

DOCTORAL THESIS

Two-phase anaerobic digestion of high-solid food waste for energy recovery

Xu, Suyun

Date of Award:
2012

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

**TWO-PHASE ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF HIGH-SOLID
FOOD WASTE FOR ENERGY RECOVERY**

XU Suyun

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

Principal Supervisor: Prof. Jonathan W. C. WONG

Hong Kong Baptist University

July 2012

Abstract

A two-phase leach bed reactor (LBR) coupled with a methanogenic reactor (UASB or anaerobic filter) is proposed to treat solid substrates like municipal solid waste, food waste and maize etc. The rate-limiting step of anaerobic digestion, hydrolysis of solid substrate, will be retarded in the acidogenic LBR without agitation equipment, especially for substrate with high solids content (>20%). The overall aims of this study are to accelerate the decomposition rate of food waste and increase its biogas yield in the two-phase LBR-UASB system. A series experiments have been carried out in three phases.

In the first phase, three experiments, i.e. pH adjustment, optimization of the inoculum to substrate ratio and micro-aeration, were carried out to accelerate the hydrolysis. Daily leachate recirculation with pH control (6.0) accelerated the hydrolysis rate (59% higher VFAs) and methane production (up to 88%) compared to that of control LBR (no pH control). The performances of LBRs with different inoculum to substrate ratios (ISR), i.e. 0, 5, 20 and 80% (w/w basis) were compared in the second study. Results indicated that ISR of 80% resulted in 4.3-fold increase in protein hydrolysis but only a modest increase in carbohydrate decomposition. Therefore, ISR of 20% was recommended in the hydrolytic-acidogenic process. In the third study, micro-aeration intensity was optimized to promote the solubilization of food waste and meanwhile the undesirable carbon loss was avoided. LBR with an aeration intensity of 12 min/3 h (258L-air/kg TS/d) showed 59% increase in the COD solubilization and a 3-fold increase in the VFAs production compared to that of control, and also resulted in a maximum methane yield of 0.21 L/g VS_{added}.

Three sets of experiments were carried out in the Phase II in order to investigate the impact of various water regimes on the performance of LBR-UASB system, as well as on the dynamics of microbial community in LBR. Results showed that the quality of the acidogenic leachate was improved by the optimal water regimes, i.e. liquid solid ratio (LSR) 1.0, continuous leachate recirculation in LBR (5 mL/min), high rate of water replacement and methanogenic effluent recirculation to LBR, which led to the substantial increase of methane yield to 0.28 L-CH₄/g VS_{added}. Bacterial diversity analyses through PCR-DGGE indicated that *Lactobacillus* sp. and

Proteobacteria were the most abundant in LBRs either with LSR 1.0 or 0.5. With the high rate of water replacement, bacterial population was not reduced due to its stimulation of specific fast-growing bacteria as evidenced by quantitative real-time PCR. The strategies of effluent recirculation into LBR led to the microbial alternation from *Lactobacillus* sp. (in LBR with water replacement) to *Clostridium* sp. and hetero-fermentation lactic acid species. It is concluded that the performance of two-phase anaerobic digestion of food waste could be improved by the recirculation of methanogenic effluent.

Finally, two feeding modes, i.e. semi-continuous feeding and sequential batch feeding were compared to improve the volumetric capacity of acidogenic LBR in the Phase III. Under semi-continuous feeding mode, the volumetric capacity of LBR was improved to 7.03 g TS/L/din LBR with a stable performance (0.28 L-CH₄/ g VS_{added}). DGGE results indicated that a specialized niche have been formed in the semi-continuous acidogenic LBRs that favored the bacteria such as *Clostridium* sp., *Acetobacter peroxydans*, *Enterococcus* sp., *Lactobacillus diolivorans* and *Bifidobacterium*. It can be concluded that a two-phase LBR-UASB digestion system with semi-continuous feeding mode is ideally set up to produce methane from food waste.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgment	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xv
Abbreviations	xxi
SECTION I. Background	1
Chapter 1. Introduction and Objectives	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Research Objectives and Outline of the Present Study	4
1.3. Outline of Thesis	6
Chapter 2. Literature Review	8
2.1. Principles of Anaerobic Digestion	8
2.1.1. Hydrolysis	9
2.1.2. Acidogenesis	10
2.1.3. Acetogenesis	11
2.1.4. Methanogenesis	12
2.2. Classification of Anaerobic Digestion Technologies for Solid Waste	13
2.2.1. Wet/dry Digestion	14

2.2.2.	Mesophilic/thermophilic Digestion	15
2.2.3.	Single-stage/multiple-stage Digestion	16
2.3.	Practices of AD Technologies in Solid Waste Treatment	17
2.3.1.	Single-phase System	18
2.3.2.	Multi-phase System	21
2.4.	Important Factors in Anaerobic Digestion of Food Waste	23
2.4.1.	Characteristics of Feedstock	23
2.4.2.	pH and Organic Acids	26
2.4.3.	Microbial Inoculation	29
2.4.4.	Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)	31
2.4.5.	Ammoniacal Nitrogen	32
2.5.	Strategies for Improving Anaerobic Digestion of Food Waste	33
2.5.1.	Enhancing Hydrolysis by Pretreatment	33
2.5.2.	Methods to Enhance Activities of Extracellular Hydrolytic Enzymes	34
2.5.3.	Increasing Energy Recovery from Two-phase Anaerobic Digestion	37
2.6.	Microbiological Aspects of Anaerobic Digestion of Solid Waste	42
2.6.1.	Hydrolysis	42
2.6.2.	Acidogenesis and Acetogenesis	42
2.6.3.	Methanogenesis	44
2.7.	Overview of Anaerobic Digestion of Food Waste	46
2.8.	Summary	50

Section II	Methodology	52
Chapter 3.	Materials and Methods	52
3.1.	Characteristics of Food Waste and Inoculum	52
3.2.	Reactor Design	53
3.3.	Analytical Methods	54
3.3.1.	Physicochemical Analyses	54
3.3.2.	Enzyme Activities	56
3.3.3.	Microbiological Studies	57
3.3.4.	Data Analyses	61
SECTION III.	Optimization of Hydrolysis and Acidogenesis in Leach Bed Reactor	61
Chapter 4.	Optimization of Food Waste Hydrolysis in Leach Bed Coupled With Methanogenic Reactor: Effect of pH Control and Bulking Agent	62
4.1.	Introduction	62
4.2.	Materials and Methods	63
4.2.1.	Experimental Design	63
4.2.2.	Analytical Procedures	65
4.3.	Results and Discussions	66
4.3.1.	Characteristics of Food Waste	66
4.3.2.	Effects of pH Adjustment on LBR Performance	66
4.3.3.	Effect of Different Bulking Agents on LBR Performance	72
4.3.4.	Performance of UASB and Biogas Yield	77
4.3.5.	Summary of System Performance	78

4.4.	Conclusions	81
Chapter 5. Effect of Inoculum to Substrate Ratio on Hydrolysis and		
	Acidogenesis of Food Waste in Leach Bed Reactor	82
5.1.	Introduction	82
5.2.	Materials and Methods	83
5.2.1.	Experimental Setup	83
5.2.2.	Parameters Analyses	84
5.2.3.	Kinetics Study	84
5.3.	Results and Discussion	86
5.3.1.	pH and Volume of Leachate	86
5.3.2.	COD Leaching	88
5.3.3.	VFAs Leaching and Acidification Degree	79
5.3.4.	VFAs Speciation	79
5.3.5.	Leaching of TKN and $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$	92
5.3.6.	Kinetics Evaluation of Hydrolysis and Acidogenesis Rate of FW	95
5.4.	Conclusions	97
Chapter 6. Effect of Micro-aeration on the Energy Recovery from a		
	Two-Phase Anaerobic Digestion System	98
6.1.	Introduction	98
6.2.	Materials and Methods	100
6.2.1.	Anaerobic Digester and Experimental Design	100
6.2.2.	Analytical Methods	100
6.3.	Results and Discussion	101

6.3.1.	Characteristics of Leachate from LBRs	101
6.3.2.	VFAs Leaching	103
6.3.3.	VFAs Speciation	106
6.3.4.	Overall Performance of LBR-UASB System	109
6.3.5.	Material Balance Based on Metabolites and By-products	
	Distribution	111
6.4.	Conclusions	113
SETION IV. Roles of Water Regimes in LBR-UASB System		114
Chapter 7. Effect of Liquid Solid Ratio and Continuous Leachate		
	Recirculation on the Microbial Community Distribution and	
	Enzyme Activities	114
7.1.	Introduction	114
7.2.	Materials and Methods	116
7.2.1.	Experimental Design	116
7.2.2.	Analytic Methods	115
7.3.	Results and Discussion	118
7.3.1.	Hydrolysis/acidogenesis of FW in LBRs	118
7.3.2.	Enzyme Activities in LBRs	124
7.3.3.	Microbial Community in LBRs	128
7.4.	Conclusions	133
Chapter 8. Influence of Different Water Replacement Rates on Enzyme		
	Activities and Bacterial Dynamics in Acidogenic LBR	134
8.1.	Introduction	134

8.2.	Materials and Methods	136
8.2.1.	Experimental Set-up	136
8.2.2.	Analytical Methods	137
8.2.3.	Principal Components Analysis	138
8.3.	Results and Discussions	139
8.3.1.	LBR Performance	139
8.3.2.	Effect of Varied Water Replacement Ratios on Performance of UASB	147
8.3.3.	Enzyme Activities in LBRs	149
8.3.4.	Dynamics of Microbial Community in LBRs	156
8.4.	Conclusions	166
Chapter 9.	Effect of Methanogenic Effluent Recirculation on the Performance of Acidogenic LBR Treating Food Waste	167
9.1.	Introduction	167
9.2.	Materials and Methods	169
9.2.1.	Experimental Design	169
9.2.2.	Analytical Methods	170
9.3.	Results and Discussions	171
9.3.1.	Performance of LBR	171
9.3.2.	Distributions of Fermentation Metabolites	173
9.3.3.	Overall Evaluation of LBR-UASB System	175
9.3.4.	Dynamics of Microbial Community in LBRs	179
9.4.	Conclusions	185

SETION V. Potential of Applying LBR-UASB System in Continuous	
Operation	186
Chapter 10. Comparison of Semi-continuous and Successive Batch	
Acidogenic Process of Food Waste in Two-phase Anaerobic	
Digestion	186
10.1. Introduction	186
10.2. Methods and Materials	187
10.2.1. Experimental Design and Operation	187
10.2.2. Parameters Analysis	190
10.3. Results	191
10.3.1. Performance of R1-4 in Trial 1	191
10.3.2. Performance of R5-6 in Trial 2	193
10.3.3. Evolutions of Ethanol and VFAs During Semi-continuous	
Operation	195
10.3.4. Evaluation of Overall Efficiency of LBR-UASB System	197
10.3.5. Enzyme Activities	200
10.3.6. Bacterial Community in LBRs	204
10.4. Conclusions	218
SETION VI. General Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations for	
Further Research	219
Chapter 11. General Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations for	
Further Research	219
11.1. Introduction	219
11.2. Optimization of Hydrolysis and Acidogenesis of Food Waste in	

LBRs (Phase I)	221
11.3. Roles of Water Regime in LBR-UASB System (Phase II)	223
11.4. Comparison of Semi-continuous and Sequential Batch Operation of Acidogenic LBR (Phase III)	226
11.5. Recommendations for Further Research	228
References	229
Curriculum Vitae	271