

MASTER'S THESIS

Bioleaching of heavy metals from anaerobically digested sewage sludge using isolated indigenous iron- and sulphur-oxidizing bacteria

Chan, Lau Chi

Date of Award:
2001

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

**Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from Anaerobically
Digested Sewage Sludge Using Isolated Indigenous
Iron- and Sulphur-Oxidizing Bacteria**

CHAN Lau Chi

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Master of Philosophy**

September 2001

Hong Kong Baptist University

Abstract

Bioleaching has been demonstrated to be a feasible means to remove heavy metals from sewage sludge and the decontaminated sludge could be reutilized for land application safely. The aim of the present study was to develop an efficient and cost-effective bioleaching process to remove metals from sewage sludge with special emphasis on the development of the iron- and sulphur-oxidizing process using isolated indigenous bacteria. The pre-acidification of sludge for the iron-oxidizing process and the residual sulphur in the decontaminated sulphur for the sulphur-oxidizing process limit their application of bioleaching.

Bioleaching was performed on sewage sludge collected from Yuen Long wastewater treatment plant using isolated indigenous iron- and sulphur-oxidizing bacteria, with the addition of iron sulphate and sulphur as energy source in the bioleaching, respectively. The mixtures were shaken continuously in an incubator at 28°C for 16 days and samples were taken at 2 days interval for pH, ORP, Cu, Cr and Zn determination. Finally, the metal speciation, total nitrogen, total phosphorus and organic matter contents in the decontaminated sludge were also measured.

The results showed that both the iron-oxidizing process (with the addition of iron sulphate and inoculation of iron-oxidizing bacteria) and the sulphur-oxidizing process (with addition of sulphur and inoculation of isolated sulphur-oxidizing bacteria) were effective in removing heavy metals from sludge at its indigenous pH without the addition of sulphuric acid. In the iron-oxidizing process, 4 g L⁻¹ iron sulphate was enough for obtaining maximum Zn and Cu removal, increasing iron sulphate concentration of 8 g L⁻¹ did not show any added advantages on solubilization of these two metals at an 15% (v/v) inoculation of isolated indigenous iron-oxidizing bacteria. A high inoculation rate of 15% (v/v) isolated iron-oxidizing bacteria gave the fastest rate of metal removal efficiency at each of the concentration of iron sulphate added. From the present study, the optimum condition was 15% inoculation of iron-oxidizing bacteria receiving 4 g L⁻¹ iron sulphate for the iron-oxidizing process and the maximum metal removal efficiencies were 99%, 86% and 52% for Zn, Cu and Cr, respectively. In the sulphur-oxidizing process, an increase in sulphur concentration from 0.375% to 1.5% resulted in an increase in bioleaching efficiency. At a sulphur concentration of 0.375% required a high inoculation of 15% of isolated indigenous sulphur-oxidizing bacteria in order to reduce the pH to < 2. However, at a high sulphur concentration of 1.5% (v/v) inoculation volume did not have any significant effect on bioleaching efficiency, but had a 2-day earlier kick-start for Cu solubilization. The optimization experiment showed that 15% inoculation of sulphur-oxidizing bacteria receiving 0.75% sulphur achieved 100% of Zn, 88% of Cu, and 43% of Cr removal efficiencies. At the optimum condition, iron-oxidizing process showed a (2 days) faster bioleaching reaction rate in terms of pH reduction and Cr and Cu removal rate was (4-6 days) faster than the sulphur-oxidizing process. Nevertheless, the total Cu removal efficiency in the iron-oxidizing process was 20% lower than that of the sulphur-oxidizing process.

To further improve the metal removal efficiency, the feasibility of co-inoculation of iron- and sulphur-oxidizing bacteria for bioleaching was investigated. The results of co-inoculation experiment showed a significant enhancement on metal removal rate in the sulphur-oxidizing process. The co-inoculation of iron-oxidizing bacteria with sulphur-oxidizing bacteria could shorten the bioleaching time from 12 days to 6 days and 6 days to 2 days for ~50% Cr and ~70% Cu solubilization in the sulphur-oxidizing process, respectively, as compared to single inoculation of

sulphur-oxidizing bacteria. However co-inoculation in the sulphur-oxidizing process required longer time to achieve the same level of metal removal efficiency as compared to its counterpart in the iron-oxidizing process. Other than the rate of metal solubilization, co-inoculation of iron- and sulphur-oxidizing bacteria also improved Cr removal efficiency in both bioleaching processes. The experimental results suggested the beneficial effect of both indigenous iron- and sulphur-oxidizing bacteria in the bioleaching process. Therefore, further work will be needed to evaluate whether the co-addition of iron sulphate and sulphur as energy source in both bioleaching processes will increase the leaching efficiency.

The metal speciation experiment showed that bioleaching caused a significant reduction of Zn, Cu and Cr in the decontaminated sludge which mostly existed in the less available (residual) fraction except Cu in the iron-oxidizing process. The addition of iron sulphate led to the production of jarosite in the iron-oxidizing process and as a result, Cu in the sludge solids was found mainly present in the Fe-Mn oxide phase. Bioleaching also caused a significant loss in nutrients i.e., 21-48% total nitrogen and 31-60% total phosphorous, but the sulphur-oxidizing process resulted in a higher loss of nutrients than the iron-oxidizing process because of the lower pH achieved.

The present study indicated that bioleaching using the iron-oxidizing process receiving 4 g L^{-1} iron sulphate and 15% inoculation of isolated indigenous bacteria could operate without pre-acidification of sludge and it took a shorter bioleaching time of 2 days and on 10 days to achieve the maximum Zn and Cu removal efficiency as compared to the sulphur-oxidizing process with either a single inoculation of sulphur-oxidizing bacteria or co-inoculation of isolated iron- and sulphur-oxidizing bacteria. At the same time, the higher remaining nitrogen and phosphorus concentration in the decontaminated sludge of the iron-oxidizing process made this approach more superior than the sulphur-oxidizing process. However, the impact of biological factors such as the change of *Thiobacillus* population in the simultaneous chemical and biological iron-oxidizing process is still not clear. Therefore, further research is needed to determine the microbial population dynamics during the bioleaching process. The understanding of correlation between the microbial population and metal leaching efficiency will give an indication of the mechanism responsible for the removal of heavy metals which may lead to further improvement of the bioleaching efficiency.

Table of Contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgment	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Table	xii
List of Figures	xiv
List of Plates	xxi
List of Appendices	xxii
Section I Background	
Chapter 1 Aim and objectives	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Objectives and Outline of the Present Study	2
1.3 Layout of Thesis	5
Chapter 2 Literature Review- Bioleaching of Removing Heavy Metals from Sewage Sludge	6
2.1 General introduction	6
2.2 Characteristics of Anaerobically Digested Sludge	6
2.2.1 Chemical Characteristics	6
2.2.1.1 Solids	7
2.2.1.2 Nutrient Contents in Digested Sludge	8
2.3 Heavy Metals in Sludge	9
2.3.1 Introduction	9
2.3.2 Chemical Forms of Heavy Metals in Anaerobically Digested Sludge	10

2.4	The Impact of Application of Metal Enriched Sludge on Land	15
2.5	Guidelines for Heavy Metal Contents in Sludge Use for Agriculture	17
2.6	Removal of Heavy Metals From Sewage Sludge	19
2.6.1	Introduction	19
2.6.2	Theory of Metal Solubilization from Sludge	19
2.6.3	Chemical Methods	21
2.6.4	Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from Sewage Sludge	25
2.6.4.1	Mechanisms	25
2.6.4.2	Physiology of Metal Leaching Bacteria	27
2.6.4.3	Microorganisms Involved in Bioleaching	29
2.6.5	Development of Bioleaching	31
2.6.6	Effect of Process Parameters	34
2.6.6.1	Oxidation- Reduction Potential (ORP)	35
2.6.6.2	Solids Concentration	36
2.6.6.3	Metal Speciation and Concentration	37
2.6.6.4	Effect of Temperature	40
2.6.6.5	Effect of Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen	41
2.7	Recovery of Metal from Leached Sludge	43
2.8	Conclusion	44

Section II Preparation of sludge and indigenous bacteria

Chapter 3	Sludge Characterization and Isolation of Indigenous Iron- and Sulphur- Oxidizing Bacteria	46
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Materials and Methods	47
3.2.1	Sewage Sludge Sample Collection and Characteristics	47
3.2.2	Isolation of Iron- and Sulphur- Oxidizing Bacteria	47

3.2.3	Growth Characterization of Iron-Oxidizing Bacteria	48
3.2.4	Growth Characterization of Sulphur-Oxidizing Bacteria	49
3.2.5	Statistical Analysis	50
3.3	Results and Discussion	50
3.3.1	Physicochemical Properties of Sewage Sludge	50
3.3.2	Characteristics of Isolated Iron- and Sulphur-Oxidizing Bacteria	51
3.3.3	Growth Characteristics of Isolated Indigenous Iron-Oxidizing Bacteria	54
3.3.3.1	Effect of Initial pH	54
3.3.3.2	Effect of Iron Concentration	56
3.3.3.3	Effect of Inoculum Ratios	57
3.3.4	Growth Characteristics of Isolated Indigenous Sulphur-Oxidizing Bacteria	58
3.3.4.1	Effect of Sulphur Concentration	58
3.3.4.2	Effect of Shaking Speed	60
3.3.4.3	Effect of Inoculum Ratios	61
3.4	Conclusion	62
Section III Bioleaching Studies		
Chapter 4 pH Requirement for the Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from Anaerobically Digested Wastewater sSludge		63
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Materials and Methods	63
4.2.1	Bacterial Medium and Culture Conditions	63
4.2.2	Bioleaching Experiment	64
4.2.3	Statistical Analysis	64

4.3	Results and Discussion	65
4.3.1	Effect of Initial pH on the Change of pH and ORP	65
4.3.2	Effect of Initial pH on the Bioleaching of Heavy Metal from Sludge	67
4.4	Conclusions	72
Chapter 5 Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from Anaerobically Digested Sludge Using Locally Isolated Indigenous Iron-Oxidizing Bacteria		73
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Materials and Methods	74
5.2.1	Bioleaching Experiment	74
5.2.2	Sampling and Chemical Analysis	75
5.2.3	Statistical Analysis	76
5.3	Results and Discussion	76
5.3.1	Feasibility of Using Isolated Indigenous Iron-Oxidizing Bacteria for Bioleaching	76
5.3.2	Effect of Energy Source and Indigenous Bacteria	82
5.3.2.1	Effect of Energy Source on Bioleaching	83
5.3.2.2	Effect of Indigenous Bacteria	90
5.3.3	Optimization of Bioleaching Conditions	94
5.3.3.1	Change in pH and ORP	94
5.3.3.2	Metal Solubilization	101
5.4	Conclusion	114
Chapter 6 Feasibility of Using Isolated Indigenous Sulphur-Oxidizing Bacteria for Bioleaching of Sewage Sludge		116

6.1	Introduction	116
6.2	Materials and Methods	
6.2.1	Bacterial Medium and Culture Conditions	117
6.2.2	Bioleaching Experiment	117
6.2.3	Sampling and Chemical Analysis	119
6.2.2	Statistical Analysis	119
6.3	Results and Discussion	120
6.3.1	Feasibility Study of Using Isolated Indigenous Sulphur- Oxidizing Bacteria for Bioleaching	120
6.3.2	Effect of Energy Sources and Indigenous Bacteria on Bioleaching	125
6.3.2.1	Effect of Energy Source	125
6.3.2.2	Effect of Indigenous Bacteria	128
6.3.3	Optimization of Bioleaching Conditions	132
6.3.3.1	Change in pH and ORP	132
6.3.3.2	Metal Solubilization	141
6.4	Conclusion	153
Chapter 7 Co-inoculation of isolated indigenous iron- and sulphur- oxidizing bacteria for bioleaching		154
7.1	Introduction	154
7.2	Materials and methods	155
7.2.1	Bioleaching Experiment	155
7.2.2	Statistical Analysis	156
7.3	Results and Discussion	156
7.3.1	Iron-Oxidizing Process	156
7.3.1.1	Change in pH and ORP	156

7.3.1.2	Metal Solubilization	159
7.3.2	Sulphur-Oxidizing Process	162
7.3.2.1	Change in pH and ORP	162
7.3.2.2	Metal Solubilization	164
7.4	Conclusion	171

Section IV Characteristics of Decontaminated Sludge

Chapter 8	Heavy Metal Speciation and Nutrient Content in Decontaminated Sludge	172
8.1	Introduction	172
8.2	Materials and Methods	173
8.2.1	Sample Preparation	
8.2.2	Sequential Extraction for Metal Speciation	173
8.2.3	Determination of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Content	174
8.2.4	Total Organic Matter (Volatile Solids)	175
8.3	Results and Discussion	175
8.3.1	Zn Speciation	175
8.3.2	Cu Speciation	177
8.3.3	Cr Speciation	180
8.3.4	Effect of bioleaching on the Nutrient Content of Decontaminated Sludge	182
8.4	Conclusion	185

Section V General Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations

Chapter 9	General Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations	187
9.1	Introduction	187

9.2	The Feasibility of Using Isolated Iron- and Sulphur-Oxidizing Bacteria on Bioleaching	187
9.3	Properties of Decontaminated Sludge	190
9.4	Further Recommendations	190
	References	193
	Appendices	215
	Curriculum Vitae	247