

## MASTER'S THESIS

### A concurrent negotiation mechanism for grid resource co-allocation

Shi, Benyun

*Date of Award:*  
2008

[Link to publication](#)

#### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

# **A Concurrent Negotiation Mechanism for Grid Resource Co-allocation**

**SHI Benyun**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements**

**for the degree of**

**Master of Philosophy**

**Principal Supervisor: Dr. Kwang Mong SIM**

**Hong Kong Baptist University**

**August 2008**

# Abstract

Since computationally intensive applications may often require more resources than a single computing machine can provide in one administrative domain, bolstering resource co-allocation is essential for realizing the Grid vision. Given that resource providers and consumers may have different requirements and performance goals, successfully obtaining commitments through concurrent negotiations with multiple resource providers to simultaneously access several resources is a very challenging task for consumers.

Negotiation is a process by which the parties involved resolve matters of dispute by holding communications and coming to an agreement which can be mutually agreed by each other. Whereas there are research works adopting automated negotiation for solving the Grid resource allocation problem in recent years, this work is among some of the earliest research works adopting a *concurrent* negotiation mechanism for solving the problem of Grid resource *co*-allocation. In traditional negotiation, once a contract is established, both negotiation parties are bounded to the contract, i.e., neither party can breach the contract. This work adopts the idea of *leveled commitment contracts*, in which negotiation agents are allowed to renege on a contract during the negotiation.

The novel contribution of this work is devising a concurrent negotiation mechanism that (i) coordinates multiple one-to-many concurrent negotiations between a consumer and multiple resource providers such that the consumer can simultaneously access several resources by reaching agreements with multiple resource providers, (ii) manages (de)commitments for consumer agents during each one-to-many negotiation where

reneging on a contract is allowed for both provider and consumer agents, and (iii) devises an adaptive commitment management strategy profile such that the consumer agent can adopt appropriate negotiation strategy for each kind of Grid resource.

In this work, three classes of commitment management strategies (i.e., *Linear-CMS*, *Conservative-CMS*, and *Conciliatory-CMS*) and two kinds of utility-oriented coordination strategies (i.e., an intuitive utility-oriented coordination strategy and a regression-based utility-oriented coordination strategy) are first presented. Then, based on the properties of the *CMSs* observed through empirical studies, a fuzzy decision making approach for deriving adaptive commitment management strategy (i.e., *adaptive-CMS*) profiles of a consumer is devised.

A series of simulations was carried out in a wide variety of settings to: i) evaluate the commitment management strategy in a one-to-many negotiation environment, ii) validate the performances of three classes of *CMSs* (i.e., *Linear-CMS*, *Conservative-CMS*, and *Conciliatory-CMS*) in three different kinds of resource markets (i.e., favorable, unfavorable, and balanced markets for the consumer agent), iii) compare both intuitive *UOC* strategy and regression-based *UOC* strategy of this work with the patient coordination strategy in different kinds of market situations, and iv) evaluate the *adaptive-CMS* profile in an  $n$ -resource market. Favorable results show that the strategies presented in this work (both commitment management strategies and utility-oriented coordination strategies) outperform existing strategy models, and the *adaptive-CMS* profile works effectively and efficiently in terms of utility, negotiation speed, and success rate.

# Contents

<b>Declaration</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background	4
1.1.1. Grid Commerce	4
1.1.2. Agent-based Negotiation	6
1.1.3. Negotiation Parameters	9
1.2. Objectives	14
1.3. Outline of the Thesis	16
<b>Chapter 2 System Overview</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1. Reward Penalties	19

2.2.	System Property	22
<b>Chapter 3 A Concurrent Negotiation Mechanism</b>		<b>25</b>
3.1.	Negotiation Protocol	27
3.2.	Commitment Manager	31
3.2.1.	Reneging Probability	32
3.2.2.	Expected Utility	33
3.2.3.	Acceptable Proposal	34
3.2.4.	Request and Confirmation of Contracts	35
3.2.5.	Commitment Management Strategies	35
3.3.	Coordination of Concurrent Negotiations	37
3.3.1.	An Intuitive UOC Strategy	38
3.3.2.	A Regression-based UOC Strategy	40
3.3.3.	Compare UOC with Patient Coordination Strategy	43
3.4.	Summary	46
<b>Chapter 4 Adaptive CMS Profiles</b>		<b>48</b>
4.1.	CMSs and Market Types	49
4.2.	Fuzzy Decision Making Approach	51
4.3.	Summary	55
<b>Chapter 5 Simulations and Experimental Results</b>		<b>56</b>
5.1.	Experimental Settings	58

5.2.	Performance Measure	61
5.3.	Simulations	63
5.4.	Results and Observations	64
5.4.1.	Performances of CMSs	64
5.4.2.	Performances of CMSs in Three Kinds of Grid Markets	66
5.4.3.	Performances of Coordination Strategies	76
5.4.4.	Adaptive Negotiation Profile in an n-resource Market	80
5.4.5.	Performances of UOC Strategies and PCS in an n-resource Market	86
5.5.	Summary	90
<b>Chapter 6 Related Work</b>		<b>91</b>
6.1.	Negotiation Decision Functions	92
6.2.	Negotiation Agents for Grid Resource (co-)allocation	95
6.3.	Concurrent Negotiation System	98
<b>Chapter 7 Conclusions and Future Works</b>		<b>102</b>
7.1.	Achievements	102
7.2.	Future Works	103
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>106</b>