

MASTER'S THESIS

Legal Production of Land (In)justice in Hong Kong

Yip, Kwan Chung

Date of Award:
2019

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

Abstract

This thesis probes the land (in)justice in Hong Kong by presenting an archival research which contributes to the inter-disciplinary scholarship of legal geography. It conceptualises the leasehold land system as the legal mechanism in the land (re)development regime and politicises the understanding of land (in)justice by explaining how it is produced and reproduced by the legal mechanism. Drawing on critical realism, Dikeç's spatial dialectics of injustice, Lefebvre's concrete abstraction and several concepts in legal geography, this thesis proposes "spatio-legal dialectics of land (in)justice" as the theoretical framework. Reconstructing the historical geography of this former British colony, through the lens of scalar politics, demonstrates that the legal system and land development have been inextricably intertwined in Hong Kong. Through the legal technicalities of land leases, the Colonial Government transformed the territory of Hong Kong into an exploitable land property, and thus secured the absolute control of land and the effective governance of the society. The expiry problem of the land lease placed the future of Hong Kong as a diplomatic question between China and Britain. The "Tin Shui Wai Myth", situated in the 1980s, reflected the frictions between the two countries. The "Myth" is not only related to the production of the spatiality of injustice as a new town but also associated with the production of the injustice of spatiality because of some legal changes. These legal changes, related to land lease and urban infrastructure, evolved after the Sino-British Negotiation and led the land (re)development regime to be more hegemonic. Understanding Hong Kong as a property jurisdiction, the current problematic of land injustice, under the new constitutional order of the Chinese sovereignty, is elaborated by the thesis of complete exploitation with the concept of urban land nexus. This thesis empirically interprets the mutual constitution of law and urban development, and conceptually engages in the academic debates about (in)justice, law and urban spatiality.

Table of Contents

DECLARATION	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Maps	ix
List of Boxes	x
List of Tables	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction: An Unsolved Puzzle since the 1980s	1
1.1 Research problem: law and land question in Hong Kong	1
1.2 Research motivations and objective: legal production of land (in)justice	10
1.3 Research significance	12
1.4 Structure of this thesis	13
Chapter 2 Formulating the Research Questions	15
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 The existing literature: a limited yet growing understanding of Hong Kong	15
2.2.1 Depoliticisation of spatiality and law	17
2.2.2 Inadequate discussion on injustice	20
2.2.3 Dehistoricisation of the present	24
2.3 Problematisation with the concept of justice	27
2.3.1 Beyond the observable outcome	29
2.3.2 Spatiality of social injustice	31
2.4 The research questions	34
2.5 Summary	35
Chapter 3 Theoretical Framework.....	37
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Intellectual nature of legal geography	37
3.2.1 Critical approach in legal geography	39
3.2.2 Spatial process, legal mechanism and the concern for justice	41
3.2.3 Territories of property and jurisdiction with boundaries at scales	43
3.3 Connecting legal geography, critical realism and the Lefebvrian lens	45
3.4 Spatio-legal dialectics of land (in)justice	53
3.5 Methodological considerations	60
3.5.1 Case study	62
3.5.3 Archival research	64
3.6 Summary	67
Chapter 4 Land Lease as a Legal Mechanism	69
4.1 Introduction	69
4.2 Hong Kong as a ceded and leased territory	69
4.3 The complexities of the land regime	74
4.3.1 Case study: the land of Tin Shui Wai in the pre-war era	78

4.4 Legal technicalities of land lease and commodification of landed property	83
4.5 Summary	90
Chapter 5 Post-war Practices of the Legal Mechanism.....	93
5.1 Introduction	93
5.2 The first stage: governing the problem of “the people”	94
5.2.1 Legal/illegal: squatting and resettlement	95
5.2.2 Abstract space and concrete practices for homeownership	96
5.2.3 Stabilising and maintaining the colonial order	99
5.3 The second stage: partnering with urban elites and securing the governance	101
5.3.1 From rural to urban: land entitlements	102
5.3.2 Uncertainties in the golden decade	105
5.3.3 Imposing technical rationality in land production	109
5.4 Land lease and the question of Hong Kong future	113
5.4.1 The China factor	114
5.4.3 The British strategy	116
5.5 Summary	130
Chapter 6 The Scalar Politics of “Tin Shui Wai Myth”	132
6.1 Introduction	132
6.1.1 The formation of the “Tin Shui Wai Myth”	132
6.1.2 The notion of scalar politics	139
6.2 Drawing attention to Tin Shui Wai	140
6.3 The land of Tin Shui Wai in the post-war era	144
6.4 Tin Shui Wai as a colonial project: scalar politics	147
6.4.1 Consideration began at the local scale	150
6.4.2 Britain brought the concern to the international scale	154
6.4.3 The spatial integration with Shenzhen at the regional scale	164
6.4.4 Establishing the Capital Works Reserve Fund at the local scale	170
6.4.5 Large question at international scale, small question at local scale	177
6.5 Implications and summary	182
Chapter 7 Law and Urban Land Nexus in Hong Kong: An Elaboration Note on Complete Exploitation.....	190
7.1 Introduction	190
7.2 Law, urban land nexus and hegemony	191
7.3 Formulating the new constitutional order in the 1980s	198
7.3.1 Preparation and confrontation	198
7.3.2 One Country, Two Systems	203
7.3.3 Negotiating the land lease	205
7.4 Complete exploitation in the property jurisdiction	211
7.4.1 Property jurisdiction: the legal space of Hong Kong	212
7.4.2 Complete exploitation	219
7.5 Summary	239
Chapter 8 Conclusion	241
8.1 Summary of major arguments	241

8.2 Contributions of this thesis	245
8.3 Future research directions	247
Cited Archives	250
References	251
CURRICULUM VITAE	272