

DOCTORAL THESIS

Alternative activation of HOG pathway under hyperosmotic stress and analysis of salt-tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Zhi, Hui

Date of Award:
2012

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

**Alternative Activation of HOG Pathway under Hyperosmotic Stress and
Analysis of Salt-tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae***

ZHI Hui

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Principal Supervisor: Prof. ZHANG Jianhua

Hong Kong Baptist University

September 2012

Abstract

Yeast has evolved with the HOG (high osmolarity glycerol) pathway to survive and adapt to osmotic stress. HOG pathway also belongs to the well-known MAPK signaling pathways. Many components in the HOG pathway have been well studied but the key component, Pbs2p, an MAPKK, has not been shown with additional input. Here, we report that Ssk2p, one of the MAPKKK, can be activated and conduct the HOG pathway independent of Ssk1p under osmotic stress by unidentified mechanisms. We suppose there is another regulator (Temporarily named as X factor) that can bind to the Ssk2p and activate the Ssk2p. We also identified that the binding domain for the unknown X factor is from aa 177 to aa 240 on Ssk2p. The findings can explain early observations that *STL1* and *GRE2* are induced 8- to 38-fold in *ste11Δssk22Δ* cells but exhibit little induction (<1.7- fold) in *hog1Δ* or *pbs2Δ* strains. Though the alternative activation of Ssk2p under hyperosmotic stress plays important role in non-ionic hyperosmotic stress response, the Sln1p-Ssk1p-Ssk2 cascade serves more efficiently under both non-ionic and ionic hyperosmotic stress than X-Ssk2p cascade. We also observed that the cells of the mutant *ssk2Δ (1~240)* lost their sensitivity to the mild osmotic stress (0.2M sorbitol). Furthermore the response is significantly attenuated under osmotic stress compared with that of the wild type Ssk2p. The results indicate that, besides as the activator, the X factor can enhance the activation of Ssk2p by Ssk1p. Or the X factor also plays a scaffolding role for the activation of Ssk2p.

Budding yeast keeps three MAPKKKs, Ste11p, Ssk2p and Ssk22p, to activate one MAPKK Pbs2p to conduct the HOG pathway upon hyperosmotic stress. The three MAPKKKs in the HOG pathway have different roles in salt tolerance. To cope with toxic concentrations of cations, yeast cells need not only the activation of HOG pathway, but also other biological processes. Our results indicate that the

MAPKKKs participate in this process. Ste11p and Ssk2p cope with salt stress caused by sodium equally well, but Ssk22p displays a much poorer capacity. As shown above, X factor can activate Ssk2p independent of Ssk1p and enhance the activation of Ssk2p under osmotic stress. Lacking the binding site (amino acid 177~240aa) for X factor of Ssk2p would reduce the salt-resistance of the *ste11Δssk22Δ* cells which indicate that salt-resistance requires high level activation of Ssk2p and both Ssk1p and the X factor should be present at the same time and cooperate together.

Our results and others also show that the three MAPKKKs activate the Pbs2p and then the HOG pathway under osmotic stress. To some extent, they are functionally redundant. However, they have distinct activation patterns due to their upstream regulators. The Ste11 branch may not be as sensitive as Sln1-Ssk1-Ssk2 cascade under mild osmotic shock, but keeps osmo-resistance as well as the wild type strain. The Sln1-Ssk1-Ssk2 cascade exhibits both sensitivity and tolerance to the various levels of osmotic stress. The X-Ssk2 branch only responds to the severe osmotic stress, only if concentration is higher than 0.5M sorbitol, KCL or NaCL, and the duration of activation is much shorter. However, the Sln1-Ssk1-Ssk22 cascade displays less sensitivity, slower activation, and lower level of activation capacity even Ssk22p is highly homologous to Ssk2p. Deleting the binding site (amino acid 177~240aa) for X factor of Ssk2p would reduce the sensitivity and response level under hyperosmotic stress. When the different activation patterns are combined together, an activation pattern close to that of the wild type cells can be reached. The differences between the activation patterns of the MAPKKKs depend on their different mechanisms and the protein properties themselves. We conclude that although the MAPKKKs work with some kind of redundancy, it is reasonable for the yeast cells to keep three MAPKKKs to survive under hyperosmotic stress.

Table of contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xii
List of Abbreviations	xiii
Chapter 1. General Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. The Osmotic stress signaling system	2
1.2.1. Sensing osmolarity change by sensors.....	3
1.2.2. Signal transduction by MAPK Pathway	9
1.3. HOG pathway in yeast.....	14
1.3.1. Signal transduction through the Sln1 branch.....	16
1.3.2. Signal transduction through the Sho1 branch.....	19
1.3.3. Events downstream of the MAPKKKs.....	24
1.3.4. Role of the two upstream branches.....	28
1.3.5. Regulation of the HOG pathway	29
1.3.6. Cross talk between HOG pathway and other MAP Kinase pathways	33
1.3.7. Transcriptional response of the HOG pathway.....	34
1.3.8. Metabolism and transport of osmolytes.....	38
1.3.9. General response and time course of events under hyperosmotic stress	39
1.4. Models of yeast HOG Pathway	40
1.4.1. Integrative model of the response of yeast to osmotic shock	41
1.4.2. Signal processing by the HOG MAP kinase pathway	42

1.4.3. Structure and function of a transcriptional network activated by the MAPK Hog1	43
1.4.4. Dynamic signaling in the Hog1 MAPK pathway relies on high basal signal transduction	44
1.4.5. Transient activation of the HOG MAPK pathway regulates bimodal gene expression.....	47
1.5. Concluding remarks and perspectives	48
Chapter 2. Ssk1p-independent Activation of Ssk2p Plays an Important Role in the Osmotic Stress Response in <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	50
2.1. Introduction.....	50
2.2. Results.....	52
2.2.1. Hog1p can be phosphorylated and activated in the <i>ssk1Δste11Δ</i> double mutant	52
2.2.2. Ssk2p can be activated independent of Ssk1p under severe osmotic stress.....	58
2.2.3. A receiver domain (amino acids 177~ 240) near the N-terminus of SSK2 is needed for the activation of SSK2 independent of SSK1	61
2.2.4. Three MAPKKKs involved in HOG pathway have different properties upon hyperosmotic shock	65
2.3. Discussion.....	68
2.4. Materials and methods	69
2.4.1. Yeast strains	69
2.4.2. Plasmids	70
2.4.3. Media and buffers	70
2.4.4. Western blotting	70
2.4.5. Serial dilution assays on plate.....	71
2.4.6. Latrunculin treatment.....	71
2.4.7. Microscopy	71

Chapter 3. Ssk2p Plays an Important Role in Salt Stress in <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	77
3.1. Introduction.....	77
3.2. Results.....	79
3.2.1. Ssk2p plays essential role in salt tolerance	79
3.2.2. Deletion of the receiver domain (amino acids 177~ 240) near the N-terminus of Ssk2p will reduce the salt-resistance of the strain.....	83
3.2.3. The mutant strains <i>ste11Δssk22Δ</i> and <i>ste11Δssk2Δ</i> have similar sensitivity to Hygromycin B	84
3.3. Discussion.....	87
3.4. Materials and methods	89
3.4.1. Yeast strains	89
3.4.2. Plasmids	89
3.4.3. Media and buffers	90
3.4.4. Serial dilution assays on plate.....	90
3.4.5. Gradient plate assay	90
Chapter 4. Analysis of Signal Transduction of Yeast HOG Pathway	95
4.1. Introduction.....	95
4.2. Results.....	97
4.2.1. The Sho1 branch and Sln1 branch have different sensitivity to the hyperosmotic stress.....	97
4.2.2. The translocation of Hog1p under mild hyperosmotic shock is more determined by Sln1 branch	100
4.2.3. The translocation of Nmd5p is determined by the capacity of osmoregulation and greatly controlled by Sln1 branch	104
4.2.4. The three MAPKKs are not redundant	109
4.3. Discussion.....	112
4.4. Materials and methods	114

4.4.1. Yeast strains	114
4.4.2. Plasmids	115
4.4.3. Media and buffers	115
4.4.4. Western blotting	116
Chapter 5. General Conclusion	121
Reference	127
Curriculum Vitae	137