



## FULL FRIENDLY INDEX SETS OF SLENDER AND FLAT CYLINDER GRAPHS

W. C. SHIU\* AND M.-H. HO

Communicated by Ivan Gutman

ABSTRACT. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected simple graph. A labeling  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  induces an edge labeling  $f^* : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  defined by  $f^*(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$  for each  $xy \in E$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , let  $v_f(i) = |f^{-1}(i)|$  and  $e_f(i) = |f^{*-1}(i)|$ . A labeling  $f$  is called friendly if  $|v_f(1) - v_f(0)| \leq 1$ . The full friendly index set of  $G$  consists all possible differences between the number of edges labeled by 1 and the number of edges labeled by 0. In recent years, full friendly index sets for certain graphs were studied, such as tori, grids  $P_2 \times P_n$ , and cylinders  $C_m \times P_n$  for some  $n$  and  $m$ . In this paper we study the full friendly index sets of cylinder graphs  $C_m \times P_2$  for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $C_m \times P_3$  for  $m \geq 4$  and  $C_3 \times P_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ . The results in this paper complement the existing results in literature, so the full friendly index set of cylinder graphs are completely determined.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple connected graph. A vertex labeling  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  induces an edge labeling  $f^* : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ , given by

$$f^*(xy) := f(x) + f(y),$$

where  $xy \in E$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , define  $v_f(i) = |f^{-1}(i)|$  and  $e_f(i) = |(f^*)^{-1}(i)|$ , i.e.,  $v_f(i)$  is the number of vertices labeled by  $i$  and  $e_f(i)$  is the number of edges labeled by  $i$ . A vertex labeling  $f$  is said to be *friendly* if

$$|v_f(1) - v_f(0)| \leq 1.$$

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 05C78; Secondary: 05C15.

Keywords: Full friendly index sets, cylinder graphs.

Received: 4 September 2013, Accepted: 21 October 2013.

\*Corresponding author.

For a friendly labeling  $f$  of a graph  $G$  the *friendly index* of  $G$  with respect to  $f$ , denoted by  $i_f(G)$ , is defined to be

$$i_f(G) := e_f(1) - e_f(0).$$

The *friendly index set* [2]  $\text{FI}(G)$  of  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{FI}(G) = \{i_f(G) \mid f \text{ is a friendly labeling of } G\}.$$

In [7] Shiu-Kwong generalize the friendly index set to the *full friendly index set*  $\text{FFI}(G)$ :

$$\text{FFI}(G) = \{i_f(G) \mid f \text{ is a friendly labeling of } G\}.$$

Friendly index of some graphs are studied in [4, 3, 5, 6]. Let  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Denote by  $C_m$  an  $m$ -cycle and  $P_n$  an  $n$ -path. The full friendly index sets are studied in the case of a torus  $C_m \times C_n$  [8, 9], a cylinder  $C_m \times P_n$  for  $m, n \geq 4$  [10, 11] and a grid  $P_2 \times P_n$  [7]. In this paper we study the full friendly index sets of cylinder graphs  $C_m \times P_2$  for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $C_m \times P_3$  for  $m \geq 4$  and  $C_3 \times P_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Together with [10, 11] the full friendly index sets of cylinder graphs  $C_m \times P_n$  for arbitrary  $m$  and  $n$  are completely determined.

Henceforth the term “labeling” on a graph  $G$  means a vertex labeling from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

## 2. Notation and preliminary results

We refer to [1] for general notions of graphs. Let  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Denote by  $C_m$  an  $m$ -cycle and  $P_n$  an  $n$ -path. The Cartesian product  $C_m \times P_n$  is a cylinder graph with  $mn$  vertices labeled by  $u_{ij}$  (or  $u_{i,j}$ ), where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The size of  $C_m \times P_n$  is  $2mn - m$ . Two vertices  $u_{ij}$  and  $u_{hk}$  of  $C_m \times P_n$  are adjacent if either

$$\begin{aligned} i = h \text{ and } j = k \pm 1, \text{ or} \\ j = k \text{ and } i \equiv h \pm 1 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

We recall some results of the extremely friendly index of  $C_m \times P_n$  in [10].

**Theorem 2.1.** [10, Theorem 2.4] *If  $f$  is a friendly labeling of  $C_m \times P_n$ , then*

$$i_f(C_m \times P_n) \leq \begin{cases} 2mn - m - 2n, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 2mn - m, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** [10, Theorems 3.2–3.5] *Let  $f$  be a friendly labeling of  $C_m \times P_n$ .*

(1) *Suppose  $n$  is even.*

(a) *If  $m \leq 2n$ , then  $i_f(C_m \times P_n) \geq 3m - 2mn$ .*

(b) *If  $m \geq 2n$ , then*

$$i_f(C_m \times P_n) \geq \begin{cases} 4n + m + 2 - 2mn, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 4n + m - 2mn, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

(2) *Suppose  $n$  is odd.*

- (a) If  $m \leq 2n - 1$ , then  $i_f(C_m \times P_n) \geq 3m + 4 - 2mn$ .
- (b) If  $m \geq 2n - 2$ , then

$$i_f(C_m \times P_n) \geq \begin{cases} 4n + m + 2 - 2mn, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 4n + m - 2mn, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

### 3. Non-existence of friendly indices of $C_m \times P_n$

In the previous section we recall the upper bound and the lower bound of the friendly index of the graph  $C_m \times P_n$ . In this section we prove that some integers lying between the upper bound and the lower bound cannot be the friendly index of  $C_m \times P_n$ .

We begin with some elementary observations.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a friendly labeling of  $C_m \times P_2 = (V, E)$ . Then*

$$v_f(1) \equiv m \pmod{2}.$$

**Proof.** Since the degree of each of the vertices of  $C_m \times P_2$  is 3, it follows that

$$e_f(1) \equiv \sum_{e \in E} f^*(e) = \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)f(v) = \sum_{v \in V} 3f(v) \equiv 3v_f(1) \equiv v_f(1) \pmod{2}.$$

Since  $f$  is a friendly labeling, it follows that  $v_f(0) = v_f(1) = m$ . Thus  $v_f(1) \equiv m \pmod{2}$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** [11, Theorem 2.1] *For even  $m$  with  $m \geq 4$  and  $n \geq 2$ , there is no friendly labeling  $f$  of  $C_m \times P_n$  such that  $e_f(1) = 2mn - m - p$ , where  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .*

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  a vertex labeling of  $G$ . A subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be *mixed* with respect to  $f$  if there are two vertices  $u, v \in V(H)$  such that  $f(u) = 1$  and  $f(v) = 0$ . An edge  $e \in E(G)$  is called a  $k$ -edge if  $f^*(e) = k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Clearly, mixed cycles and mixed paths contain at least two 1-edges and one 0-edge, respectively. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . A cycle  $C$  is called a  *$k$ -pure cycle*, where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , with respect to  $f$  if  $f(u) = k$  for all  $u \in V(C)$ . We define  *$k$ -pure path* in a similar fashion.

A path in  $C_m \times P_n$  of the form  $u_{i1}u_{i2} \cdots u_{in}$  is called a *vertical path* for each fixed  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . A cycle in  $C_m \times P_n$  of the form  $u_{1j}u_{2j} \cdots u_{mj}u_{1j}$  is called a *horizontal cycle* for each fixed  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** [11, Lemma 2.2] *For even  $m$ , if  $C_m \times P_n$  contains a vertical mixed path under a friendly labeling  $f$ , then the number of vertical mixed paths is at least two.*

**Lemma 3.4.** [7, Corollary 5] *Let  $f$  be a labeling of a graph  $G$  that contains a cycle  $C$  as its subgraph. If  $C$  contains a 1-edge, then the number of 1-edges in  $C$  is a positive even number.*

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $m \geq 6$  be even. If  $C_m \times P_3$  contains a horizontal pure cycle (either a 1-pure cycle or a 0-pure cycle) and a horizontal mixed cycle with respect to a friendly labeling  $f$ , then  $e_f(1) \geq 8$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $r$  be the number of horizontal 1-pure cycles and  $s$  the number of horizontal 0-pure cycles. Since  $f$  is a friendly labeling, it follows that  $0 \leq r, s \leq 1$ . There are two cases.

- (1) Suppose  $r = 1$  and  $s = 0$ . Then there are two horizontal mixed cycles, each of which has at least two 1-edges. Since  $v_f(0) = \frac{3m}{2}$ , there are at least  $\frac{3m}{4}$  mixed vertical paths. Thus  $e_f(1) \geq 4 + \frac{3m}{4} > 8$ . Hence  $e_f(1) \geq 9$ . The case  $r = 0$  and  $s = 1$  is similar.
- (2) Suppose  $r = 1 = s$ . Then there is one horizontal mixed cycle, and all vertical paths are mixed. Thus

$$e_f(1) \geq 2 + m \geq 2 + 6 = 8.$$

□

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $m \geq 6$  be even. There is no friendly labeling  $f$  of  $C_m \times P_3$  such that  $e_f(1) = 7$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  be the number of horizontal mixed cycles and  $b$  the number of vertical mixed paths of  $C_m \times P_3$ . Note that  $a \neq 0$  by friendliness, and  $b \neq 1$  by Lemma 3.3. If  $a = 1$  or  $2$ , then  $e_f(1) \geq 8$  by Lemma 3.5.

Suppose  $a = 3$ . If  $b = 0$ , then by Lemma 3.4 each horizontal mixed cycle contains at least two 1-edges. Thus  $e_f(1) \geq 2 + 2 + 2 = 6$ . Note that in this case  $e_f(1)$  cannot be an odd integer by the same reason. If  $b \geq 2$ , then  $e_f(1) \geq 2 + 2 + 2 + b \geq 8$ , where the 2's follows from the reason as above.

Combining all these cases together we conclude that  $e_f(1) \neq 7$ . □

**Lemma 3.7.** [11, Lemma 2.3] *Let  $n$  be even. If  $C_m \times P_n$  contains a horizontal mixed cycle with respect to a friendly labeling  $f$ , then the number of horizontal mixed cycles is at least two.*

**Lemma 3.8.** [11, Lemma 2.4] *Let  $n \geq 4$  be even and  $3 \leq m \leq 2n$ . If  $C_m \times P_n$  contains a horizontal pure cycle and a horizontal mixed cycle with respect to a friendly labeling  $f$ , then*

$$e_f(1) \geq \begin{cases} m + 4, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ m + 3, & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } m = 2n; \\ m + 4, & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } m \leq 2n - 2. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $n \geq 4$  be even. There is no friendly labeling  $f$  of  $C_3 \times P_n$  such that  $e_f(1) = 4, 5$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  be the number of horizontal mixed cycles and  $b$  the number of vertical mixed paths. If  $b = 0$ , then all three vertical paths are pure and therefore  $|v_f(1) - v_f(0)| \geq n \geq 4$ , contradicting to the assumption that  $f$  is a friendly labeling. Thus  $b \neq 0$ . We consider the following three cases for  $a$ .

- (1) Suppose  $a = 0$ . Then all three vertical paths are identical. Thus  $e_f(1)$  is a multiple of 3, so  $e_f(1) \neq 4, 5$ .
- (2) Suppose  $1 \leq a < n$ . Then  $C_3 \times P_n$  contains  $a$  horizontal mixed cycles and at least one pure cycle. By Lemma 3.8 we have  $e_f(1) \geq 3 + 4 = 7$ .
- (3) Suppose  $a = n$ . Since  $b \geq 1$ , it follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $e_f(1) \geq 2n + b \geq 8 + 1 = 9$ .

Combining all these cases together we conclude that  $e_f(1) \neq 4, 5$ . □

### 4. Elementary operations on vertex labeling

In this section we prove some results that will be useful in studying the full friendly index set of  $C_m \times P_n$ .

Let  $f$  be a labeling of  $C_m \times P_n$ . An  $n \times m$  matrix  $A_f$ , whose  $(j, i)$ -entry is defined by  $(A_f)_{ji} = f(u_{ij})$ , is called the labeling matrix of  $C_m \times P_n$  under  $f$ . For convenience, we write  $f$  for  $A_f$ . Let  $[a, b] = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq i \leq b\}$ . We denote by  $O_{p,q}$  and  $J_{p,q}$  the  $p \times q$  zero matrix and the  $p \times q$  matrix whose entries are 1 respectively.

For a given matrix  $A$ , define a row operation  $\sigma_i$  on  $A$  by shifting the  $i$ -th row of  $A$  to the right by 1 entry (the last entry of the  $i$ -th row shifts to the first entry). Denote by  $\sigma_i(A)$  the resulting matrix.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Consider  $C_m \times P_2$  with a labeling  $f$  represented by the matrix*

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} J_{2, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor} & O_{2, \lceil m/2 \rceil} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ , let  $f_j = \sigma_1^j(f)$ , where  $\sigma_1^j := \overbrace{\sigma_1 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_1}^j$ . Then  $e_{f_j}(1) = 4 + 2j$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $f$  is friendly for even  $m$  but not for odd  $m$ . Note also that shifting the vertex labeling of first horizontal cycle will not change the number of 1-edges in the horizontal cycle; it will only change the number of 1-edges in the vertical paths.

Note that  $f = f_0$  and  $e_f(1) = 4$ . Clearly

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1} & & 0 & \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1} & & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{0} & & & \\ & J_{2, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1} & & \\ \boxed{1} & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & & & \\ & O_{2, \lceil m/2 \rceil - 1} & & \\ & & & \boxed{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus there are two more 1-edges in the vertical paths. It is easy to see that  $e_{f_j}(1) - e_{f_{j-1}}(1) = 2$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 1$ . Thus  $e_{f_j}(1) = 4 + 2j$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Consider  $C_m \times P_2$ .*

(1) *Let  $f$  be a friendly labeling of  $C_m \times P_2$  represented by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Interchange the labeling of the  $2j$ -th column of the above matrix for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and denote by  $f_k$  the resulting labeling with  $f_0 := f$ . Then  $e_{f_0}(1) = m$  and  $e_{f_k}(1) = m + 4k$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ .*

(2) *Let  $g$  be a friendly labeling of  $C_m \times P_2$  represented by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & \boxed{1 \ 0 \ 1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \boxed{0 \ 0 \ 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Interchange the labeling of the  $2j$ -th column of the above matrix for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and denote by  $g_k$  the resulting labeling with  $g_0 := g$ . Then  $e_{g_0}(1) = m + 2$  and  $e_{g_k}(1) = m + 2 + 4k$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 2$ .*

**Proof.** Note that interchanging the labeling of the columns will only change the number of 1-edges in the horizontal cycles and will not change the number of 1-edges of the vertical paths.

- (1) It is obvious that  $e_f(1) = m$ . Note that  $f_1$  is obtained by interchanging the second column of the labeling  $f$ , and the resulting matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus four more 1-edges are obtained from the horizontal cycles. It is easy to see that  $e_{f_k}(1) - e_{f_{k-1}}(1) = 4$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . Thus  $e_{f_k}(1) = m + 4k$ .

- (2) Similar to the above proof. □

For a friendly labeling  $f$  of a graph  $G$ , we have

$$e_f(1) - e_f(0) = 2e_f(1) - |E(G)|.$$

To compute  $\text{FFI}(G)$ , it suffices to compute the set

$$a(G) = \{e_f(1) \mid f \text{ is a friendly labeling of } G\}.$$

Then  $\text{FFI}(G) = \{2i - |E(G)| \mid i \in a(G)\}$ .

By substituting  $m$  by  $2m$  and  $2m + 1$  in Proposition 4.2 we have

**Corollary 4.3.** For  $m \geq 2$

$$\{2m + 2i \mid i \in [0, 2m] \setminus \{2m - 1\}\} = \{2i \mid i \in [m, 3m] \setminus \{3m - 1\}\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_2),$$

and for  $m \geq 1$

$$\{2m + 1 + 2i \mid i \in [0, 2m]\} = \{2i + 1 \mid i \in [m, 3m]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_2).$$

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $f$  be a friendly labeling on  $C_4 \times P_3$  represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 & * \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $*$  is either 1 or 0. Interchange the (1,2)-entry with (1,3)-entry of  $f$  (or the (3,2)-entry with (3,3)-entry, not both) decreases  $e_f(1)$  by 4. Interchange the (1,2)-entry with (1,3)-entry and the (3,2)-entry with (3,3)-entry decreases  $e_f(1)$  by 8.

**Proposition 4.5.** Consider the labeling  $f = \begin{pmatrix} J_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, 3} \\ O_{\lceil n/2 \rceil, 3} \end{pmatrix}$  on  $C_3 \times P_n$ . Interchange the  $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - i + 1, 3)$ -entry with the  $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + i, 1)$ -entry of  $f$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$ , and denote by  $f_k$  the resulting labeling. Then  $e_f(1) = 3$  and  $e_{f_k}(1) = 3 + 4k$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $f$  is friendly for even  $n$  but not for odd  $n$ . Note also that  $e_f(1) = 3$ . After interchanging the  $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, 3)$ -entry with the  $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, 1)$ -entry from  $f$ , we have the following matrix

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1, 3} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ O_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1, 3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the above matrix we see that  $e_{f_1}(1) = 7 = 3 + 4$ . After interchanging the  $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1, 3)$ -entry with the  $(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2, 1)$ -entry from  $f_1$ , we have

$$f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} J_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2, 3} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ O_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2, 3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the above matrix we see that  $e_{f_2}(1) = 11 = e_{f_1}(1) + 4$ . It is easy to see that  $e_{f_k}(1) - e_{f_{k-1}}(1) = 4$  for each  $k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$ , and therefore  $e_{f_k}(1) = 3 + 4k$ . □

### 5. Realizing the full friendly index set

In this section we realize all the potential friendly indices of  $C_m \times P_n$  for some  $n$  and  $m$ .

In the following we determine for  $a(C_m \times P_2)$  for  $m \geq 4$ ,  $a(C_m \times P_3)$  for  $m \geq 4$  and  $a(C_3 \times P_n)$  for  $n \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *For  $m \geq 2$ , we have*

$$a(C_{2m} \times P_2) = \{2i \mid i \in [2, 3m] \setminus \{3m - 1\}\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be any friendly labeling of  $C_{2m} \times P_2$ . By Theorem 2.1 and (1)(b) of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$6m \geq i_\phi(C_{2m} \times P_2) \geq 8 - 6m.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$i_\phi(C_{2m} \times P_2) = 2e_\phi(1) - |E(C_{2m} \times P_2)| = 2e_\phi(1) - 6m.$$

It follows that  $6m \geq e_\phi(1) \geq 4$ .

Let  $f$  be the labeling of  $C_{2m} \times P_2$  of Proposition 4.1. Note that  $f$  is friendly. By Proposition 4.1 we have  $\{2i \mid i \in [2, m + 2]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_2)$ . The result follows from Corollary 4.3. □

**Theorem 5.2.** *For  $m \geq 2$ , we have*

$$a(C_{2m+1} \times P_2) = \{2i + 1 \mid i \in [2, 3m]\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be any friendly labeling of  $C_{2m+1} \times P_2$ . By Theorem 2.1 and (1)(b) of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$6m - 1 \geq i_\phi(C_{2m} \times P_2) \geq 7 - 6m.$$

It follows that  $6m + 1 \geq e_\phi(1) \geq 5$ .

Let  $f$  be a labeling on  $C_{2m+1} \times P_2$  represented by the matrix

$$\left( J_{2,m} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} O_{2,m} \right).$$

Note that  $f$  is a friendly labeling of  $C_{2m+1} \times P_2$  and  $e_f(1) = 5$ . By applying the procedure in Proposition 4.1 we have  $e_{f_j}(1) = 5 + 2j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Thus  $\{2i + 1 \mid i \in [2, m + 2]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_2)$ . The result follows from Corollary 4.3. □

**Theorem 5.3.** For  $m \geq 3$ , we have

$$a(C_{2m} \times P_3) = \{6, 10m\} \cup [8, 10m - 4].$$

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be any friendly labeling of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$ . By Theorem 2.1 and (2)(b) of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$10m \geq i_\phi(C_{2m} \times P_3) \geq 12 - 10m.$$

That means  $10m \geq e_\phi(1) \geq 6$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $e_\phi(1) \notin \{10m - 1, 10m - 2, 10m - 3\}$ .

Let  $f$  be a labeling on  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  represented by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} J_{3,m} & O_{3,m} \end{pmatrix}$ . Note that  $f$  is a friendly labeling of  $C_{2m} \times P_2$  and  $e_f(1) = 6$ . Let  $f_j = \sigma_3^j(f)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1, we have  $e_{f_j}(1) = 6 + 2j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Thus  $\{2i \mid i \in [3, m + 3]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ . The matrix representing  $f_m$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{2,m} & O_{2,m} \\ O_{1,m} & J_{1,m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider  $\sigma_1^j(f_m)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1 we see that  $\{2i \mid i \in [m + 3, 2m + 3]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Consider another labeling  $g$  of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  represented by the matrix

$$\left( J_{3,m-1} \begin{array}{|cc|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} O_{3,m-1} \right).$$

Note that  $g$  is a friendly labeling and  $e_g(1) = 9$ . Consider  $\sigma_1^j(g)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1, we have  $\{2i + 1 \mid i \in [4, m + 3]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ . Let  $\tilde{g} = \sigma_1^{m-1}(g)$ . Consider  $\sigma_3^j(\tilde{g})$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . Similarly we have  $\{2i + 1 \mid i \in [m + 3, 2m + 2]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Combining the above cases, we have  $\{6\} \cup [8, 4m + 6] \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .



By Theorem 2.1 we have  $e_\phi(1) \leq 10m$  for any friendly labeling  $\phi$ . Let  $h$  be a labeling of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  whose matrix representation is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $h$  is a friendly labeling and  $e_h(1) = 10m$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose  $m = 2k$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . Then we can subdivide the above matrix into  $k$  submatrices (blocks) of size  $3 \times 4$  starting from the first column. Apply the procedure in Lemma 4.4 to the first row and the third row in each of these blocks consecutively, we see that  $\{4i \mid i \in [3k, 5k]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Consider the labelings  $p, q$  and  $r$  whose matrix representations are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\ q &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\ r &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $p, q$  and  $r$  are friendly labelings of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  and  $e_p(1) = 20k - 5$ ,  $e_q(1) = 20k - 6$  and  $e_r(1) = 20k - 7$ . By applying the procedure of Lemma 4.4 to the first  $k - 1$  blocks of all these matrices consecutively, we see that  $\{4i + 3 \mid i \in [3k, 5k - 2]\}$ ,  $\{4i + 2 \mid i \in [3k, 5k - 2]\}$  and  $\{4i + 1 \mid i \in [3k, 5k - 2]\}$  are subsets of  $a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Combining the above four cases, we have  $[6m, 10m - 4] \cup \{10m\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Let  $s$  be a friendly labeling of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  whose matrix representation is given by

$$s = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Note that  $e_s(1) = 6m$ . By applying a similar procedure in Lemma 4.4 to the first row of each block of  $s$  consecutively, we see that  $\{4i \mid i \in [2k, 3k]\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Consider the labelings  $t, u$  and  $v$  of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  whose matrix representations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
 \end{array} \right), \\
 u &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
 \end{array} \right), \\
 v &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
 \end{array} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $t, u$  and  $v$  are friendly labelings of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  and  $e_t(1) = 6m + 2, e_u(1) = 6m + 1$  and  $e_v(1) = 6m - 1$ . By applying a similar procedure of Lemma 4.4 to the first row of each boxed block of these matrices, we see that  $\{4i+2 \mid i \in [2k+1, 3k]\}, \{4i+1 \mid i \in [2k+1, 3k]\}$  and  $\{4i+3 \mid i \in [2k, 3k-1]\}$  are subsets of  $a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ .

Combining the above four cases, we have  $[4m + 3, 6m + 2] \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ . The theorem holds for even  $m$  by considering all the above cases.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $m = 2k + 1$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . We shall keep the labelings  $h, p, q, r, s, t, u$  and  $v$  for  $m = 2k$ . Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We construct a labeling  $\bar{h}$  similar to  $h$  in Case 1 by inserting the sub-matrix  $A$  into  $h$  as the last two columns. Then  $e_{\bar{h}}(1) = 20k + 10 = 10m$ . Similar to Case 1 (i.e., apply the procedure in Lemma 4.4 to the first  $k$  blocks consecutively), we have  $\{4i + 10 \mid i \in [3k, 5k]\}$ .

Construct labelings  $\bar{p}, \bar{q}$  and  $\bar{r}$  by inserting the sub-matrix  $A$  into  $p, q$  and  $r$  between the last fifth and the last fourth column, respectively. Then  $e_{\bar{p}}(1) = 20k+5, e_{\bar{q}}(1) = 20k+4$  and  $e_{\bar{r}}(1) = 20k+3$ . Similar to Case 1, after combining the above four cases, we have  $[6m + 4, 10m - 4] \cup \{10m\} \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ . Denote by  $\bar{p}_{k-1}$  the labeling after the procedure in Lemma 4.4 is applied  $k - 1$  times. Then  $e_{\bar{p}_{k-1}}(1) = 20k + 5 - 8(k - 1) = 12k + 13$ . By swapping the entries of the first row of  $A$  in  $\bar{p}_{k-1}$ , we see that  $e(1) = 12k + 9 = 6m + 3$ .

Similarly, let  $\bar{s}$  be obtained from  $s$  by inserting the sub-matrix  $B$  as the last two columns. We also construct labelings  $\bar{t}, \bar{u}$  and  $\bar{v}$  by inserting the sub-matrix  $B$  into  $t, u$  and  $v$  between the last fifth and last the fourth column, respectively. Then  $e_{\bar{s}}(1) = 12k + 6, e_{\bar{t}}(1) = 12k + 8, e_{\bar{u}}(1) = 12k + 7$  and  $e_{\bar{v}}(1) = 12k + 5$ . Similar to Case 1, we will obtain  $\{4m + 5\} \cup [4m + 7, 6m + 2] \subseteq a(C_{2m} \times P_3)$ . Note that  $4m + 6$  is covered before defining the labeling  $h$ .

The theorem now holds for odd  $m$ . □

**Theorem 5.4.** For  $m \geq 2$ , we have

$$a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3) = [7, 10m + 2].$$

**Proof.** For  $m = 2k$ , let  $f_j$  be the labelings of  $C_{2m} \times P_3$  defined in the proof of Theorem 5.3,  $0 \leq j \leq m$ .

Let  $\bar{f}_j$  be the labeling obtained from  $f_j$  by inserting the sub-matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  as the last column.

Note that  $\bar{f}_j$  is friendly. Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.3 we have  $\{7 + 2i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2m\} \setminus \{9\} \subseteq$

$a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ . If we replace the sub-matrix  $A$  in  $\bar{f}_j$  by  $B$ , where  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then it is easy to see

that  $\{8 + 2i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2m\} \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $e_{\sigma_1(\bar{f}_0)}(1) = 9$ .

Combining all these cases we have  $[7, 4m + 8] \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ .

Consider the labeling  $h$  represented by the following matrix

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Note that  $h$  is a friendly labeling of  $C_{2m+1} \times P_3$  and  $e_h(1) = 10m + 2$ . Apply the procedure in Lemma 4.4 to the first row and the third row in each of first  $k$  blocks consecutively, we see that  $\{10m + 2 - 4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2k\} \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ . Consider the labelings  $p, q$  and  $r$  represented by the matrices

$$p = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

$$q = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

$$r = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

They are friendly and  $e_p(1) = 10m + 1$ ,  $e_q(1) = 10m$ , and  $e_r(1) = 10m - 1$ . Similarly, apply the procedure in Lemma 4.4 to  $p, q$  and  $r$  we see that  $\{10m + 1 - 4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2k\}$  and  $\{10m - 4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2k\}$  and  $\{10m - 1 - 4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2k\}$  are subsets of  $a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ . Combining these four cases we see that  $[6m - 1, 10m + 2] \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ .

Consider the labelings

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \\
 u &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \\
 v &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\
 w &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

These are friendly labelings and  $e_t(1) = 6m + 4$ ,  $e_u(1) = 6m + 3$ ,  $e_v(1) = 6m + 2$  and  $e_w(1) = 6m + 1$ . Similar to the proof of Case 1 of Theorem 5.3, we see that  $[4m + 1, 6m + 4] \subseteq a(C_{2m+1} \times P_3)$ .

By considering all the above cases, the theorem holds when  $m$  is even. When  $m$  is odd, one can prove the theorem similar to the proof of Case 2 of Theorem 5.3. Thus the theorem holds for all  $m \geq 2$ . □

**Theorem 5.5.** For  $n \geq 3$ , we have

$$a(C_3 \times P_{2n}) = \{3\} \cup [6, 10n - 3].$$

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be any friendly labeling of  $C_3 \times P_{2n}$ . By Lemma 3.9, Theorem 2.1 and (1)(a) of Theorem 2.2, we have  $10n - 3 \geq e_\phi(1) \geq 3$  and  $e_\phi(1) \neq 4, 5$ .

Obviously,  $q = \begin{pmatrix} O_{1,3} \\ J_{n,3} \\ O_{n-1,3} \end{pmatrix}$  is a friendly labeling of  $C_3 \times P_{2n}$  and  $e_q(1) = 6$ .

Let  $f$  be the labeling of  $C_3 \times P_{2n}$  in Proposition 4.5. It is a friendly labeling and  $e_f(1) = 3$ . By applying the procedure in Proposition 4.5 to  $f$  we see that  $\{4k + 3 \mid k \in [0, n - 1]\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n})$ .

Consider the labelings  $g, h$  and  $\ell$  of  $C_3 \times P_{2n}$  represented by the matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ J_{n-1,3} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ O_{n-1,3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ J_{n-2,3} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ O_{n-1,3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ J_{n-2,3} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ O_{n-1,3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $g, h$  and  $\ell$  are friendly and  $e_g(1) = 8, e_h(1) = 9$  and  $e_\ell(1) = 10$  respectively. For the labelings  $g$  and  $h$ , interchange the  $(n - i + 1, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + i, 3)$ -entry for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  if  $n \geq 4$ , where  $k \leq n - 3$ . The resulting labelings are denoted by  $g_k$  and  $h_k$ , respectively.

For the labeling  $g$ , we have

$$\{8 + 4k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n - 3\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

Extend the above procedure to the labeling  $g$  to  $k = n - 2$  and  $k = n - 1$ . It is easy to see that  $e_{g_{n-2}}(1) = 8 + 4(n - 2) = 4n$  and  $e_{g_{n-1}}(1) = 8 + 4(n - 2) + 2 = 4n + 2$ . Thus

$$\{8 + 4k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n - 2\} \cup \{4n + 2\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

For the labeling  $h$ , we have

$$\{9 + 4k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n - 3\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

For the labeling  $\ell$ , first interchange the  $(n, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + 2, 1)$ -entry, and then interchange the  $(n + 1 - i, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + i, 3)$ -entry consecutively, for  $2 \leq i \leq n - 3$  if  $n \geq 5$ . Then we see that

$$\{10 + 4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n - 3\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

Combining all the above cases, we see that  $\{3\} \cup [6, 4n] \cup \{4n + 2\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n})$ .

The matrix representing the labeling  $f_{n-1}$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{1,3} \\ A \\ B \\ O_{1,3} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n-1,2} & O_{n-1,1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n-1,1} & O_{n-1,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and  $n + 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 2$ , shift consecutively the  $k$ -th row to the right by one unit if  $k$  is even, and to the left by one unit if  $k$  odd. Applying this procedure we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\{2i - 1 \mid i \in [2n, 4n - 4]\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}) \text{ when } n \text{ is odd;} \\ &\{2i - 1 \mid i \in [2n, 4n - 3]\} \setminus \{6n - 3\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}) \text{ when } n \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

To realize the value  $6n - 3$  for even  $n$ , we make a special labeling as follows. Apply the above procedure up to shifting the  $(n - 1)$ -th row, and then shift the  $(n + 1)$ -th row to the right by 1 unit.

The matrix representing the labeling  $g_{n-1}$  is given by

$$g_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n,2} & O_{n,1} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} O_{n-2,2} & J_{n-2,1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , shift consecutively the  $k$ -th row to the right by 1 unit if  $k$  is odd, and to the left by 1 unit if  $k$  is even. It is easy to see that each operation increases  $e(1)$  by 2. After these procedures, if  $n \geq 5$ , then we interchange the  $(n + 2, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + 3, 2)$ -entry, the  $(n + 3, 3)$ -entry with the

$(n + 4, 1)$ -entry, the  $(n + 4, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + 5, 2)$ -entry, the  $(n + 5, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + 6, 1)$ -entry, etc., up to interchanging the entry in the  $(2n - 3)$ -th row with the entry in the  $(2n - 2)$ -th row. Again, it is easy to see that each interchange increases  $e(1)$  by 2. Thus

$$\{4n + 2 + 2k \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 5\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

Let  $p$  be the labeling whose matrix representation is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $1 \leq k \leq n + 2$ , shift consecutively the  $k$ -th row to the right by 1 unit if  $k$  is odd, and to the left by 1 unit if  $k$  is even. It is easy to see that each shift decreases  $e(1)$  by 2. The resulting labeling is denoted by  $p_k$  and let  $p_0 = p$ . Thus

$$\{10n - 3 - 2k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n + 2\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

By swapping the  $(2n - 1, 3)$ -entry and  $(2n, 3)$ -entry of  $p_k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n + 2$ , it decreases  $e(1)$  by 1. So we get

$$\{10n - 4 - 2k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n + 2\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n}).$$

The theorem follows from considering all the above cases. □

**Theorem 5.6.** For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}) = [5, 10n + 2]$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1 and (2) of Theorem 2.2 we have  $10n + 2 \geq e_\phi(1) \geq 5$  for any friendly labeling  $\phi$  of  $C_3 \times P_{2n+1}$ .

Let  $f = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n,3} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ O_{n,3} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $f$  is friendly and  $e_f(1) = 5$ . Interchanging the  $(n - i + 1, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + i + 1, 1)$ -entry for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  for each  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ). The resulting labeling is denoted by  $f_k$ . We see that  $\{4k + 1 \mid k \in [1, n]\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1})$ .

Let  $g, h$  and  $\ell$  be labelings of  $C_3 \times P_{2n+1}$  whose matrix representations are given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ J_{n,3} \\ O_{n,3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ J_{n-1,3} \\ O_{n,3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ J_{n-1,3} \\ O_{n,3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $g$ ,  $h$  and  $\ell$  are friendly, and  $e_g(1) = 6$ ,  $e_h(1) = 7$  and  $e_\ell(1) = 8$ . For the labeling  $g$ , interchange the  $(k, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n + k, 3)$ -entry consecutively for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . The resulting labeling is denoted by  $g_k$ . It is easy to see that each interchange increases  $e(1)$  by 4. Thus

$$\{6 + 4k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n - 1\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}).$$

For the labelings  $h$  and  $\ell$ , interchange the  $(k, 3)$ -entry with the  $(n - 1 + k, 3)$ -entry consecutively for  $3 \leq k \leq n$  if  $n \geq 3$ . It is easy to see that each interchange increases  $e(1)$  by 4. Thus

$$\{7 + 4k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n - 2\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}).$$

$$\{8 + 4k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n - 2\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}).$$

Combining the above results, we have  $[5, 4n + 2] \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1})$ .

Consider

$$f_n = \begin{pmatrix} J_{1,3} \\ A \\ B \\ O_{1,3} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n-1,2} & O_{n-1,1} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n,1} & O_{n,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $k \in [2, 2n - 1] \setminus \{n\}$ , shift consecutively the  $k$ -th row to the left by 1 unit if  $k$  is odd, and to the right by 1 unit if  $k$  is even. Applying this procedure we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\{4n + 1 + 2i \mid i \in [0, 2n - 3]\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}) \text{ when } n \text{ is odd;} \\ &\{4n + 1 + 2i \mid i \in [0, 2n - 2]\} \setminus \{6n - 1\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}) \text{ when } n \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

To realize the value  $6n - 1$  for even  $n$ , we make a special labeling as follows. Apply the above procedure up to shifting the  $(n - 1)$ -th row, and then shift the  $n$ -th row to the right by 1 unit.

Consider the labeling

$$g_n = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n,2} & O_{n,1} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} J_{1,3} & & \\ O_{n-1,2} & J_{n-1,2} & \\ & & O_{1,3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$ , shift consecutively the  $k$ -th row to the right by 1 unit if  $k$  is odd, and to the left by 1 unit if  $k$  is even. It is easy to see that each shift increases  $e(1)$  by 2, except shifting the  $n$ -th row and the  $(n + 1)$ -th row which preserve  $e(1)$ . Thus

$$\{4n + 2 + 2i \mid i \in [0, 2n - 3]\} \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}).$$

Let  $p$  be the labeling whose matrix representation is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similar to the procedure for the matrix  $p$  in Theorem 5.5, we have

$$[8n - 3, 10n + 2] \subseteq a(C_3 \times P_{2n+1}).$$

The theorem follows from considering all the above cases.  $\square$

By constructing labelings directly, it is easy to obtain that  $a(C_4 \times P_3) = [6, 16] \cup \{20\}$ ,  $a(C_3 \times P_2) = \{3, 5, 7\}$ ,  $a(C_3 \times P_3) = [5, 12]$  and  $a(C_3 \times P_4) = \{3\} \cup [6, 17]$ .

We summarize the full friendly index sets of cylinder graphs  $C_m \times P_2$  for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $C_m \times P_3$  for  $m \geq 3$ , and  $C_3 \times P_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ , as follows.

**Theorem 5.7.** *The full friendly index set of  $C_m \times P_n$  is given by*

$$\text{FFI}(C_m \times P_2) = \{4i - 3m \mid i \in [2, 3m/2 - 2] \cup \{3m/2\}\} \text{ if } m \geq 4 \text{ is even.}$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_m \times P_2) = \{4i - 3m + 2 \mid i \in [2, (3m - 1)/2]\} \text{ if } m \geq 5 \text{ is odd.}$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_m \times P_3) = \{2i - 5m \mid i \in \{6, 5m\} \cup [8, 5m - 3]\} \text{ if } m \geq 6 \text{ is even.}$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_m \times P_3) = \{2i - 5m \mid i \in [7, 5m - 2]\} \text{ if } m \geq 5 \text{ is odd.}$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_3 \times P_n) = \{2i - 10n - 3 \mid i \in \{3\} \cup [6, 5n - 3]\} \text{ if } n \geq 4 \text{ is even.}$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_3 \times P_n) = \{2i - 10n - 3 \mid i \in [5, 5n + 2]\} \text{ if } n \geq 5 \text{ is odd.}$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_3 \times P_2) = \{-3, 1, 5\}.$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_3 \times P_3) = \{2i - 15 \mid i \in [5, 12]\}.$$

$$\text{FFI}(C_4 \times P_3) = \{2i - 20 \mid i \in [6, 16] \cup \{20\}\}.$$

Together with [10, 11] (the results are listed as follows), the full friendly index set of  $C_m \times P_n$ , for all  $m$  and  $n$ , are completely determined.



For  $m, n \geq 4$ ,  $\text{FFI}(C_m \times P_n)$  is given by

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [2n + 2, 2mn - m - 4] \cup \{2n, 2mn - m\}\}$$

for  $m \geq 2n + 2$  and  $m, n$  are even;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [m + 4, 2mn - m - 4] \cup \{m + 2, 2mn - m\}\}$$

for  $m \leq 2n - 2$ ,  $m$  is even and  $n$  is odd;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [2n + 2, 2mn - m - 4] \cup \{2n, 2mn - m\}\}$$

for  $m \geq 2n$  and  $m$  is even and  $n$  is odd;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [m + 4, 2mn - m - n] \cup \{m\}\}$$

for  $m \leq 2n - 3$ ,  $m$  is odd and  $n$  is even;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [m + 2, 2mn - m - n] \cup \{m\}\}$$

for  $m = 2n - 1$  and  $n$  is even;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [2n, 2mn - m - n]\}$$

for  $m \geq 2n + 1$  and  $m$  is odd and  $n$  is even;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [m + 4, 2mn - m - n] \cup \{m + 2\}\}$$

for  $m \leq 2n - 3$  and  $m, n$  are odd;

$$\{-2mn + m + 2i \mid i \in [2n + 1, 2mn - m - n]\}$$

for  $m \geq 2n - 1$  and  $m, n$  are odd.

### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Faculty Research Grant, Hong Kong Baptist University.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **244**, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [2] G. Chartrand, S.-M. Lee and P. Zhang, Uniformly cordial graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **306** (2006) 726–737.
- [3] H. Kwong, S.-M. Lee and H. K. Ng, On friendly index sets of 2-regular graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **308** (2008) 5522–5532.
- [4] H. Kwong and S.-M. Lee, On friendly index sets of generalized books, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **66** (2008) 43–58.
- [5] S.-M. Lee and H. K. Ng, On friendly index sets of bipartite graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **86** (2008) 257–271.
- [6] E. Salehi and S.-M. Lee, On friendly index sets of trees, *Congr. Numer.*, **178** (2006) 173–183.
- [7] W. C. Shiu and H. Kwong, Full friendly index sets of  $P_2 \times P_n$ , *Discrete Math.*, **308** (2008) 3688–3693.
- [8] W. C. Shiu and M. H. Ling, Extreme friendly indices of  $C_m \times C_n$ , *Congr. Numer.*, **188** (2007) 175–182.
- [9] W. C. Shiu and M. H. Ling, Full friendly index sets of Cartesian products of two cycles, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, **26** (2010) 1233–1244.

- [10] W. C. Shiu and F. S. Wong, Extreme friendly indices of  $C_m \times P_n$ , *Congr. Numer.*, **197** (2009) 65–75.
- [11] W. C. Shiu and F. S. Wong, Full friendly index sets of cylinder graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **52** (2012) 141–162.

**Wai Chee Shiu**

Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, 224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China

Email: [wcsheu@hkbu.edu.hk](mailto:wcsheu@hkbu.edu.hk)

**Man-Ho Ho**

Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, 224 Waterloo Road, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China

Email: [homanho@math.hkbu.edu.hk](mailto:homanho@math.hkbu.edu.hk)