

## DOCTORAL THESIS

### Applications of quasi-Monte Carlo methods in model-robust response surface designs

Yue, Rongxian

*Date of Award:*  
1997

[Link to publication](#)

#### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

1563419x

TH  
PH.D  
1997YA

**Applications of Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods in  
Model-Robust Response Surface Designs**

**YUE Rong-Xian**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements**

**for the degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**November 1997**

**Hong Kong Baptist University**

---

## Preface

---

Quasi-Monte Carlo methods were originally proposed to solve multidimensional integrals. Compared with a Monte Carlo method, the fundamental principle of a quasi-Monte Carlo method is to generate a deterministic set of points that are uniformly scattered on some region, preferably more uniform than a random sample. The field of quasi-Monte Carlo methods was enriched by the systematic development of the theory of lattice rules and of the theory of nets and  $(t, s)$ -sequences. New hybrids of Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods were introduced and some new measures of uniformity were proposed in recent years. Although this is still an active area of research, quasi-Monte Carlo methods have now found applications in other fields, such as computer experiments and uniform designs.

One theme of this thesis is to investigate the sampling variance of integrals estimated by combining two randomized  $(\lambda_j, 0, m_j, s)$ -nets in base  $b$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ , according to Owen (1995). For each individual randomized net, Owen (1997a, 1997b) gives a thorough investigation of the variance. It is interesting to examine the variance for the union of two randomized nets. These two randomizations may or may not be independent. We will give some results for finite sample size and asymptotic situations, respectively.

Another theme of this thesis is to study applications of quasi-Monte Carlo methods in model robust designs. It is known that in classical optimal design theory, the response is described exactly by a particular linear model, and the design is chosen in an optimal manner. However, the assumed model is only an approximation to the true model for the response. Box and Draper (1959) seem to have been the first to take both the variance error and bias error into account in choosing a suitable design which is robust against model bias. More careful investigations on this subject have been done by Kiefer (1973), Huber (1975), Marcus and Sacks (1978), Li (1984), Li and Notz (1982), Notz (1989), Pesotchinsky (1982), Steinberg (1985), and so on. They differ in their choice of the design region, the type of bias, and

the loss function to be minimized. In this thesis, it is specified that the class of all possible biases is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, and the treatments used are worst case analysis and average case analysis. The criteria derived from the bias discrepancy are related to a continuous uniform distribution. Therefore, it is interesting to consider performances of quasi-Monte Carlo methods under the criteria for model robust designs.

Rong-Xian YUE  
Department of Mathematics  
Hong Kong Baptist University  
Hong Kong

November, 1997

---

# Table of Contents

---

|   |      |
|---|------|
| Preface .....   | i    |
| Declaration .....   | iii  |
| Acknowledgements .....  | iv   |
| Table of Contents .....   | v    |
| List of Tables .....  | viii |
| List of Figures .....   | ix   |
| 1. Introduction .....   | 1    |
| 1.1 Experimental Design .....                                     | 1    |
| 1.1.1 Optimal design .....  | 2    |
| 1.1.2 Model-robust designs .....                                  | 4    |
| 1.1.3 Outline of this thesis .....                                | 6    |
| 1.2 Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods and Their Randomizations .....      | 7    |
| 1.2.1 Discrepancy .....   | 8    |
| 1.2.2 The glp sets .....  | 9    |
| 1.2.3 $(t, m, s)$ -nets and $(t, s)$ -sequences .....             | 10   |
| 1.2.4 Randomizations .....  | 11   |
| 1.2.4.1 Latin hypercube samples .....                             | 11   |
| 1.2.4.2 Scrambled $(t, m, s)$ -nets and $(t, s)$ -sequences ..... | 12   |
| 1.3 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces .....                       | 13   |

|           |  |           |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| <b>2.</b> | <b>Variance of Sample Mean over a Scrambled Union of Nets</b>                            | <b>16</b> |
| 2.1       | Introduction   | 16        |
| 2.2       | ANOVA and Wavelet Decompositions of $\mathcal{L}_2[0, 1]^s$                              | 18        |
| 2.2.1     | The crossed ANOVA decomposition  | 18        |
| 2.2.2     | The nested ANOVA decomposition   | 19        |
| 2.2.3     | Wavelet decomposition  | 21        |
| 2.3       | The Variance over a Scrambled Union of Nets  | 22        |
| 2.3.1     | One-dimensional case   | 24        |
| 2.3.2     | Multidimensional case  | 26        |
| 2.4       | The Order of the Variance  | 33        |
| 2.4.1     | One-dimensional case   | 33        |
| 2.4.2     | Multidimensional case  | 35        |
| 2.5       | An Illustrative Example  | 37        |
| 2.6       | Discussion   | 40        |
| <br>      |  |           |
| <b>3.</b> | <b>Designs for Fitting a Linear Model with Misspecification</b>                          | <b>46</b> |
| 3.1       | Introduction   | 46        |
| 3.2       | Development of Design Criteria   | 49        |
| 3.2.1     | Upper bound for the loss function  | 49        |
| 3.2.2     | Design criteria  | 52        |
| 3.2.3     | Properties of the design criteria  | 53        |
| 3.3       | Illustrative Examples  | 56        |
| 3.3.1     | A construction of the reproducing kernel   | 56        |
| 3.3.2     | Univariate examples  | 58        |
| 3.3.3     | Multivariate examples  | 64        |
| 3.4       | Finding All-bias Designs   | 67        |
| 3.5       | Designs for Regression Models with Random Bias   | 72        |
| 3.6       | Summary  | 76        |
| <br>      |  |           |
| <b>4.</b> | <b>Designs for Ridge Regression Models with Misspecification</b>                         | <b>77</b> |
| 4.1       | Introduction   | 77        |
| 4.2       | Preliminaries  | 78        |
| 4.3       | Examples of Designs  | 80        |
| 4.4       | Summary  | 83        |
| <br>      |  |           |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>Designs in <math>\mathcal{R}^s</math> for Regression Models with Misspecification</b> | <b>85</b> |
| 5.1       | Preliminaries and Formulation  | 85        |

|           |   |            |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 5.2       | Illustrative Examples .....   | 91         |
| <b>6.</b> | <b>Designs for Fitting Multiresponse Models with Possible Bias .</b>                      | <b>99</b>  |
| 6.1       | Introduction .....  | 99         |
| 6.2       | The Formulation for the Design Problem .....  | 100        |
| 6.3       | Finding Multiresponse Designs .....   | 103        |
| 6.3.1     | The cases $\mathbf{g}_1 = \dots = \mathbf{g}_q$ or $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_q$ ..... | 104        |
| 6.3.2     | The case with $\Sigma \neq \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_q$ and different $\mathbf{X}_l$ 's .....   | 106        |
| <b>7.</b> | <b>Designs for Smoothing Spline ANOVA Models .....</b>                                    | <b>113</b> |
| 7.1       | Introduction .....  | 113        |
| 7.2       | An Overview of SS-ANOVA Framework .....   | 115        |
| 7.3       | Average Error and Designs for SS-ANOVA Fits .....   | 118        |
| 7.4       | Asymptotic Performance .....  | 124        |
| 7.4.1     | Asymptotic criterion .....  | 124        |
| 7.4.2     | Comparison of several sampling methods for model $\mathcal{M}_0$ ...                      | 127        |
| 7.5       | Concluding Remarks .....  | 131        |
| <b>8.</b> | <b>Concluding Remarks and Recommendations .....</b>                                       | <b>133</b> |
|           | References .....  | 135        |
|           | Curriculum Vitae .....  | 141        |