

## MASTER'S THESIS

### The pricing of warrants and the implications concerning market efficiency

Kwok, Kam Hong

*Date of Award:*  
1994

[Link to publication](#)

#### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

**The Pricing of Warrants and the Implications Concerning Market Efficiency**

**KWOK Kam Hong**

**Master of Philosophy**

**Hong Kong Baptist College**

**January 1994**



Gft  
12-7-94  
311717

TH

\* Bib 9/94

TH  
M. PHIL  
1994KP

## Abstract

This study investigates the efficiency of the Hong Kong traded warrants market. Because it applies the Black-Scholes warrant pricing model, it is also a test of warrant pricing. In this study, three issues are examined.

First, by use of the B-S warrant pricing model, the study tests the efficiency of the warrants market. Previous studies have not applied any pricing model, therefore, they failed to handle the issue of risk. This study uses the riskless hedging strategy suggested by the model; thus no adjustment for risk is required.

Second, a review of previous studies indicated that no theoretically derived hedge ratio has been used to test efficiency; hence, the hedge might not be riskless. This study derives the formula of the warrant hedge ratio from the pricing model. The warrant hedge ratio is important in that it indicates the amount of the underlying stock needed to form a riskless hedged position. The complete derivation shows that the warrant hedge ratio is the option hedge ratio modified with the dilution effect. The modification of the option hedge with the dilution effect is critical because similar framework can be applied when researchers test other more complicated convertible securities.

The third issue examined in this study is to develop a better assessment of the efficiency of the warrants market. This is accomplished by using the ex ante test since previous studies ignored the biases inherent in the ex post test. The results show that the hypothesis that the warrants market is efficient cannot be rejected.

## Table of Contents

|                  |  |    |
|------------------|--|----|
| <b>Chapter 1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  |    |
| 1.1              | Introduction   | 1  |
| 1.2              | The objectives of this study                               | 3  |
| 1.3              | Organisation of this study                                 | 4  |
| <br>             |  |    |
| <b>Chapter 2</b> | <b>Literature Review</b>                                   |    |
| 2.1              | Introduction   | 5  |
| 2.2              | Fundamentals of a call option                              | 7  |
|                  | 2.2.1 Characteristics of a call option                     | 8  |
|                  | 2.2.2 Black-Scholes option pricing model (B-S)             | 10 |
| 2.3              | Differences between warrant and option                     | 12 |
|                  | 2.3.1 Institutional differences                            | 12 |
|                  | 2.3.2 Valuation differences                                | 13 |
| 2.4              | The Black-Scholes dilution approach                        | 19 |
| 2.5              | The Galai-Schneller dilution approach                      | 23 |
| 2.6              | Review of Empirical tests                                  | 26 |
|                  | 2.6.1 Tests not based on any pricing model                 | 27 |
|                  | 2.6.2 Tests based on the pricing models                    | 28 |
| 2.7              | Concluding remarks   | 33 |
| <br>             |  |    |
| <b>Chapter 3</b> | <b>Overview of the Hong Kong Traded Warrants Market</b>    |    |
| 3.1              | Introduction   | 35 |
| 3.2              | The Hong Kong traded warrants market                       | 35 |
| 3.3              | Rules and regulations                                      | 36 |
| 3.4              | Reasons to issue warrants in Hong Kong                     | 39 |
| <br>             |  |    |
| <b>Chapter 4</b> | <b>Data Analysis</b>                                       |    |
| 4.1              | Introduction   | 42 |
| 4.2              | Stock and warrant quotations                               | 43 |
| 4.3              | Riskless interest rate                                     | 43 |
| 4.4              | Dividends, ex-dividend dates and time to maturity          | 44 |
| 4.5              | Number of shares, warrants outstanding and dilution factor | 45 |
| 4.6              | Subscription price and conversion ratio                    | 46 |
| 4.7              | Volatility estimation                                      | 47 |
| 4.8              | Data screening   | 51 |
| 4.9              | Concluding remarks   | 53 |
|                  | Tables   | 55 |
| <br>             |  |    |
| <b>Chapter 5</b> | <b>Methodology</b>   |    |
| 5.1              | Introduction   | 58 |
| 5.2              | Methodology  | 59 |
|                  | 5.2.1 Black-Scholes warrant pricing model                  | 59 |
|                  | 5.2.2 Conversion ratio                                     | 60 |
|                  | 5.2.3 Dividends  | 62 |
|                  | 5.2.4 Identification of mispriced warrant                  | 64 |
|                  | 5.2.5 Derivation of hedge ratio                            | 65 |
|                  | 5.2.6 The ex post hedging test                             | 69 |

|                                    |   |     |
|------------------------------------|---|-----|
| 5.2.7                              | The ex ante hedging test and hedge return   | 70  |
| 5.3                                | Concluding remarks  | 71  |
| <b>Chapter 6 Empirical Results</b> |   |     |
| 6.1                                | Introduction  | 72  |
| 6.2                                | Mispricing behaviour  | 72  |
| 6.3                                | Ex post hedging test  | 77  |
| 6.4                                | Ex ante hedging test  | 79  |
| 6.5                                | The implications of transaction costs and holding period<br>for market efficiency | 80  |
| 6.6                                | Further study of the effect of volatility on valuation and market efficiency      | 82  |
|                                    | 6.6.1 Mispricing behaviour  | 83  |
|                                    | 6.6.2 Ex post hedging test  | 84  |
|                                    | 6.6.3 Ex ante hedging test  | 84  |
| 6.7                                | Concluding remarks  | 85  |
|                                    | Tables  | 87  |
|                                    | Figures   | 110 |
| <b>Chapter 7 Conclusion</b>        |   |     |
| 7.1                                | Contributions of this study   | 116 |
| 7.2                                | Limitations   | 117 |
| 7.3                                | Extensions and implications for future studies                                    | 117 |
|                                    | Appendix to Chapter 3   | 119 |
|                                    | Major Algorithms  | 127 |
|                                    | References  | 129 |
|                                    | Curriculum Vitae  | 134 |