāneʻohe Bay, Hawaiʻi. PeerJ 3, e1136.
40
41
42
43 Beger, M., Babcock, R., Booth, D.J., Bucher, D., Condie, S. A., Creese, B., Cvitanovic C.,
44 Dalton S.J., Harrison P., Hoey A., Jordan A., Loder J., Malcolm H., Purcell S.W., Roelfsma
45 C., Sachs P., Smith S.D.A., Sommer B., Stuart-Smith R., Thomson D., Wallace C.C., Zann
46 M., Pandolfi J.M., 2011. Research challenges to improve the management and conservation
47 of subtropical reefs to tackle climate change threats. Ecological Management & Restoration
48 12, e7-e10.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 Beger, M., Sommer, B., Harrison, P.L., Smith, S.D. and Pandolfi, J.M., 2014. Conserving
6 potential coral reef refuges at high latitudes. *Diversity and Distributions* 20, 245–257.
7
8
9
10 Celliers, L., Schleyer, M.H., 2002. Coral bleaching on high-latitude marginal reefs at Sodwana
11 Bay, South Africa. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 44, 1380-1387.
12
13
14
15 Chan, A.L.K., Chan, K.K., Choi, C.L.S., McCorry, D., Lee, M.W., Ang P.O., 2005. Field Guide
16 to the Hard Corals of Hong Kong. Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department,
17 Hong Kong SAR Government and Cosmos Book Ltd., Hong Kong.
18
19
20
21
22 Chung, S.S., Au, A., Qiu, J.W., 2013. Understanding the underwater behaviour of scuba divers
23 in Hong Kong. *Environ. Manage.* 51, 824–837.
24
25
26
27 Cuning, R., Ritson-Williams, R., Gates, R.D., 2016. Patterns of bleaching and recovery of
28 *Montipora capitata* in Kāne‘ohe Bay, Hawai‘i, USA. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 551, 131–139.
29
30
31
32 Dalton, S.J., Carroll, A.G., 2011. Monitoring coral health to determine coral bleaching response
33 at high latitude eastern Australian reefs: an applied model for a changing climate. *Diversity*
34 3, 592–610.
35
36
37
38
39 Doshi, A., Pascoe, S., Thébaud, O., Thomas, C.R., Setiasih, N., Hong, J.T.C., True, J.,
40 Schuttenberg, H.J., Heron, S.F. (2010) Loss of economic value from coral bleaching in S.E.
41 Asia. In: Yellowlees, D., Hughes, T.P. (eds) Proceedings of the 12th international coral reef
42 symposium, 9–13 July 2012, Cairns, QLD, Australia, pp. 1–5.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 Dumont, C.P., Lau, D.C.C., Astudillo, J.C., Fong, K.F., Chak, S.T.C., Qiu, J.W., 2013. Coral
50 bioerosion by the sea urchin *Diadema setosum* in Hong Kong: susceptibility of different
51 coral species. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 441, 71–79.
52
53
54
55
56
57 Duprey, N., Yasuhara, M., Baker, D.M., 2016. Reefs of tomorrow: nutrients reduce coral
58 biodiversity in an urbanized seascape. *Global Change Biol.* doi: 10.1111/gcb.13432
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 Fabricius, K.E., McCorry, D., 2006. Changes in octocoral communities and benthic cover along
6 a water quality gradient in the reefs of Hong Kong. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 52, 22–33.
7
8
9
10 Fang, C., Wu, L., Zhang, X., 2014. The impact of global warming on the Pacific Decadal
11 Oscillation and the possible mechanism. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 31, 118–130.
12
13
14 Greenstein, B.J., Pandolfi, J.M., 2008. Escaping the heat: range shifts of reef coral taxa in coastal
15 Western Australia. *Global Change Biol.* 14, 513–528.
16
17
18 Glynn, P.W., 1983. Extensive ‘bleaching’ and death of reef corals on the Pacific coast of Panamá.
19 *Environ. Conserv.* 10, 149–154.
20
21
22
23
24 Glynn, P.W., 1991. Coral reef bleaching in the 1980s and possible connections with global
25 warming. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 6, 175–179.
26
27
28
29 Goodkin, N.G., Switzer, A.D., McCorry, D., DeVantier, L., True, J., Hughen, K.A., Angeline, N.,
30 Yang, T.T., 2011. Coral communities of Hong Kong: long-lived corals in a marginal reef
31 environment. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 426, 185–196.
32
33
34
35
36
37 Harrison, P.L., Dalton, S.J., Carroll, A.G., 2011. Extensive coral bleaching on the world’s
38 southernmost coral reef at Lord Howe Island, Australia. *Coral Reefs* 30, 775.
39
40
41
42 Hoey, A.S., Pratchett, M.S., Cvitanovic, C., 2011. High macroalgal cover and low coral
43 recruitment undermines the potential resilience of the world's southernmost coral reef
44 assemblages. *PLoS One* 6, e25824.
45
46
47
48
49 Hodgson, G., Yau, E.P.M., 1997. Physical and biological controls of coral communities in Hong
50 Kong. In: Lessios, H.A., Macintyre, I.G. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 8th International Coral*
51 *Reef Symposium*. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama, pp. 459–461.
52
53
54
55
56
57 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., 1999. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral
58 reefs. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 50, 839–866.
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 Hoey, A.S., Pratchett, M.S., Cvitanovic, C., 2011. High macroalgal cover and low coral
6 recruitment undermines the potential resilience of the world's southernmost coral reef
7 assemblages. PLoS One 6, e25824.
8
9
10
11
12 Loya, Y., Sakai, K., Yamazata, K., Nakano, H., Sambali, H., van Woesik, R., 2001. Coral
13 bleaching: the winners and losers. Ecol. Lett. 4, 122–131.
14
15
16
17 McCorry, D., 2002. Hong Kong's scleractinian coral communities: status, threats and proposals
18 for management. PhD Thesis, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
19
20
21
22 Qiu, J.W., Lau, D.C., Cheang, C.C., Chow, W.K., 2014. Community-level destruction of hard
23 corals by the sea urchin *Diadema setosum*. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 85, 783–788.
24
25
26
27 Ritson-Williams, R., Gates, R.D., 2016. Coral Bleaching in Hawai'i: A window into reefs of the
28 future. Integr. Comp. Biol. 56, E184–E184.
29
30
31
32 Rodgers, K.S., Jokiel, P.L., Brown, E.K., Hau, S., Sparks, R., 2015. Over a decade of change in
33 spatial and temporal dynamics of Hawaiian coral reef communities. Pacific Science 69, 1–13.
34
35
36
37 Sutthacheep, M., Yucharoen, M., Klinthong, W., Pengsakun, S., Sangmanee, K., Yeemin, T.,
38 2012. Coral mortality following the 2010 mass bleaching event at Kut Island, Thailand.
39 Phuket Mar. Biol. Cent. Res. Bull. 71, 83–92.
40
41
42
43
44 Sun, J., Chen, Q., Lun, J., Xu, J., Qiu, J.W., 2013. PearnBase: development of a transcriptomic
45 database for the brain coral *Platygyra carnosus*. Mar. Biotechnol. 15, 244–251.
46
47
48
49 Wilkinson, C.R., 1998. The 1997–1998 mass bleaching event around the world. In: Wilkinson, C.
50 (ed.), Status of Coral Reefs of the World: 1998. Australian Institute of Marine Science and
51 Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network. Townsville, Queensland, Australia, pp. 15–38.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 Symposium on Hydraulics of Hong Kong Waters, Hong Kong, 1995. Hong Kong
6 Government, Geotechnical Engineering Office, pp. 215–232.
7

8
9
10 Wong, J.C.Y., Thompson, P., Xie, J.Y., Qiu, J.W., Baker, D.M., 2016. *Symbiodinium* clade C
11
12 generality among common scleractinian corals in subtropical Hong Kong. Regional Studies
13
14 in Marine Science DOI: 10.1016/j.rsma.2016.02.005
15
16

17 Xie, J.Y., Wong, J.C., Dumont, C.P., Goodkin, N., Qiu, J.W. (2016). Borehole density on the
18
19 surface of living *Porites* corals as an indicator of sedimentation in Hong Kong. Mar. Pollut.
20
21 Bull. 108, 87–93.
22
23

24 Yang, T.T., Goodkin, N.F., 2014. Wet season upwelling and dry season chlorophyll-a describe
25
26 interannual growth rates of *Porites* in Southern China. PloS One 9, e99088.
27
28

29 Yeung, C.W., Cheang, C.C., Lee, M.W., Fung, H.L., Chow, W.K., Ang, P., 2014. Environmental
30
31 variabilities and the distribution of octocorals and black corals in Hong Kong. Mar. Pollut.
32
33 Bull. 85, 774–82.
34
35

36 Zhang, W., Mu, S.S., Zhang, Y.J., Chen, K.M., 2012. Seasonal and interannual variations of
37
38 flow discharge from Pearl River into sea. Water Sci. Eng. 5, 399–409.
39
40

41 Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Mu, H., Lun, J.C.Y., Qiu, J.W., 2017. Molecular pathology of skeletal growth
42
43 anomalies in the brain coral *Platygyra carnosa*: A meta-transcriptomic analysis. Mar. Pollut.
44
45 Bull. (in press)
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 **Figure Legends**
6
7
8
9

10 **Fig. 1.** Map of Hong Kong showing estuarine, transition and oceanic zones, and the benthic
11 substrate composition of the eight study sites in the Port Shelter area (1. Lo Fu Ngam; 2.
12 Sharp Island West; 3. Sharp Island East; 4. Shelter Island; 5. High Island Dam; 6. Pak Lap
13 Tsai; 7. Town Island; 8. Bluff Island). Names of three locations discussed in text are
14 indicated with a circled number: ①, Sham Wan; ②, Sharp Island; ③, Hoi Ha Wan. PM7, an
15 EPD water monitoring site whose data were used to analyze the water quality conditions
16 leading to coral bleaching, is located in the middle of Port Shelter (②).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Fig. 2.** Representative photographs showing six species of common corals affected by the 2014
28 bleaching event: *Acropora tumida* (A), *Platygyra carosa* (B), *Porites lutea* (C), *Montipora*
29 *peltiformis* (D), *Pavona decussata* (E), *Hydnophora exesa* (F). Photo credit: James Y. Xie
30
31
32
33
34

35 **Fig. 3.** Composition of coral communities at the eight study sites quantified as percent surface
36 area covered by coral genus (left column), with apparently healthy and bleached area shown
37 in blue and white respectively; and the number of coral colonies (right column), with
38 colonies that were healthy or had different levels of damage shown in different colors.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

60 **Fig. 4.** Water quality parameters (salinity, Chl-a concentration, dissolved oxygen concentration
61 and temperature) in the water column of EPD monitoring station M7, which is located in the
62 middle of Port Shelter, during May to December 2013, 2014 and 2015.
63
64
65

Figure 1

Figure 1




Figure 2

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3

Figure 3




Figure 4

