

## DOCTORAL THESIS

### Study of the variability in brain potentials and responses: development of a new method for electroencephalography (EEG) analysis - residue iteration decomposition (RIDE)

Ouyang, Guang

*Date of Award:*  
2013

[Link to publication](#)

#### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

Study of the Variability in Brain Potentials and Responses:  
Development of a New Method for Electroencephalography  
(EEG) Analysis – Residue Iteration Decomposition (RIDE)

OUYANG Guang

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Principal Supervisor: Dr. ZHOU Changsong

Hong Kong Baptist University

August 2013

# Abstract

Brain responses display a large extent of variability even in the circumstance of identical stimulus input, as revealed by the broad distribution of reaction time (RT) from a simple task. The variability in the final output of brain responses could further be originated from the dynamical sequential cognitive processing. As a self-organized complex dynamic system, the brain continuously generates ongoing activities even without processing a particular signal input. The interaction between the background ongoing activity and the signal input thus become a possible mechanism partially accounting for brain response variability (BRV) to identical stimuli. So far, the role that the brain background activity plays in BRV is still very unclear.

In cognitive neuroscience, the event-related potential (ERP) method opened a window for studying the brain response activity associated with specific external stimulus. The ERP is the average waveform of EEG (electroencephalography) trials that are time-locked to the stimuli. Obviously, two limitations of ERP method are 1) it discards the residual signal which contains the background activity that is crucially related to BRV; 2) the waveform of ERP is a deformed representation of neural response to the stimulus due to the trial-to-trial latency variability of its internal components.

The method we developed – RIDE (Residue Iteration Decomposition) – opened a new door for ERP and variability analysis. RIDE decomposes ERPs into different sub-component clusters associated with different psychologically relevant events. The variations of each component cluster as well as their interactions associated with experimental manipulations can be analyzed, respectively, which therefore brings ERP component analysis into a deeper level for understanding the mechanism of sequential cognitive processing. Another advantage of RIDE is that it can estimate the amplitude and latency of each component on the single trial level. When being combined with the study of the interactions between different components and background noise, the variability information of amplitude and latency provides an opportunity of studying the neurocognitive mechanism of the BRV.

By applying RIDE we have unraveled a new landscape of ERP. Specifically, we have applied RIDE to 1) retrieve ERP components with special waveforms that are totally hidden in conventional ERP; 2) disentangle latency and amplitude variation from the mixed condition-related variations in ERP; 3) disentangle different cognitive components; 4) attribute the conditional effects to specific components; 5) obtain the information of trial-to-trial variability for each separated component cluster.

Basing on its strengths in component decomposition and variability analysis, the method RIDE has great potential in the study of brain dynamic mechanisms, individual differences, brain diseases, aging, and in clinical applications.

# Contents

<b>DECLARATION</b> .....	<b>I</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>II</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	<b>III</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS AND PHRASES</b> .....	<b>VII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1    BRAIN RESPONSE VARIABILITY.....	1
1.1.1 <i>Variability in Human Behavior</i> .....	1
1.1.2 <i>Variability in Brain Neural Activities</i> .....	3
1.1.3 <i>Mechanisms of Brain Response Variability</i> .....	4
1.2    ERP TECHNOLOGY AND METHOD .....	5
1.2.1 <i>EEG and ERP</i> .....	5
1.2.2 <i>Amplitudes and Latencies of ERP Components</i> .....	8
1.2.3 <i>Measurement Methods of ERP Properties in Single Trials</i> .....	11
1.2.4 <i>Limitation of the Previous Measurement Methods</i> .....	13
1.3    ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF AVERAGE ERP METHOD.....	13
1.3.1 <i>Assumptions and Advantages of Average ERP Method</i> .....	13
1.3.2 <i>Violation of the Assumptions and the Induced Problems</i> .....	14
1.3.3 <i>Alternative Assumption of Brain Responses</i> .....	19
1.4    PREVIOUS METHODS ON ERP DECOMPOSITION AND THEIR LIMITATIONS.....	24
1.4.1. <i>Fourier Decomposition</i> .....	27
1.4.2. <i>General Linear Model Decomposition</i> .....	28
1.4.3. <i>Iterative Deconvolution</i> .....	30
1.4.4. <i>Limitations of Previous ERP Temporal Decomposition Methods</i> .....	31
<b>CHAPTER 2. RESIDUE ITERATION DECOMPOSITION (RIDE)</b> .....	<b>37</b>
2.1    ASSUMPTION.....	38
2.2    METHODOLOGY .....	39
2.3    APPLICATION TO REAL EEG DATA.....	50

2.4	VALIDATIONS OF THE MODEL AND RESULTS OF RIDE .....	55
2.5	INTRODUCE L1-MINIMIZATION TO PREVENT THE DIVERGENCE .....	62
2.6	COMPARISON OF RIDE WITH OTHER METHODS .....	67
2.6.1.	<i>Comparison of RIDE with Other Temporal Decomposition Methods ...</i>	67
2.6.2.	<i>Comparison of RIDE with ICA .....</i>	71
<b>CHAPTER 3.</b>	<b>RIDE ON COMPONENT UN-MIXING .....</b>	<b>78</b>
3.1	GENERAL .....	78
3.2	THE FEATURES OF RIDE COMPONENTS .....	80
3.2.1.	<i>Phraseology of RIDE Components.....</i>	80
3.2.2.	<i>The Time Courses of ERP and RIDE Components .....</i>	85
3.2.3.	<i>Reconstructed ERP .....</i>	88
3.2.4.	<i>ERP and RIDE Components in 2-D Plot.....</i>	88
3.2.5.	<i>The Time Evolution of the Topography of ERP and RIDE Components.</i>	89
3.2.6.	<i>Consistency across Subjects and Conditions .....</i>	91
3.2.7.	<i>S Component Cluster.....</i>	93
3.2.8.	<i>C Component Cluster .....</i>	96
3.2.9.	<i>R Component Cluster .....</i>	98
3.3	SOLVE MIXING PROBLEMS IN CONVENTIONAL ERP .....	100
3.3.1.	<i>Mixture of Amplitude and Latency Variation .....</i>	100
3.3.2.	<i>Mixture of Topography in the Difference Waves.....</i>	103
3.3.3.	<i>Mixture of Mental Processes .....</i>	107
3.3.4.	<i>Mixture of P3 Complex/Parallel Components.....</i>	113
<b>CHAPTER 4.</b>	<b>RIDE ON ANALYSIS OF ERP SINGLE TRIAL VARIABILITY.....</b>	<b>116</b>
4.1.	GENERAL .....	116
4.2.	TRIAL TO TRIAL VARIABILITY.....	117
4.2.1.	<i>Latency Variability of S, C and R .....</i>	117
4.2.2.	<i>Amplitude Variability of S, C and R .....</i>	121
4.2.3.	<i>Correlation between Latency of C and RT.....</i>	124
4.3.	NEW UNDERSTANDING ABOUT VARIABILITY AND RELIABILITY OF ERP COMPONENTS ....	128
4.4.	CONNECTIONS OF COMPONENT VARIABILITY AND BACKGROUND ACTIVITY OF BRAIN ....	131
4.5.	SUMMARY OF THE PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF VARIABILITY OF RIDE COMPONENTS .....	132
<b>CHAPTER 5.</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND PROSPECTS .....</b>	<b>134</b>
5.1.	SUMMARY .....	134
5.2.	CLARIFICATION AND SOME LIMITATIONS OF RIDE.....	136
5.3.	POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS AND NEW DIRECTIONS .....	139

Contents

---

5.3.1. Further Exploration of the ERP Composition .....	139
5.3.2. Link to Mental Chronometry.....	140
5.3.3. Study of Individual Difference, Aging and Mental Diseases .....	141
5.3.4. Collaboration with ICA and PCA.....	141
5.3.5. Study the Interaction between RIDE Components and Ongoing Activities .....	142
5.3.6. Dynamic Modeling of RIDE Components .....	142
5.4. GENERAL CONCLUSION .....	143
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>144</b>