

DOCTORAL THESIS

Institutional construction of gamblers' identities: a critical discourse study

Leung, Chung Hang

Date of Award:
2014

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

ABSTRACT

This research is aimed at unraveling the institutional representations of gamblers' identities in the postmodern era. Although gambling has been widely researched in many fields such as psychology, sociology and cultural studies (e.g., Cosgrave, 2006; Kingma, 2010; McMillen, 1996; Petry, 2005), there has been a lack of scholarly inquiry vis-à-vis this topic among language researchers including discourse analysts. With the recent inauguration of two casino-based holiday resorts, Singapore provides a suitable platform for carrying out gambling-related academic research.

Adopting the approach of critical discourse analysis (CDA), this study focuses on how gamblers' identities are constructed through discourse as an artifact by social institutions. To this end, the present research capitalizes on the Bakhtinian (1981) notion of "dialogism" and makes use of multiple data sets in which different institutional "voices" are embedded. The data consist of newspaper forum letters, gamblers' monologues released by a state gambling regulatory agency, and materials on problem/pathological gambling published by medical professionals.

As a "public sphere" (Habermas, 1989) where a variety of "voices" about gamblers and gambling are accommodated, the newspaper forum letters serve as an opening scene for data analysis. From the 47 letters collected, three main groups of writers—(i) the non-affiliated contributors; (ii) the governing parties; (iii) the mental health-care professionals—have been identified. Adhering to the framework of Fairclough (2003), the analysis centers around the linguistic triggers for three social research issues: (i) legitimation; (ii) hegemony (aka "equivalence and difference"); (iii) "appearance versus reality." It has been found that the non-affiliated contributors make heavy use of legitimation strategies. By contrast, legitimation strategies are much less prevalent among the governing parties. As for the mental health-care professionals, legitimation strategies are frequently found in their letters as a medium to foreground their in-group knowledge or expertise.

To further investigate the government's "voice," a nationwide campaign initiated by the Singapore National Council on Problem Gambling has been used for analysis. Four gamblers' speeches presented in this campaign have been examined on the basis of process types (Halliday & Matthiessen, 2004), appraisal resources (Martin & White, 2005) and code choice. The findings show that the juxtaposed identities between the social gamblers and the recovering problem gamblers are symbolically mobilized by the state to create the stigmatized quality of "problematic gamblers" for the purpose of public governance.

The interrogation of the medical "voice" has been performed along the direction of knowledge "recontextualization" (Kong, 2009; Linell, 2009). The data analysis involves texts from three sources: (i) a clinical handbook; (ii) a complimentary leaflet of a mental health-care unit; (iii) a self-help book from a popular profit-making series. The sociocognitive approach to discourse developed by van Dijk (1995, 2003b, 2005a, 2006, 2008a, 2008b, 2009, 2011, 2012) has

been chosen as the principal analytic framework for this data set. The findings indicate that the text producers are continuously fiddling the boundaries between different types of knowledge (e.g., technical knowledge and “general-sociocultural” knowledge) with the goal of not only “popularizing” the medical paradigm, but also “colonizing” the “lifeworld” (Habermas, 1987).

The significance of the research is primarily three-fold. First, bearing the undertone of deconstruction (Derrida, 1978), the current discourse-oriented inquiry about gamblers’ identities is an exemplar of how text and its ideological-cum-social implications are critically untangled and re-questioned. Second, the research offers concrete linguistic evidence on the essential complementary ontological presence of institutional power holders and the “docile bodies” (Foucault, 1977) for societal functioning and the maintenance of social stability. Third, a procedure for studying gamblers’ identities (or other institutional discursive constructs) is devised. With CDA as the overarching constituent, analytical concepts from a range of scholars are incorporated into the study (e.g., Fairclough, 2003; Halliday & Matthiessen, 2004; Hyland, 2005; Jeffries, 2010a, 2010b; Kong, 2009; Martin & White, 2005; van Dijk, 2008a; van Leeuwen, 2008).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my principal supervisor Dr. Kenneth Kong for his supervision. From the conceptualization stage of the present research, he has been generously sharing his ideas, insights and criticisms with me. The numerous hours of discussions which we had are always intellectually stimulating and meaningful. Since my undergraduate years at Baptist University, his guidance and advice have profoundly shaped my path to become a professional researcher in discourse analysis. I am deeply indebted to him. Credit goes to my kind co-supervisor Dr. Hiroko Itakura too for her thought-provoking feedback which has helped me to design my research in a more sophisticated way. The two external examiners (Prof. Winnie Cheng and Prof. Martin Warren) and the internal examiners (Prof. Stuart Christie and Dr. Phoenix Lam) have also provided me with valuable comments which further strengthen the final presentation of this thesis. What's more, I have learned from the illuminating remarks made by Prof. Douglas Robinson (Dean of the Arts Faculty) during the oral defense. With his remarks, I have given serious thought to some issues related to my thesis.

Besides, I want to take this opportunity to extend my appreciation to every member of the English Department for all types of high-quality educational, administrative and social support that I have been receiving. In particular, I am grateful to Prof. Hans Ladegaard and Dr. Suying Yang for their suggestions during my open seminar in June 2012. Also, let me give thanks to Dr. Jessica Yeung, Dr. Magdalen Ki, Prof. Susan Fiksdal and Prof. Terry Yip for the casual yet meaningful conversations. My fondness for the Department can be traced back to the early 2000s when I was first admitted to the undergraduate program. Undeniably, the English Department has become an important part of my life and will remain so forever.

People outside the academic domain play a significant role in my PhD studies as well. My parents' selflessness to their children has been a powerful source of motivation for me. Kate, my best friend, has been lending a supportive ear despite my occasional grumpiness. The HKBU School of Chinese Medicine

Building will surely bring me positive memories owing to the quiet library and the beneficial consultations with Chinese Medicine Practitioner Ms. Chung-wah Cheng. Furthermore, my two yoga teachers Chingmy and Rekha Dey have shown me how to alleviate body pain especially in times of stress and physical weakness.

Por fin, yo quisiera agradecerles a mis profesoras de español Belisa y Laura. Me han dado muchas clases interesantes en las que siempre lo pasamos maravillosamente. Sin ellas, yo no habría podido mantener una vida emocionante. ¡Muchísimas gracias!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xi

Part I Introduction to the Research

Chapter 1	Lead-in	1
	1.1 Background information	1
	1.1.1 Definition of gambling	1
	1.1.2 Classification of gamblers	2
	1.1.3 Prevalence of gambling	3
	1.1.4 Societal perception of gambling	5
	1.1.5 Problem/Pathological gambling	6
	1.1.6 Subversion and containment	7
	1.1.7 Stigmatization	8
	1.1.8 Stakeholders	9
	1.1.9 Language, identity and constructionism	10
	1.2 Objectives of the study	18
	1.3 Significance of the study	21
	1.4 Outline of the thesis	22
	1.5 Chapter summary	23
Chapter 2	Literature Review	24
	2.1 Critical discourse analysis (CDA)	24
	2.1.1 Origin and development	24
	2.1.2 Basic principles and meta-theory	26
	2.2 Theoretical notions underpinning the study	29
	2.2.1 Public sphere, dialogism and Ideological State Apparatuses	29
	2.2.2 Legitimation and hegemony	32
	2.2.3 Différance	33
	2.2.4 Medicalized subject, intertextuality and recontextualization	35
	2.3 Analytical categories used	38
	2.3.1 Meaning relations between sentences/clauses	40
	2.3.1.1 Discursive construction of legitimation	41
	2.3.1.2 Discursive construction of hegemony (“equivalence and difference”)	43
	2.3.1.3 “Logic of appearances” against “explanatory logic”	46
	2.3.2 Systemic functional linguistics (SFL)—Process types	47
	2.3.3 Appraisal theory	49
	2.3.4 Code choice	51

2.3.5 Sociocognitive approach to discourse	52
2.4 Rationale behind the selection of analytical categories	55
2.5 Relevant empirical studies	57
2.5.1 Discursive construction of legitimation	58
2.5.2 Discursive construction of hegemony (“equivalence and difference”)	59
2.5.3 “Logic of appearances” against “explanatory logic”	60
2.5.4 Systemic functional linguistics (SFL)—Process types	61
2.5.5 Appraisal theory	62
2.5.6 Code choice	63
2.5.7 Sociocognitive approach to discourse	64
2.6 Chapter summary	65
Chapter 3 Research Methodology	67
3.1 Site of investigation	68
3.2 Data sets	70
3.2.1 Newspaper forum letters	71
3.2.1.1 Time span	71
3.2.1.2 <i>The Straits Times</i>	72
3.2.1.3 Procedure	73
3.2.2 The government campaign—“Know the Line”	76
3.2.2.1 The four speakers	77
3.2.2.2 Procedure	79
3.2.3 Texts by medical experts	79
3.2.3.1 <i>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders</i>	81
3.2.3.2 National Addictions Management Service promotional leaflet	82
3.2.3.3 <i>Addiction and Recovery for Dummies</i>	82
3.2.3.4 Justifications for the choice of texts	83
3.2.3.5 Qualitative approach to textual analysis	85
3.2.3.6 “Semantic units” identified	85
3.3 Rationale behind the selection of the three data sets	90
3.4 Chapter summary	91
Part II Opening Scene: The Public Sphere	
Chapter 4 Data Analysis of Newspaper Forum Letters	92
4.1 Legitimation strategies identified from the data	92
4.1.1 Personal authority	94
4.1.2 Expert recommendation	96
4.1.3 Moral value evaluation	97
4.1.4 Instrumental rationalization	99
4.1.5 Theoretical rationalization	101
4.1.6 Mythopoesis	104
4.1.7 Summary and ideological implications	105
4.2 Tokens of hegemony (“equivalence and difference”)	107

	identified from the data	
	4.2.1 Opposition markers	108
	4.2.2 Equivalence markers	111
	4.2.3 Summary and ideological implications	114
	4.3 “Logic of appearances” versus “explanatory logic”	116
	with reference to the data	
	4.3.1 Causal relations	117
	4.3.2 Conditional and temporal relations	118
	4.3.3 Additive relations	121
	4.3.4 Elaborative relations	122
	4.3.5 Contrastive relations	123
	4.3.6 Summary and ideological implications	125
	4.4 Chapter conclusion	126
	4.4.1 Analytic outcomes	126
	4.4.2 Synthesis	129
	4.4.3 Emerging issues	129
Part III	Juxtaposition as a Symbolic Resource for Public Governance	
Chapter 5	Data Analysis of the Government Campaign	131
	5.1 Amount of talk analyzed	131
	5.2 Process types identified from the data	132
	5.3 Attitude resources identified from the data	135
	5.4 Engagement resources identified from the data	139
	5.5 Instances of code switching and mixing	142
	5.6 Chapter conclusion	146
Part IV	Diffusion of Specialized Knowledge from Experts to Novices	
Chapter 6	Data Analysis of Medical Texts	149
	6.1 Semantic unit—Definition	150
	6.2 Semantic unit—Criteria	159
	6.3 Semantic unit—Prevalence	172
	6.4 Semantic unit—Other problems	175
	6.5 Chapter conclusion	178
Part V	Conclusion	
Chapter 7	Summary and Concluding Remarks	183
	7.1 Recapitulation of major findings	183
	7.2 Implications of the present research	186
	7.2.1 Ideological implications	186
	7.2.2 Scholarly implications	187
	7.2.2.1 Among discourse analysts	187

7.2.2.2 Among other academics	189
7.2.3 Social implications	189
7.3 Revisiting/Consolidating the analytic tools for examining discursive identities	190
7.4 Potential directions for further studies	193
 References	 195
Appendix A—Rules and guidelines about Forum contributions stipulated by <i>The Straits Times</i>	218
Appendix B—Information of the 47 forum letters analyzed	219
Appendix C—The pathological gambling entry in the <i>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders</i> (Revised fourth edition)	221
Appendix D—The educational leaflet published in March 2011 by the Singapore National Addictions Management Service	224
Appendix E—The section on compulsive gambling extracted from <i>Addiction and Recovery for Dummies</i>	226
 Curriculum Vitae	 232