

## DOCTORAL THESIS

### Systematic characterisation of temporal and fate asymmetries and its regulation during *Caenorhabditis elegans* Embryogenesis

Ho, Vincy Wing Sze

*Date of Award:*  
2017

[Link to publication](#)

#### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

## Abstract

It is well known that tight coordination of cell division timing is essential for proper cell fate specification and tissue growth during metazoan development. However, how cell divisions are coordinated *in vivo* is largely unknown. In this thesis, a high-content screening was conducted to identify genes responsible for temporal coordination of cell division during *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryogenesis. A total of 822 genes were depleted using RNA interference (RNAi). The genes were prioritized based on their degree of conservation in human, as well as their roles in development. In addition to RNAi, an experimental pipeline was established, including 3D time-lapse imaging of an RNAi perturbed *C. elegans* embryos followed by automated lineaging, which allows systematic quantification of division timing of each individual cells up to approximately 350-cell stage. To identify genes with a significant reduction in the asynchrony of division between sister cells (ADS) upon perturbation, average division timings of each cell between at least two replicate perturbed embryos was compared against the average division timings of 92 wild type embryos. It was found that cell fate determinants were not only important for maintaining fate asymmetries, but are also imperative for establishing ADS regardless of cellular context. Hence, the results demonstrate that fate and temporal asymmetries share a common genetic architecture. The temporal coordination appears to facilitate cell migration during fate specification or tissue growth.

Given the observation that perturbations of signalling pathways, especially Wnt and Notch pathways, are frequently associated with the ADS, it would be essential to map the exact signalling event that takes place at cellular level which is responsible for a given ADS or fate asymmetry. To this end, a miniMos transposon-mediated transgenic technique was adopted to insert a fusion between GFP and a promoter derived from individual components of the two signalling pathways into the *C. elegans* genome. The resulting insertion was crossed into a strain expressing lineaging markers, which allows automated lineaging and gene expression profiling to map the expression of each component with single cell resolution. A combination of cellular expression and cell-cell contact data would lead to a comprehensive map of each signalling event during *C. elegans* embryogenesis. Our preliminary results on cell-cell contacts and cellular expression validated the existing signalling events and identified potential novel ones that are associated with either ADS and/or fate asymmetry.

# Table of Content

|  |             |
|--|-------------|
| <b>Declaration Page</b> .....  | <b>i</b>    |
| <b>Abstract</b> .....  | <b>ii</b>   |
| <b>Acknowledgement</b> .....   | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>Table of Content</b> .....  | <b>iv</b>   |
| <b>List of Figures</b> .....   | <b>vi</b>   |
| <b>List of Tables</b> .....  | <b>viii</b> |
| <b>1. General Introduction</b> .....   | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>1.1 Overview</b> .....  | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>1.2 <i>C. elegans</i> as a genetic model organism</b> .....   | <b>2</b>    |
| 1.2.1 <i>C. elegans</i> embryogenesis and invariant cell lineage .....   | 2           |
| 1.2.2 Cell fate specification during embryogenesis.....  | 5           |
| <b>1.3 Automated lineaging</b> .....   | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>1.4 Reverse genetics in <i>C. elegans</i></b> .....   | <b>10</b>   |
| <b>2. A shared regulation on asynchrony and fate asymmetry in <i>C. elegans</i> embryogenesis</b> .....                                | <b>11</b>   |
| <b>2.1 Abstract</b> .....  | <b>11</b>   |
| <b>2.2 Introduction</b> .....  | <b>12</b>   |
| <b>2.3 Materials and Methods</b> .....   | <b>18</b>   |
| 2.3.1 Worm strains and maintenance .....   | 18          |
| 2.3.2 Gene prioritisation for RNAi .....   | 21          |
| 2.3.3 dsRNA production and RNAi.....   | 21          |
| 2.3.4 Microinjection of dsRNA.....   | 23          |
| 2.3.5 4D live-cell imaging for automated lineaging.....  | 24          |
| 2.3.6 Automated lineaging, expression profiling and manual curation of lineaging output .....  | 26          |
| 2.3.7 Gene Ontology (GO) analysis .....  | 28          |
| 2.3.8 Quantification of cell division timing .....   | 29          |
| 2.3.9 Quantification of cell migrations .....  | 32          |
| <b>2.4 Results</b> .....   | <b>34</b>   |
| 2.4.1 Establishment of a pipeline for systems level quantification of cell division timing during <i>C. elegans</i> embryogenesis..... | 34          |
| 2.4.2 Validation of the pipeline.....  | 38          |
| 2.4.3 Framework for screening genes that regulate ADS.....   | 42          |
| 2.4.4 Coordination of cell division timings during cell fate specification.....  | 45          |
| 2.4.5 Coordination of cell division timing during tissue growth .....  | 58          |
| 2.4.6 Differential coordination of cell division timings during tissue growth and fate specification.....                              | 62          |
| 2.4.7 Roles of the identified genes in cell fate specification.....  | 63          |
| 2.4.8 Roles of the identified genes in cell migration and tissue morphogenesis .....   | 67          |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 2.5 Discussion .....   | 72         |
| <b>3. Systematic mapping of Notch/Wnt Signaling events with cellular resolution during <i>C. elegans</i> embryogenesis .....</b> | <b>85</b>  |
| 3.1 Abstract .....   | 85         |
| 3.2 Introduction .....   | 86         |
| 3.3 Materials and Methods.....   | 89         |
| 3.3.1 Worm strains and maintenance .....   | 89         |
| 3.3.2 Construction of miniMos vector .....   | 89         |
| 3.3.3 Promoter selection and primer design.....  | 91         |
| 3.3.4 miniMos insertion .....  | 93         |
| 3.3.5 Genotyping by inverse PCR .....  | 96         |
| 3.3.6 Crossing of RW10226 to Promoter GFP fusion strains .....   | 96         |
| 3.3.7 Imaging for automated lineaging .....  | 99         |
| 3.3.8 Automated lineaging, expression profiling and manual curation of lineaging output .....                                    | 99         |
| 3.3.9 Cell contact mapping and calculation .....   | 99         |
| 3.4 Results .....  | 102        |
| 3.4.1 Establishment of pipeline in creating Promoter GFP fusion strains by miniMos insertion.....                                | 102        |
| 3.4.2 Output from automated lineaging of several Notch/Wnt component.....  | 103        |
| 3.4.3 Cell cycle and phenotypic changes upon depletion of Notch/Wnt component...   | 106        |
| 3.4.4 Validation of the third Notch interaction .....  | 113        |
| 3.5 Discussion and future work.....  | 116        |
| <b>Bibliography .....</b>  | <b>118</b> |
| <b>CURRICULUM VITAE .....</b>  | <b>128</b> |