

Barriers and policy enablers for solar photovoltaics (PV) in cities

MAH, Daphne Ngar-yin; Wang, Guihua; LO, Tek Sheng Kevin; Leung, Michael K.H.; Hills, Peter; Lo, Alex Y.

Published in:
Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews

DOI:
[10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.041)

Published: 01/09/2018

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
MAH, D. N., Wang, G., LO, T. S. K., Leung, M. K. H., Hills, P., & Lo, A. Y. (2018). Barriers and policy enablers for solar photovoltaics (PV) in cities: Perspectives of potential adopters in Hong Kong. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 92, 921-936. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.041>

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent publication URLs

Authors

Daphne Ngar-yin Mah, Guihua Wang, Kevin Lo, Michael K.H. Leung, Peter Hills, and Alex Y.H. Lo

**Barriers and policy enablers for solar PV in cities:
Perspectives of potential adopters in Hong Kong**

Daphne Ngar-yin Mah ^{a,b}, Samuel Guihua Wang ^c, Kevin Lo ^a, Michael K.H. Leung ^d,
Peter Hills ^b, Alex Lo ^e

^a Department of Geography, Hong Kong Baptist University

^b Asian Energy Studies Centre, Hong Kong Baptist University

^c Department of Politics and Public Administration, Faculty of Social Sciences, The University
of Hong Kong

^d School of Energy and Environment, City University of Hong Kong

^e Department of Geography, The University of Hong Kong

Corresponding Author:

Daphne Ngar-yin Mah

Assistant Professor, Department of Geography, Hong Kong Baptist University; Director, Asian
Energy Studies Centre, Hong Kong Baptist University;

Email: daphnemah@hkbu.edu.hk

Samuel Guihua Wang

PhD Candidate, Department of Politics and Public Administration, Faculty of Social Sciences,
The University of Hong Kong

Email: samuelwanggh@gmail.com

Kevin Lo

Assistant Professor, Department of Geography, Hong Kong Baptist University

Email: lokevin@hkbu.edu.hk

Michael K.H. Leung

Associate Dean, School of Energy and Environment, City University of Hong Kong

Email: mkh.leung@cityu.edu.hk

Peter Ronald Hills

Honorary Research Fellow, Asian Energy Studies Centre, Hong Kong Baptist University

Email: phills.hku.hk

Alex Lo

Assistant Professor, Department of Geography, The University of Hong Kong

Email: alexloyh@hku.hk

Abstract

The rapid decline in solar PV costs and the urgency to develop effective post-Fukushima climate/energy plans in recent years have led to an upsurge of policy interest in deploying solar in mega international cities including New York, Tokyo and Singapore. Nonetheless, how to overcome barriers to large-scale uptake of urban solar remains under-explored. This study conducted 57 face-to-face interviews with potential solar PV adopters from the residential, institutional, and commercial sectors in Hong Kong, to understand perceived barriers and policy preferences. We found that firstly, most interviewees perceived high upfront costs and long payback periods as primary barriers. Secondly, a reduced payback period is effective in improving their attitude towards installing solar. A majority of the residential interviewees shifted away from the "low level of interest" group to higher levels of interest if payback periods could be reduced from 35 years (business-as-usual scenario) to 8 years. Thirdly, potential PV adopters had different policy preferences. While residential interviewees strongly preferred subsidies, institutional interviewees mostly preferred regulatory measures and commercial interviewees preferred feed-in-tariffs. Our findings suggest that the Hong Kong government needs to adopt the enabling framework that this study develops in order to steer, nurture, and regulate PV deployment effectively.

Key words: rooftop solar PV, cities, Hong Kong, barriers, payback periods, solar policies

Highlights

- Potential PV adopters in three sectors in Hong Kong showed a low level of interest in PV.
- High upfront costs and long payback periods were perceived as key barriers.
- Attitudinal changes are noticeable provided payback period is shortened.
- Potential adopters in three sectors have different policy preferences.
- An enabling framework is useful to guide the development of effective PV policies.

1. Introduction

Once a prohibitively expensive technology, solar electricity generation via photovoltaics (PV) has experienced major growth as costs of modules and systems declined rapidly over the past twenty years, especially since early 2010 [1]. From 2008 to 2012, PV system prices dropped by around 60% in mature markets such as Italy. The levelised cost of energy from PV systems is below retail electricity prices in some countries [1]. Global solar PV capacity increased more than 50-fold from 3.7 GW in 2004 to 277 GW in 2016 [2, 3]. However, while the International Energy Agency sees PV's share of global electricity capacity reaching 16% (4,600 GW) by 2050, PV's current share of total global installed capacity is only 2.9% [1, 3].

The deployment of the solar option also demonstrates considerable disparities across countries and regions, and the geographical pattern is changing rapidly. In Italy and Germany which have committed to solar power the share has reached 7% and 5% respectively but solar (PV and concentrated solar power (CSP)) only accounts for 2.4% of the European Union's electricity supply [4]. Global growth is focused in Asia, particularly China and Japan, whereas mature markets, most notably Europe, the US, and Australia have shrunk in recent years as financial incentives have been reduced [4, 5]. Since 2013, China has led the global PV market, followed by Japan and the US [1].

It is in this global context that mega-cities and large urban centres have become increasingly proactive in exploring solar PV as part of their climate change and/or post-Fukushima energy plans [6, 7]. New York's Sun Initiative [8]; London's Bring Me Sunshine! [9], Seoul's Solar Power Generation Citizens' Fund, and Tokyo's Solar Rooftop Solar Register initiative [10] are examples of these city initiatives. However, many cities, including Hong Kong, have not yet experienced major growth in the diffusion of PV electricity generation. Just how cities can overcome barriers to enable a major penetration of solar PV into conventional energy systems has remained under-explored.

This study investigates how potential PV adopters in the residential, commercial, and institutional sectors in Hong Kong perceive barriers to and possible policy changes of rooftop PV deployment, as well as the possible magnitude of their attitudinal change under three proposed payback period scenarios. We also aim at developing a framework for enabling factors which can be used as guidance for solar policy developments in Hong Kong.

Hong Kong merits study for a number of reasons. Hong Kong is atypical and differs from other cities in important ways in terms of its socio-economic and political context as well as the characteristics of its energy portfolio and electricity market [11-14]. It nonetheless shares with New York, London, Sydney and other high-income, developed cities certain challenges in solar PV deployment. These include a cityscape featuring high-rise buildings with major space constraints for solar exposure (e.g. limited rooftop space) [9], and a society with a low acceptance of the tariff and regulatory changes that are often regarded as pre-requisites for a major uptake of PV [15]). However, Hong Kong also shares with some other large cities opportunities of PV adoption, such as rooftop PV in semi-rural built up areas. The experience of Brixton in suburban London where households participated and invested in community PV projects sheds light on the opportunities that PV may offer to Hong Kong's bottom-up initiatives on sustainable energy transitions [9]. Hong Kong experience in solar PV deployment therefore

has a relevance that extends beyond its own boundaries and may contribute to our understanding of how large cities respond to the opportunities offered by this energy option in their search towards more sustainable energy futures.

This study focuses on the deployment of rooftop PV as an energy option for power generation in Hong Kong. While solar thermal has traditionally been the main solar application in rural and urban areas around the world, solar PV has become increasingly popular in urban settings. While utility-scale solar farms in rural or semi-rural areas constitute the majority of PV installations worldwide, decentralised small-scale rooftop projects, alongside community-scale solar building-integrated PV (BIPV), have been growing in number and policy significance. The mechanisms through which to capitalise on underutilised rooftops to generate solar electricity in cities are however under-studied, except for the work by, for example, [8, 16, 17]. This study aims to contribute to our understanding of the barriers to and enabling policies for rooftop solar from the perspectives of potential solar PV adopters in Hong Kong in the residential, commercial, and institutional sectors.

The paper is organised into five sections. Following the introduction is a section that outlines the global trends of solar PV deployment, a theoretical discussion, and the Hong Kong context. That is followed by a discussion of our study methodology. We will then provide a detailed discussion of our findings. This paper concludes by discussing the policy implications of the findings.

2. The deployment of solar PV in cities: Global trends, a theoretical discussion, and the Hong Kong context

2.1. Global trends and major developments of solar PV

High costs and space constraints have traditionally made solar PV a prohibitively expensive option for cities. Although solar PV has been a neglected energy option for cities for some time, the rapid decline in cost of solar PV systems especially over the past five years has led to an upsurge in interest in deploying solar electricity in many mega cities/city-state, including New York City, London, Tokyo, Seoul, and Singapore [7]. The rapid decline in PV costs worldwide has been largely driven by policy support as well as economies of scale achieved by China's PV industry that has flooded the global market with cheap panels [18, 19].

These cities have made progress in deploying this energy option working to different goals and using various approaches. New York City, one of the Solar America Cities recipients (in 2008) [20], aims to increase its domestic solar PV capacity by a factor of eight over the coming decade [9]. London has made important progress in promoting community-based solar projects through effective solar policies and by developing new business models. A solar project on a social housing estate in Brixton, in suburban London, is an example of such community initiatives. In Asia, Tokyo, Seoul and Singapore are the frontrunners. Tokyo's Solar Loans for Roof Power and the Tokyo Rooftop Solar Register are notable initiatives. Singapore published a national solar PV roadmap in 2014 [21] and is planning to raise the adoption of solar power from the current 19 MW to 350 MW by 2020. This would be about 5% of the projected peak electricity demand [22]. Empirical data also suggest that residential PV can play more than a niche role as an energy option. A recent study has found that about 9% of new homes built by the top 10 builders in the

top five metropolitan areas in California in the first quarter of 2016 had solar PV systems installed [23]. A review of major solar initiatives in selected cities is provided in *Table 1*.

[Insert Table 1 about here]

2.2. Solar PV in cities: A theoretical discussion

Cities, which broadly include all urban areas, from “mega cities” to smaller scale urban settlements [24], have increasingly become the focal point for solar PV deployment. It has been increasingly recognised that cities can contribute to climate action through implementing national policies as well as initiating city-led bottom-up activities [12, 25]. Many cities have framed their solar plans as part of initiatives on delivering national schemes on climate change or economic structuring (e.g. Korea’s National Strategy for Green growth) [7]. In some cases, these solar city concepts are regarded as a key component in post-Fukushima energy policies [26].

Research on solar PV diffusion first started to emerge in the 1980s, and has grown rapidly over the past decade. Empirical studies have also shed light on the rapid development trends of this technology, in terms of different types of PV applications (e.g. rooftop solar, building-integrated, utility-scale, community projects, floating PV systems) [27], geographical distribution (e.g. solar diffusion in high-income mature markets as well as emerging low-income economies (Byrne *et al.*, 2015; ERI, 2015); in urban and rural settings, as well as in different sectors (e.g. in institutional, commercial, and residential) [20, 28].

An important theme of the solar literature suggests that factors that affect PV deployment are multi-dimensional, which include technical suitability (such as availability of solar resources), economic viability, and social acceptance [21, 61, 62]. However, despite the potential of solar as an energy option, there are many cities, including Hong Kong, which have not been proactive in deploying PV electricity generation. An extensive literature in the broader field of renewable energy has identified five main types of barriers to large-scale deployments of solar (see for example [21, 34]). These include technical barriers (such as space constraints, intermittency and grid connection limitations [1], economic (e.g. long payback period, high costs) [1], market (e.g. misplaced incentives, unpriced costs, insufficient information, difficulty in accessing reliable information, access to finance)[1, 35], as well as institutional, regulatory (e.g. the existence of vested interests against new energy options, difficulties in dealing with permission requirements [6, 36], and social barriers (e.g. lack of public acceptance of new energy technologies, low perceived usefulness of a new energy technology [36]).

While many of these barriers are relevant to most renewable sources, some of them are more context specific, depending on the local climatic situation and physical features of the prevalent building stock of a particular city [6]. In addition, some are more relevant to solar PV. High upfront costs, long payback periods, and space constraints have been consistently found to be key barriers to installing PV systems in urban settings [6]

The rapid decline in PV costs worldwide has given rise to a growing body of literature studying PV payback periods [1]. A comparison of PV payback periods in different countries, local states, and cities is provided in *Table 2*. While payback periods vary remarkably across countries

ranging from 3 to 72.5 years according to these selected studies, payback periods have already been reduced to below 10 years in a number of countries (e.g. US, Australia, Italy, and Japan) and cities (e.g. New York City and Seoul).

How, then, can barriers to PV deployment be overcome? While an extensive literature on awareness-action gaps highlights the importance of education and information in creating motivations for pro-environmental behaviour (Martinsson, Lundqvist, & Sundström, 2011; Svenson, 2013), another body of the literature on energy policy studies shed lights on the roles of governments in city-led energy initiatives [12, 29]. Studies that review and assess a variety of solar policies and programmes suggest that government interventions in the forms of public policies [37, 38]. These policy levers can be classified into five major categories: (1) provision of subsidies; (2) regulatory measures (e.g. a renewable portfolio standard that mandates a certain share of a utility's power plant capacity or generation to come from renewable energy sources, (3) renewable feed-in tariffs [37], (4) net metering, and (5) provision of technical support [1, 37]. Many of them are often used in combination with various policies, and their acceptability and effectiveness varying in different contexts. [1].

It is also important to note that while policy support continues to be critical to PV deployment, there has been a rapid emergence of market oriented business models that contribute to making PV one of the least cost options for power generation from renewable energy sources [39]. In addition, the literature also sheds lights on the changing roles of governments in an increasingly market based society. Studies by [30] and [31] emphasise the role of local leadership (particularly from city mayors) on climate and energy initiatives. Work by [32, 33], examines how local governments move away from traditional implementation of national policies and assume a proactive role in establishing new market rules and conditions that are conducive to sustainable energy transitions, as well as developing networks and partnerships with market and civil society.

[Insert Table 2 about here]

2.3. The Hong Kong context

Hong Kong, a world city of 7.3 million people, has been reliant on a combination of fossil fuels and nuclear power for its electricity supply. Coal, imported nuclear (from neighbouring Guangdong Province), and natural gas amounted to 53%, 23%, and 22% of the city's electricity fuel mix, with a total generation capacity of 12,645 MW (2012) [40]. Hong Kong has however become increasingly proactive in developing major climate and energy plans including a Climate Change Strategy and Action Agenda and an energy saving plan [41, 42]. The government has very recently set a renewable energy target of 3-4% by 2030 in its Hong Kong's Climate Action Plan 2030+ which was published in early 2017 [43].

It is in this climate and energy context that Hong Kong has made some initial attempts to deploy solar PV. There were about 165 solar PV projects in Hong Kong in 2014 [44, 45]. A 1 MW solar PV system on Lamma Island, a rooftop solar at the headquarters of the government's Electrical and Mechanical Services Department in Kowloon Bay, and the building-integrated PV systems

in Wanchai Tower are some of the major solar projects.

However, despite the recent growth in PV deployment globally and in Mainland China, Hong Kong has not experienced major growth in PV electricity generation. Solar PV generated only approximately 2.2 million kWh, with an installed capacity of approximately 2.2 MW and contributes a minute 0.005% of total electricity sales in Hong Kong (43 billion kWh in 2012) [46]. Most of the local PV systems are deployed in institutional settings (such as government buildings and schools), with some in commercial buildings (e.g. BIPV at Peking Road No. 1). Installed PV in residential buildings has been minimal, except in some public building blocks built by the Housing Authority. Of the 165 PV projects in Hong Kong, 112 were undertaken by the government with the remaining 53 installed by non-government bodies such as schools, universities, and NGOs [44, 45].

Although solar resources contribute a very small portion of the fuel mix in Hong Kong, local studies have estimated Hong Kong's solar PV output potential to range from 5.9% to 35%, depending on methodologies and assumptions (*Table 3*). It is also important to note that several contextual features of Hong Kong have created opportunities for a domestic market for PV. First, due to its atypical conservation and Small House policies, its cityscape accommodates a considerable number of house-type dwellings extending throughout the rural and semi-rural built-up areas in the New Territories which makes up approximately 86% of Hong Kong's territory [47, 48]. Conservation policies have designated approximately 40% of Hong Kong's total area of 1,108 square kilometres as country and marine parks and special areas, and have therefore worked to contain urban sprawl in the city. The Small House Policy, introduced in the 1970s to address the housing needs of indigenous villagers in the New Territories, grants male indigenous villagers rights to build a small house with a maximum height of three storeys and roofed area of 700 square feet [48-50]. This Policy has incentivised the spread of a large number of 3-storey house dwellings, and many of these houses are owned by middle to high-income earners. A local study has found that over 25% of the households under the Small House Policy earn more than HK\$50,000 or above per month [48]. These two policies have therefore created a favourable market environment for PV where there are a substantial number of potential rooftop PV adopters who are owner-occupiers and who could afford to install solar PV systems.

Another contextual feature that creates opportunities for Hong Kong to deploy roof solar PV is that the peak or near-peak energy usage in Hong Kong is typically in the afternoon (*Figure 1*) and this coincides with the periods when solar electricity generation can be maximised [51-53]. The potential to cut electricity bills, and thus bring economic benefits to consumers could be a motivating factor for Hong Kong to explore this energy option.

[Insert Table 3 about here]

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

Despite this potential, solar PV electricity generation has, as noted, remained very limited in Hong Kong. A number of local studies have identified multiple barriers that impede PV deployment. These include long payback periods, high initial costs, space constraints, inadequate service infrastructure, difficulties in ensuring grid access by third party renewable producers,

lack of community and stakeholder participation, and lack of incentives provided by legislation and regulations [28, 51, 54]. Two privately owned, vertically integrated utilities, China Light and Power (CLP) and Hongkong Electric (HKE) operate as geographical monopolies which are governed by a regulatory framework known as the Scheme of Control Agreements (SCAs). As the energy literature in Hong Kong argues, the SCAs, which link the rates of return of the two power companies to their fixed asset investment, have not created sufficient incentives to decentralised power generation [11-13, 55].

The literature has been useful in shedding light on the factors affecting PV deployment in cities. There are however important knowledge gaps. While local studies on various types of solar applications have been relatively extensive (from grid-connected systems [53] and stand-alone systems [52], to rooftop solar [56], BIPV applications [53], and to hybrid systems [57]), studies that examine policies that may motivate and incentivise potential solar adopters to install solar systems have remained under-studied. Since a long payback period is one of the primary barriers, it is particularly important to gain a better understanding of the occurrence and magnitude of attitudinal changes if PV payback periods can be reduced through policy levers.

3. Methodology

Our findings are mainly drawn from desktop studies and 57 semi-structured interviews. Those interviews include 40 with residential respondents and 12 and 5 with institutional and commercial respondents respectively. Clearly, this is a small sample size and our findings cannot claim to have statistical significance or to present all potential PV adopters in Hong Kong. Nonetheless, the richness of the data from our in-depth interviews provides us with a variety of important insights and does, we suggest, enhance the credibility of our observations and the arguments which are derived from our analysis.

This study adopts the snowballing method to identify prospective interviewees in the three sectors. Of the 40 residential interviewees, 33 are village house residents, six interviewees live in apartment buildings and one resides in a tenement building. These residential interviewees represent the diverse geographical distribution and socio-economic background of potential solar householders in Hong Kong (*Appendix 1 and 2*). These samples also represent owner-occupiers and tenants who have access to rooftops in various types of houses in Hong Kong. The sampled house dwellings include typical 3-storey dwellings with a roofed area of 700 square feet, old dwellings, modern houses, a tenement building, and apartment buildings; some are in rural villages while some are in urban villages (or what are referred to as “metropolitan village” in Connell’s model of suburbanization process [47] and some in purpose-built high status suburbs. While the literature suggests that householders living in low-rise owner-occupied houses with more roof space may have higher levels of interest to invest in renewable energy [9], PV adoption is also emerging in multi-tenanted buildings in some cities such as San Francisco [58]. The samples from the tenement and apartment buildings are therefore also useful for our analysis. *Appendix 3* shows rooftop images of some of our sampled residential dwellings.

It is important to note that among these residential interviewees, eight were identified as solar “first-movers” as they were subscribers to a free solar energy assessment service provided by a local power company. Since they made self-motivated decisions, and took action to subscribe to the service, the identification of this group of potential PV adopters is instructive to contribute to

the emerging body of the literature that divides adopters into categories: innovators, early adopters, early majority, and laggards [59].

We also conducted 12 interviews with senior/ mid-level managers from the institutional sector, including a government agency, a statutory body in the aviation sector, a non-for-profit private institute which operates an amusement park, five universities, and a secondary school. In addition, we interviewed 5 representatives from the commercial sector: from a manufacturing company, the IT sector, an airline company, and the property sector. Details of the background of all interviewees in these two sectors is presented in *Appendix 4*.

All interviews were conducted between October 2015 and June 2016. All but nine were face-to-face interviews, the nine others being telephone interviews. Based on our literature review, we developed an interview guide. This consisted of three main themes: their interests in installing PV systems in three payback scenarios, their perceived barriers, and their perceived effectiveness of five possible solar policies, i.e. subsidies, regulatory measures, feed-in tariff, net metering, and technical support. Each interview lasted between 30 and 90 minutes. We conducted site observation in all but ten of the sampled residential premises as site observations were not possible in these other ten cases. Most interviews were audio-recorded (except 11 for which meeting notes were taken instead as audio-recording was not possible). Responses of all interviews were coded accordingly to the main themes and sub-themes of the interview guide, and subsequently input into an Excel file in order to facilitate a systematic analysis of emerging themes, and to allow us to identify similarities and differences of views across the three adopter groups. Selected sections of some interviews were fully transcribed when needed.

Three supplementary telephone interviews with a solar installation contractors and a senior executive of a utility were conducted in September 2017 to offer energy practitioners' views and experiences to enrich our analysis.

4. Major findings and discussions: Perceptions and attitudes of potential solar adopters in Hong Kong

4.1. Perceived barriers

All interviewees from the three selected sectors were asked to identify key barriers to rooftop solar PV adoption from their own individual perspective. *Figure 2* presents an overview of perceived barriers. It is important to note that potential solar adopters across the three sectors presented many convergent and divergent perspectives on barriers to rooftop solar. Three main observations are important:

- (a) The economic factors (upfront costs and payback period) were perceived to be the key barriers**

High upfront costs and long payback period were perceived by the interviewees as major barriers to solar PV deployment. Over half the residential interviewees (23 out of 40, 57.5%) considered high upfront costs as a major barrier, and two out of five (40%) commercial interviewees reported this as their main perceived barrier. In terms of the payback period, of our 40 residential interviewees, 17 (42.5%) stated that a long payback period was their primary concern. 4 out of 5 (80%) commercial interviewees stated that long payback was their main concern.

It is important to note that these two economic barriers are closely related, but have subtle differences. High upfront costs relate to capital investment costs which can be a problem for various reasons, and could be effectively overcome by subsidies that aim at reducing upfront investment [39]. Payback period is the duration that an investment takes to break even or recover its cost [60]. Cost reductions, alongside other measures such as loans at low interest rates could shorten payback period and overcome this economic barrier. It is in this context that two observations are important to note:

- First, the commercial sector is highly sensitive to the payback period while paying relatively less concern to upfront costs. Our interview with a senior manager (Interviewee #14 in Appendix 4) of a data centre revealed that the payback period is of the utmost importance for the commercial sector in Hong Kong. Interviewee 14 reported that his company, which is located in an 18-storey industrial building in the southwest of the New Territories, is a large electricity consumer with a monthly electricity bill amounting to HK\$400,000 (approximately US\$51,280). Since his company has the right to use a spacious balcony adjoining their rented office, the company was interested to explore the possibilities of installing solar PV in this balcony, and conducted a solar feasibility study several years ago. Their study found that a set of 20 meter x 5 meter solar panels (about 100 m²) can be installed on the balcony. But this project was estimated to require an initial investment of HK\$400,000 that would be recovered in 10 years. Since three years generally regarded as a reasonable payback duration for the company's investment, the company did not pursue this solar idea further, and the idea was not even submitted to the senior management for consideration.
- Second, the responses from the residential interviewees need to be interpreted with caution. Although about 40% of them did not state costs as their perceived barriers, a substantial number of these interviewees noted that since they did not even have space for PV installations, they simply see costs as a non-issue and thus did not perceive this as a barrier.
- Third, the institutional sector was less sensitive to these two economic barriers. Only few of institutional interviewees (2 out of 12, 16.7%) reported high upfront costs as their perceived barrier. While over half of interviewees from this sector (7 out of 12, 58.3%) indicated that payback periods are still a concern, it is not a major one. These participants were mainly from the fully-funded public tertiary institutions, where the costs of installing solar panels could be financed through government grants.

[Insert Figure 2 about here]

(b) In addition to costs and payback periods, the adoption of PV is affected by a variety of non-economic barriers that include technical, market, regulatory and social concerns

As shown in *Figure 2*, technical barriers (in particular limited rooftop space, maintenance), market barriers (such as the lack of availability of energy services companies (ESCO)' services, insufficient market information, and the existence of market monopoly), institutional and regulatory barriers (including insufficient guidelines on installation, and insufficient policy support), and social barriers (e.g. solar is not perceived as useful in daily life, neighbours' concerns on reflection caused by PV panels) are perceived by our interviewees across the three sectors as important factors affecting their level of interest in installing rooftop PV systems.

In this regard, it is important to highlight one interesting finding that relates to the barrier of space constraint. Given a compacted urban setting and vertical building structures in Hong Kong, it is perhaps not surprising that limited rooftop space was identified as a major barrier by our interviewees. But our study can shed light on the nature of this problem. As reported in the methodology section, we site visited most sampled residential premises. We found that it was a common phenomenon that a substantial rooftop area of these rooftops was occupied, and was therefore not available for PV installation. These rooftops were occupied by a variety of uses – some were used to store household items while some were occupied by rooftop mounted building maintenance units (BMUs), water tanks and even illegal structures such as steel-frame sunshades (*Figure 3*). The space constraint is also perceived as an important barrier by institutional and commercial interviewees but for different reasons. Interviewees from a governmental agency pointed out that existing regulations require high-rise public housing blocks to reserve not less than 50% of the rooftop area as a refuge for occupants in case of fire. Interviewees also noted that owners of commercial buildings may give priority to other rooftop uses such as installing BMUs and roof gardens.

(c) Perceptions of barriers differ across adopter's sectors

Our findings suggest that some barriers seem to be more sector-specific. An example of the sectoral differences of responses is that the institutional sector seems to be more sensitive to the issues of cheap electricity and market monopoly. It is the only sector that has stated their concerns over these economic and market barriers. Some of our interviewees from the institutional sector were of the view that the local power companies would not be supportive of distributed energy generation. They noted that there would be major challenges in dealing with the power companies in relation to grid connection issues. In contrast, none of the residential or commercial interviewees mentioned these as perceived barriers. While several residential interviewees did note the existence of a monopolised market in Hong Kong's electricity sector, they did not consider it as a barrier to solar adoption.

4.2. Shortening of the payback period is effective in changing attitudes among potential residential PV adopters

Our second finding provides a better understanding of the magnitude of attitudinal changes if the payback period is reduced. We asked our 40 residential interviewees to indicate their levels of interest in adopting rooftop solar PV under different scenarios (*Appendix 5*). Scenario 1 is the business-as-usual scenario – in which the upfront cost of installation is HK\$55,000 and the payback period is 35 years. Scenario 1 is developed based on the market information provided by

informants from the power/solar industries in Hong Kong.¹ Scenario 2 is a moderate-cost option in which the upfront cost is reduced to HK\$24,000 and the payback period is shortened to 15 years. Scenario 3 is a more radical reduced-cost option in which the upfront cost is further reduced to HK\$13,000 and the payback period is shortened to 8 years. This study did not invite commercial and institutional interviewees to evaluate these scenarios in view of the fact that the physical settings such as the roof space availability, BMUs requirements, as well as decision-making on payback periods may be highly diverse among these two sectors and this may make it difficult to generate useful cross-sectoral comparisons. More details of the three scenarios can be found in *Appendix 5*.

Our findings are summarised and presented in *Figure 4*. Five important points should be noted:

- Most residential interviewees (72.5%, 29 out of 40) express a low level of interest in installing rooftop PV under the “business-as-usual” scenario (with a payback period of 35 years).
- The shortening of the payback period is effective in raising residents’ interest in adopting rooftop solar PVs. As scenarios change from a payback period of 35 years (business-as-usual) to 15 years (moderate-cost scenario) and further to 8 years (radical reduced-cost scenario), 87.5% (35 out of 40) of the residential interviewees shifted away from the “low level of interest” group to higher levels of interest.
- If the payback period is reduced to 8 years (an indicative payback period that has already been achieved in Seoul, some states in the US, and a number of other places worldwide as shown in *Table 2*), 31 out of 40 (77.5%) would be interested or very interested in installing PV.
- Potential PV adopters in the residential sector in Hong Kong can be stratified and differentiated into three groups, *highly-responsive*, *laggard*, and *indifferent* groups. *Highly-responsive* householders are those 30 (75%) who stated that they would shift from the “low level of interest” attitude to the “high level of interest” even though there was only a moderate change in the payback period (i.e. reduced from 35 to 15 years); out of these 30 highly-responsive householders, 20 interviewees reported a further increase in interest when the payback period is further reduced to 8 years while the remaining 10 did not state a further attitudinal change. *Laggard* householders are those five (12.5%) of interviewees who remained unmoved when the payback period scenarios change from 1 to 2; but they reported an increase in interest level when the payback period was further

¹ On the rooftop of a typical 700 square feet village house, it is estimated that 6 solar PV panels with a total installed capacity of **1.56 kW** could be installed. The average size of a solar panel is 1.65 m². In line with current market prices, the total electrical equipment cost is estimated as HK\$55,000. The actual total electricity output would be 1,560 kWh (about 4.27 kWh per day), and the actual annual electricity saving could be HK\$1,560 (assuming the tariff is HK\$1 per kWh). Thus, the payback period would be about 35 years.

reduced to 8 years under Scenario 3. This indicates that they needed a more substantial shortening of the payback period for their attitudinal changes. *Non-responsive* householders are those five (12.5%) interviewees were *consistently* not interested in solar projects. They were indifferent to scenario change. They are either senior citizens or young professionals who have a high education level and a high income. Those “*indifferent*” senior citizens stated that they were concerned about the long payback period as they are in their later years. Those “*indifferent*” young professionals stated that they lacked confidence in solar technologies and were disappointed with the lack of effective solar policies.

- This study attempted to quantify the impacts of the shortening of the payback period on attitudinal changes in our three scenarios. The detailed methodology developed to do this is provided in *Appendix 6*. The overall magnitude of attitudinal changes (when the payback period is shortened from 35 years to 8 years) is 1.93 units (*Figure 5a*). It is important to note that the most noticeable attitudinal changes were recorded when the payback period is shorted from 35 years to 15 years (from Scenario 1 to Scenario 2), with an average unit of attitudinal change recorded at 1.15 units (*Figure 5b*). Attitudinal changes become moderate when the payback period is further reduced (from Scenario 2 to Scenario 3), with an average unit of attitudinal changes recorded at 0.78 units (*Figure 5c*). This observation has policy relevance because this may indicate that the Hong Kong government needs to pay attention to the possible diminishing *marginal* increase in adopter’s interest as it strengthens its solar policies.

4.3. Perception of prospective policy enablers

Interviewees in the three sectors were asked to prioritise the five major possible policies for solar PV in terms of the perceived effectiveness. The policies are renewable feed-in tariff (REFiT), net metering, governmental subsidy, regulatory measures, and technical support. Most of interviewees perceived regulatory measures and governmental subsidies as the top two most effective policy levers, while other policy schemes, such as REFiT and net metering, were also seen as effective.

An interesting finding of our study is that various groups of stakeholders perceived the most effective policy options differently as shown in *Figure 6*. For residential interviewees, the highest ranked policy is subsidies. Over half of residential interviewees commented that substantial subsidies from government could address their concerns about the high upfront costs directly, which could consequently shorten the payback period, and thus enhance the economic viability of PV systems. Some of them held the view that any investment has to be cost-effective as this is a social norm recognised in Hong Kong and other capitalist economies.

For institutional interviewees, the highest ranked policy is regulatory measures (such as a renewable portfolio standard) while one quarter of them regarded REFiT as also being an effective option to promote rooftop solar deployment. Although institutions also considered long payback period as one of barriers to solar adoption, they are not as constrained by financial factors. Most of them, like universities may obtain certain governmental subsidies to support renewable/solar projects.

For the commercial interviewees, both REFiT and net metering were ranked as the most effective policies. This group seemed to pay more attention to the role of the market in promoting rooftop PV deployment in Hong Kong. They recognised the advantages for the commercial sector of deploying innovative business models to make PV installations economically viable. Some of them suggested that a more competitive electricity market in which more private companies participate in PV development could provide a conducive environment for rooftop solar. Regarding subsidies and other direct government support, they commented that while they would welcome governmental support but they would not rely too much on it.

[Insert Figure 6 about here]

4.4. The development of an enabling framework for rooftop solar PV deployment in Hong Kong to guide policy developments

Based on the interview data and desktop studies, we developed an enabling framework for rooftop solar PV deployment in Hong Kong (*Figure 7*). This enabling framework consists of two integral levels. The first level emphasises that there are three yardsticks for sustainable PV deployment: technical suitability, economic variability, and social acceptance [21, 61, 62]. The second level specifies how government interventions in the form of solar policies can create five types of enablers in order to achieve these three normative yardsticks. Those five policy enablers are technical enablers, economic and financial enablers, market enablers, regulatory and institutional enablers, and social enablers.

Our framework has important policy implications. It suggests that the government needs to assume a more proactive, as well as dynamic roles as follows:

Firstly, the government needs to adopt a systemic view of governing the developments of PV. Economic enablers to cut upfront costs are important. But our framework suggests that there exists a wide range of non-cost issues associated with, for example, social and institutional barriers. It is particularly important to note that the government needs to leverage the synergy among these enablers. Our framework suggests that some enablers are highly related and reinforcing. For example, institutional enablers that facilitate new market entrants and address the problems associated with market monopoly may also promote innovation in business models (market enablers).

Secondly, the government needs to assume a proactive role in creating market conditions that are conducive to PV development. Our findings suggest that business model innovation may be a key to reduce high upfront costs of solar PV. According to local market information accessible by this research, a unit cost of a 1.5 kW solar system in a single purchase order would be approximately HK\$10/W, whilst the unit costs could be reduced to approximately HK\$6/W in bulk orders.² However, due to the small scale of solar development in Hong Kong, the opportunities of collective purchase have been limited (Interview with Solar Installer A, 2017).

Elsewhere in, for example, Portland, business model innovations appear to be a potential

² HK\$10 is approximately US\$1.3.

measure to reduce initial costs. The Portland model is a community collective purchasing programme. Energy Trust (an NGO) in Southeast Portland, in collaboration with other NGOs and neighbourhood volunteers, facilitated collective purchasing. Energy Trust played a central role in coordinating tendering processes for prospective solar household adopters. It solicited and obtained contractor bids. A single contractor would then be selected to serve all project enrollees with a single price (Rubado, 2016). Reducing transaction costs and transparency of market information appeared to be the key in the Portland's model. The Hong Kong government may introduce explicit and effective renewable energy policies and funding programmes that provide clear market signals and incentives for business model innovations and business-community partnerships.

Thirdly, in order to effectively address the issue of grid connection, the government needs to leverage its roles as a regulator and as a market-builder. Grid access has been identified by our interviewees as a major barrier. Some of our respondents expressed concern regarding hurdles in fulfilling technical requirements of grid connection and a lack of energy services companies in the local market. These views are consistent with the observations of two interviewed solar installers (Interviews with Solar Installer A and Solar Installer B (in 2017)). However, utility companies seemed to hold different views, emphasizing that technical standards on RE-grid connection have already been in place (CLP, 2016) (Interview with an anonymous senior executive of a local utility company, 2017). These mixed views across these key stakeholders suggest that local electric technicians may lack competences in providing professional solar installation services. Our framework suggests that while introducing clear market rules to ensure grid connection is an important regulatory enabler, the government also needs to create favourable market conditions for the scaling up of a competent solar industry in Hong Kong.

[Insert Figure 7 about here]

5. Conclusion and Policy Implications

This study has reviewed the recent trends in urban initiatives on solar PV, and has collected data through conducting 57 interviews with potential rooftop solar PV adopters from the residential, institutional, and commercial sectors in Hong Kong to assess their perceived barriers and perceived effectiveness of possible government policies. We make two important contributions in the field of urban energy policy. Firstly, we have partially filled the gap in the current research on renewables in urban settlements by introducing a local governance perspective. Our findings suggest that city governments have an important role to play in steering PV deployment. While Hong Kong potential adopters stated a low level of interest in installing PV, they demonstrated a considerable magnitude of attitudinal change provided payback periods are reduced. They also raised concerns over non-economic barriers that range from technical, to regulatory, and to social ones. The enabling framework that we develop suggests that the Hong Kong government can assume a proactive role in formulating PV policies, and can steer, nurture, and regulate PV deployment by creating technical, economic and financing, market, regulatory and institutional, and social enablers.

Secondly, our findings contribute to the literature on stakeholder perspectives on PV deployment [63]. A growing body of the solar literature has emerged to examine how PV adopters, existing power companies, and entrepreneurs respond to the new opportunities of deploying PV technologies. Noll et al. [20] examined how early adopters of solar installations respond to positive peer effects. Tongsopit et al. [17] examined how existing business and new entrepreneurs formed partnerships and generated value-added solutions through developing new business models for the rooftop solar market. Our findings contribute to this theme by shedding light on sectoral differences of potential adopters' perspectives on PV deployment. Our findings are particularly useful in providing a better understanding of the residential sector. By investigating residents' responses to payback period scenario changes, this study contributes to the literature by specifying three distinctive types of potential residential adopters, highly-responsive, laggard, and indifferent potential adopters. We also found that the existence of opportunity costs as a key factor affecting households' decisions on renewable energy options. Some of our surveyed rooftops were used for storage of household items, resulting in opportunity costs that have to be borne by prospective solar households.

Our findings have the following policy implications. Firstly, we found potential adopter interest in PV is hampered by multiple barriers. Some of the key issues that require policy attention include: (1) how to formulate subsidy strategies and other policy levers so that upfront costs and payback periods could be reduced to a *sufficient* extent that potential PV adopters could be motivated to install PV, (2) how to incentivise potential adopters to release rooftop spaces for PV rather than to use it for other purposes such as household storage, and (3) how to ensure sufficient technical support is provided to those considering PV installations.

Secondly, the enabling framework that we have developed can provide guidance for solar policy development in Hong Kong. While our framework highlights the important roles of city governments, the Hong Kong government can draw on the practical experiences of other large cities (summarised in *Table 1*) to develop the required economic, market, regulatory, technical, and social enablers. An important trend in policy developments in other large cities is that regulatory changes, market formation, as well as the provision of a great variety of technical support have increasingly become the focus of policy attention, especially for those that envisage a major uptake of PV in the near future. Governments in New York, Singapore and Tokyo, for example, have shown their leadership and political commitment by raising renewable targets [10]. London and Singapore have been proactive in strengthening their institutional and regulatory frameworks in order to accommodate PV and other intermittent generation sources. The Central Intermediary Scheme introduced in Singapore in 2015 to streamline market registration and settlement procedures is one of these initiatives. Governments in New York City and some other states in the US have nurtured the emergence and development of solar third-party ownership firms and a great variety of business models to support rooftop solar [64]. Many governments have also assumed important roles in creating enablers by providing not only technical assistance programmes, but also online solar maps. The New York City, Seoul and Tokyo governments have either provided or funded research institutes to establish online solar maps to calculate the amount of electricity generated from building rooftops. All these government functions seem to be critical to stimulate and assist attitudinal changes, and hopefully behavioural changes, of potential PV adopters.

Thirdly, our findings help to identify the policy preference of prospective PV adopters in Hong Kong. Policymakers should pay attention to formulating a policy framework that can effectively address identified barriers through a strategic combination of policy levers. Policymakers need to pay particular attention to stratification of policy target groups. Our findings identify that the institutional sector, which was not sensitive to the payback period, and some specific large electricity consumers locally, such as data centres, could be the first movers in major uptake of PV in Hong Kong. This observation is consistent with global trends in which a majority of PV systems in cities are built in institutional buildings. Worldwide, data centres have also attracted growing attention as they are massive energy users with significant scope for reducing energy consumption and costs [65].

Policy target groups can also be differentiated by their policy preferences and responses to the shortening of payback periods. Our findings suggest that residential interviewees welcome subsidies while institutional interviewees prefer regulatory measures and the commercial sector prefers the feed-in tariff. Our study also differentiated between highly-responsive, laggard, and indifferent potential adopters in the residential sector. Residential respondents also reported a more noticeable increase in interest when the payback period could be reduced to 15 years, and a less marked increase when the payback further reduced to 8 years. Our findings suggest that such policy levers may need to be designed in ways that address the perceived needs of specific target groups of potential adopters and that they need to be introduced in phases.

This paper is mainly based on in-depth interviews conducted with potential PV adopters in Hong Kong. As noted earlier, Hong Kong is atypical in many important respects and this sets it apart from many other major cities. But our findings can be generalised, at least to a certain extent, to other cities in high-income economies in the West (such as New York City, London) and in Asia (such as Tokyo, Seoul and Singapore) which share with Hong Kong similar challenges and opportunities for deployment PV in urban settings.

This exploratory study has a number of limitations. Firstly, because the sample size is small, the findings are indicative rather than conclusive. Secondly, this study adopted the perspectives of potential PV adopters to enrich our understanding of the factors affecting PV deployment. However, the literature suggests that the scale and pathway of PV deployment is often underpinned by a highly dynamic stakeholder landscape that also involve incumbent utilities, new market players such as ESCOs, and manufacturers as well as policymakers. Further studies may generate useful data by enlarging the sample size, and by extending this study to other key stakeholder groups, and other large cities.

References

- [1] IEA. Technology Roadmap: Solar Photovoltaic Energy. Paris: International Energy Agency.
https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/TechnologyRoadmapSolarPhotovoltaicEnergy_2014edition.pdf; 2014.
- [2] IEA. 2014 Snapshot of Global PV Markets: International Energy Agency.
http://www.iea-pvps.org/fileadmin/dam/public/report/technical/PVPS_report_-_A_Snapshot_of_Global_PV_-_1992-2014.pdf; 2015.
- [3] REN21. Renewables 2016 - Global Status Report. Paris: Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Century. http://www.ren21.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/GSR_2016_Full_Report_REN21.pdf; 2016.
- [4] EurObserv'ER. Solar Photovoltaic Barometer Paris, France: EurObserv'ER,
<http://www.eurobserv-er.org/pdf/press/2014/EurObservER-Press-Release-Photovoltaic-Barometer-2014-EN.pdf>; 2014.
- [5] Simpson G, Clifton J. The emperor and the cowboys: The role of government policy and industry in the adoption of domestic solar microgeneration systems. *Energy Policy* 2015;81:141-51.
- [6] Karakaya E, Sriwannawit P. Barriers to the adoption of photovoltaic systems: The state of the art. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2015;49:60-6.
- [7] Byrne J, Taminau J, Kurdgelashvili L, Kim KN. A review of the solar city concept and methods to assess rooftop solar electric potential, with an illustrative application to the city of Seoul. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2015;41:830-44.
- [8] Byrne J, Taminau J, Kim KN, Seo J, Lee J. A solar city strategy applied to six municipalities: integrating market, finance, and policy factors for infrastructure-scale photovoltaic development in Amsterdam, London, Munich, New York, Seoul, and Tokyo. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment* 2016;5:68-88.
- [9] GLA. Bring me Sunshine! How London's homes could generate more solar energy. London: Greater London Authority.
https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/07a_environment_committee_-_domestic_solar_report_-_final.pdf; 2015.
- [10] Bureau of Environment. Tokyo Environmental Master Plan. Tokyo: Bureau of Environment.
<https://www.kankyo.metro.tokyo.jp/en/files/3371113b16ac4fcba42a6d86e8438080.pdf>; 2016.
- [11] Lo AYH. Merging electricity and environment politics of Hong Kong: Identifying the

- barriers from the ways that sustainability is defined. *Energy Policy* 2008;36:1521-37.
- [12] Mah D, Hills P. An international review of local governance for climate change: Implications for Hong Kong. *Local Environment* 2016;21:39-64.
- [13] Mah D, Hills P, Tao J. Risk perception, trust and public engagement of nuclear decision-making: Results of a Hong Kong survey and policy implications. *Energy Policy* 2014;73:368-90.
- [14] Mah DN-y, van der Vleuten JM, Hills P, Tao J. Consumer perceptions of smart grid development: Results of a Hong Kong survey and policy implications. *Energy Policy* 2012;49:204-16.
- [15] Jacobsson S, Johnson A. The diffusion of renewable energy technology: an analytical framework and key issues for research. *Energy Policy* 2000;28:625-40.
- [16] ERI. *Scaling Up Solar PV: A Roadmap for Thailand*. Bangkok: Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency. http://www.dede.go.th/ewt_dl_link.php?nid=42059; 2015.
- [17] Tongsovit S, Mounghareon S, Aksornkij A, Potisat T. Business models and financing options for a rapid scale-up of rooftop solar power systems in Thailand. *Energy Policy* 2016.
- [18] Lacerda JS, Bergh JCJMvd. Diversity in solar photovoltaic energy: Implications for innovation and policy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2016;54:331-40.
- [19] Sivaram V, Kann S. Solar power needs a more ambitious cost target. *Nature Energy* 2016;1:1-3.
- [20] Noll D, Dawes C, Rai V. Solar Community Organizations and active peer effects in the adoption of residential PV. *Energy Policy* 2014;67:330-43.
- [21] Luther J, Reindl T. *Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Roadmap for Singapore (A Summary)*. Singapore: Solar Energy Research Institute of Singapore. https://www.nccs.gov.sg/sites/nccs/files/Roadmap_Solar_20140729.pdf; 2014.
- [22] EMA. *Solar Photovoltaic Systems*. Singapore: Energy Market Authority. https://www.ema.gov.sg/Solar_Photosoltaic_Systems.aspx; 2015.
- [23] CEC. *New Solar Homes Partnership Market Report*. Sacramento, USA: California Energy Commission. <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2016publications/CEC-300-2016-005/CEC-300-2016-005.pdf>; 2016.
- [24] IEA. *World Energy Outlook 2008*. Paris: International Energy Agency; 2008.
- [25] Kern K, Alber G. *Governing Climate Change in Cities: Modes of Urban Climate Governance in Multi-Level Systems*. OECD International Conference: "Competitive Cities and Climate Change", pp 171-196. Milan, Italy2008. p. 171-96.
- [26] SMG. *One Less Nuclear Power Plant, Phase 2 - Seoul Sustainable Energy Action Plan*.

- Seoul: Seoul Metropolitan Government.
<http://env.seoul.go.kr/files/2015/11/5656c402747ae7.44367433.pdf>; 2015.
- [27] Luther JaR, T. . Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Roadmap for Singapore (A Summary). Singapore: The National Climate Change Secretariat (NCCS) ,
https://www.nccs.gov.sg/sites/nccs/files/Roadmap_Solar_20140729.pdf; 2014.
- [28] Zhao X, Zeng Y, Zhao D. Distributed solar photovoltaics in China: Policies and economic performance. *Energy* 2015;88:572-83.
- [29] Bulkeley H, Kern K. Local government and the governing of climate change in Germany and the UK. *Urban Studies* 2006;43:2237–59.
- [30] Lee T, Lee T, Le Y. An experiment for urban energy autonomy in Seoul: The One 'Less' Nuclear Power Plant policy. *Energy Policy* 2014;74:311-8.
- [31] Hammer S. Renewable energy policymaking in New York and London: lessons for other 'World Cities'? In: Droege P, editor. *Urban Energy Transition: from Fossil Fuels to Renewable Power*. Amsterdam; Boston; London: Elsevier; 2008. p. 143-71.
- [32] Keiner M, Kim A. City energy networking in Europe. In: Droege P, editor. *Urban Energy Transition: from Fossil Fuels to Renewable Power*. Amsterdam; Boston; London: Elsevier; 2008. p. 193-209.
- [33] Keirstead J, Schulz N. London and beyond: Taking a closer look at urban energy policy. *Energy Policy* 2010;38:4870-9.
- [34] Sauter R, Watson J. Strategies for the deployment of micro-generation: Implications for social acceptance. *Energy Policy* 2007;35:2770-9.
- [35] Heiskanen E, Matschoss K, Heiskanen E. Understanding the uneven diffusion of building-scale renewable energy systems: A review of household, local and country level factors in diverse European countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2016.
- [36] Viardot E. The role of cooperatives in overcoming the barriers to adoption of renewable energy. *Energy Policy* 2013;63:756-64.
- [37] del Río P, Mir-Artigues P. Support for solar PV deployment in Spain: Some policy lessons. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2012;16:5557-66.
- [38] Sahu B. A study on global solar PV energy developments and policies with special focus on the top ten solar PV power producing countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2015;43:621-34.
- [39] IEA. Trends 2015: In Photovoltaic Applications - Executive Summary. Paris: International Energy Agency. http://www.iea-pvps.org/fileadmin/dam/public/report/national/IEA-PVPS_-_Trends_2015_-_Executive_Summary_-_Final.pdf; 2015.
- [40] Environment Bureau. Planning Ahead for a Better Fuel Mix - Future Fuel Mix For

- Electricity Generation Consultation Document. Hong Kong: Environment Bureau.
<http://www.enb.gov.hk/sites/default/files/en/node2605/Consultation%20Document.pdf>;
 2014.
- [41] Environment Bureau. Hong Kong's Climate Change Strategy and Action Agenda - Consultation Document. Hong Kong: Environment Bureau.
http://www.epd.gov.hk/epd/english/climate_change/files/Climate_Change_Booklet_E.pdf ; 2010.
- [42] Environment Bureau. Energy Saving Plan - For Hong Kong's Built Environment 2015~2025+. Hong Kong: Hong Kong Government.
<http://www.enb.gov.hk/sites/default/files/pdf/EnergySavingPlanEn.pdf>; 2015.
- [43] Environment Bureau. Hong Kong's Climate Action Plan 2030+. Hong Kong: Environment Bureau.
<http://www.enb.gov.hk/sites/default/files/pdf/ClimateActionPlanEng.pdf>; 2017.
- [44] EEO. Examples of Photovoltaic Projects by the Government. Hong Kong: Electrical and Mechanical Services Department.
http://re.emsd.gov.hk/tc_chi/solar/solar_ph/files/gov_pv_Jun2014.pdf; 2014.
- [45] EEO. Examples of Non-government solar PV Projects in Hong Kong. Hong Kong: Engineering Mechanical and Services Department.
http://re.emsd.gov.hk/tc_chi/solar/solar_ph/files/non_gov_PV_Jun2014.pdf; 2014.
- [46] HK Government. LCQ22: Renewable Energy. 2013.
- [47] Hill RD, Ng K, Tse PW. The Suburbanization of Rural Villages in the New Territories, Hong Kong (Working Paper No. 38). Hong Kong: Centre of Urban Studies & Urban Planning, The University of Hong Kong. ; 1989.
- [48] DeGolyer M. Small Houses, Big Effects: Public Opinion Survey on the Small House Policy Hong Kong: Civic Exchange. http://civic-exchange.org/Publish/LogicaldocContent/20150518_SHP3_full.pdf; 2015.
- [49] Fong KF, Lee CK, Chow TT, Lin Z, Chan LS. Solar hybrid air-conditioning system for high temperature cooling in subtropical city. *Renewable Energy* 2010;35:2439-51.
- [50] ISD. Small House Policy. 2016.
- [51] Li DHW, Cheung KL, Lam TNT, Chan WWH. A study of grid-connected photovoltaic (PV) system in Hong Kong. *Applied Energy* 2012;90:122-7.
- [52] Ma T, Yang H, Lu L. Performance evaluation of a stand-alone photovoltaic system on an isolated island in Hong Kong. *Applied Energy* 2013;112:663-72.
- [53] Yang H, Zheng G, Lou C, An D, Burnett J. Grid-connected building-integrated photovoltaics: a Hong Kong case study. *Solar Energy* 2004;76:55-9.
- [54] Lu L, Yang HX. Environmental payback time analysis of a roof-mounted building-

- integrated photovoltaic (BIPV) system in Hong Kong. *Applied Energy* 2010;87:3625-31.
- [55] Ng AW, Nathwani J. Sustainable energy policy for Asia: Mitigating systemic hurdles in a highly dense city. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2010;14:1118-23.
- [56] Li DHW, Cheung GHW, Lam JC. Analysis of the operational performance and efficiency characteristic for photovoltaic system in Hong Kong. *Energy Conversion and Management* 2005;46:1107-18.
- [57] Ma T, Yang H, Lu L, Peng J. Technical feasibility study on a standalone hybrid solar-wind system with pumped hydro storage for a remote island in Hong Kong. *Renewable energy* 2014;69:7-15.
- [58] SF Environment. *Solar for Multi-Tenant Buildings*. San Francisco, CA: San Francisco Department of the Environment.
http://sfenvironment.org/sites/default/files/fliers/files/sfe_re_solar_for_multi-tenant_building_owners.pdf; 2016.
- [59] Rogers E. *Diffusion of Innovatin*. New York: Free Press; 2003.
- [60] Heimiller D. *Simple Photovoltaic Economic Calculations*. Golden, Colorado, USA: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
http://www1.eere.energy.gov/solar/pdfs/simple_pv_economic_calculations_tn.pdf; 2010.
- [61] Sovacool BK, Lakshmi Ratan P. Conceptualizing the acceptance of wind and solar electricity. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2012;16:5268-79.
- [62] Neuhoff K. Large-scale deployment of renewables for electricity generation. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 2005;21:88-110.
- [63] Brown M. Market failures and barriers as a basis for clean energy policies. *Energy Policy* 2001;29:1197-207.
- [64] Overholm H. Spreading the rooftop revolution: What policies enable solar-as-a-service? *Energy Policy* 2015;84:69-79.
- [65] Chen H, Caramanis M, C., Coskun A, K. *Reducing the Data Center Electricity Costs Through Participation in Smart Grid Programs*. Boston: Performance and Energy Aware Computing Lab, Boston University.
<http://www.bu.edu/peaclab/files/2014/03/IGCC2014.pdf>; 2014.
- CLP. (2016). *Renewable Energy Systems and CLP's Electricity Grid Connection*. Hong Kong: CLP Power. https://www.clp.com.hk/en/community-and-environment-site/sustainable-future-site/Documents/Grid%20Connection%20Brochure_ENG.pdf.
 - Martinsson, J., Lundqvist, L., & Sundström, A. (2011). *Energy saving in Swedish*

- households. The (relative) importance of environmental attitudes. *Energy Policy*, 39, 5182-5191.
- Svenson, O. (2013). Motivation, decisions theory, and human decison making. In S. Kreittler (Ed.), *Cognition & Motivation: Forging an Interdisciplinary Perspective* (pp. 307-320). New York: Cambridge University Press.