

## DOCTORAL THESIS

### A particle-set distributed hydrological model for the dynamic simulation of surface runoff

Zhang, Fangli

*Date of Award:*  
2017

[Link to publication](#)

#### General rights

Copyright and intellectual property rights for the publications made accessible in HKBU Scholars are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. In addition to the restrictions prescribed by the Copyright Ordinance of Hong Kong, all users and readers must also observe the following terms of use:

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from HKBU Scholars for the purpose of private study or research
- Users cannot further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- To share publications in HKBU Scholars with others, users are welcome to freely distribute the permanent URL assigned to the publication

## ABSTRACT

This study proposed a particle-set distributed hydrological model for the dynamic simulation of rainfall-runoff process. With the supports of remote sensing, GIS, terrain analysis and distributed computing techniques, a representation-simplified and physically-based high-performance watershed framework has been developed. It simplifies the underlying watershed with a flow path network model, and represents the moving surface flow with independent runoff particles.

The original idea was to investigate a real-time modeling system for the space-time dynamics of increasingly frequent extreme rainfall events. Short-term heavy rains may cause further damages by spawning floods and landslides. It is quite essential to understand how the rainfall water moves across the watershed surface as early as possible. A modelling system with high-performance in simulation efficiency and space-time prediction accuracy would be very desirable.

Watershed modeling is the primary way to explore the hydrological cycle at a local scale. Existing models are classified as empirical lumped, conceptual semi-distributed and physically-based distributed models. The first two types of models have focused more on predicting outlet discharges rather than estimating spatiotemporal flow dynamics. The application of physically-based models has always been hampered by some common shortcomings like over-parameterization, inflexibility and computational burden. With the increasing support from terrain analysis and parallel computing techniques, a number of previous studies have made some efforts to improve the performance in dynamic and real-time simulation. However, research gaps still exist in realistic representation, physical description and real-time simulation.

This study, therefore, developed the particle-set modeling system on the basis of flow path network model. This one-dimensional topological structure was created beforehand to represent the three-dimensional watershed, and a series of particle beams were dynamically generated to simulate the surface flow. Under the

control of flow velocities, these runoff particles would keep on moving along with the flow paths, which can represent the spatial distributions of surface water in time.

To validate the proposed particle-set framework, a prototype of particle-set system was implemented by programming methods with the assistance of third-party platforms. Three experiments were undertaken to respectively evaluate the performance in prediction accuracy, simulation efficiency and parameter sensitivity. More specifically, a total of 10 rainfall events and up to 128 computer processors were tested. In addition, the influences of underlying spatial scale and source sampling density on hydrological responses were explored with comparative tests.

The accuracy validation comes in two parts, the representation loss in terrain analysis, and the discharge error in hydrological modeling. The experimental results indicate that the TIN-based flow path network has maintained the terrain features at a very high level with much less data storage, and the particle-set framework has achieved quite acceptable predictions of outlet discharges. Besides, the efficiency evaluation concerns with two aspects, parallel portion and parallel efficiency. The speed-up results indicate that about 99% of the computational workloads can be computed in parallel, and the particle-based scheme can achieve almost the ideal parallel efficiency. In addition, the sensitivity test focuses also on two parameters, underlying spatial scale and source sampling density. The preliminary results show that the particle-set model has shown a good reliability and stability as scale gets coarser or density becomes sparser.

This study will contribute to the understanding of short-term rainfall-runoff events at a basin scale. The particle-set distributed hydrological model has been proven to provide real-time spatio-temporal dynamics of surface flow. Further studies would still be required to apply it to real world scenarios.

**Keywords:** terrain analysis, watershed hydrology, rainfall-runoff process, flow path network, particle system, parallel computing

# Table of Contents

<b>DECLARATION .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Hydrological Cycle .....	1
1.2 Rainfall-runoff Event.....	2
1.3 Watershed Process Modeling.....	4
1.4 Scientific Questions .....	5
1.5 Research Objectives.....	6
1.6 Outline of Thesis.....	8
<b>Chapter 2 Literature Review .....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Watershed Process Mechanisms .....	11
2.1.1 Hydrologic principles of watershed processes.....	11
2.1.2 Routing algorithms for surface runoff .....	16
2.1.3 Hydraulic principles of flow concentration .....	22
2.2 Development History of Watershed Models .....	26
2.2.1 Brief history .....	26
2.2.2 Evolutionary trends.....	30
2.2.3 Current state .....	40
2.3 Next Generation Model .....	48
2.3.1 Further requirements.....	48

2.3.2	Technical supports .....	52
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>The Particle-set Framework .....</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Overall Conceptual Framework.....	63
3.1.1	Phase of terrain analysis (T-phase).....	64
3.1.2	Phase of hydrologic modeling (H-phase) .....	66
3.2	Detailed Model Structure.....	67
3.2.1	Drainage-constrained triangulation (T1) .....	67
3.2.2	Grid-constrained random sampling (T2) .....	77
3.2.3	Vector-based flow path tracking (T3).....	80
3.2.4	Estimation of flow generation (H1) .....	84
3.2.5	Generation of runoff particles (H2) .....	87
3.2.6	Upstream-to-downstream movements (H3).....	89
3.2.7	Evaporation and transpiration (ET) .....	92
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Integrated System Implementation .....</b>	<b>94</b>
4.1	Data Requirements and Input/Output Files .....	94
4.1.1	Data requirements for watershed modeling.....	94
4.1.2	Input and output files in particle-set system .....	94
4.2	Data Processing and Modeling Tools .....	101
4.2.1	Terrain analysis tools (ArcGIS).....	101
4.2.2	Flow generation tool (ArcSWAT) .....	102
4.2.3	Runoff routing platform (particle-set system) .....	103
4.3	High-performance Computing Implementation.....	107
4.3.1	Distributed cluster environment.....	107
4.3.2	Message Passing Interface (MPI) .....	108

4.3.3	Particle-based parallel computing.....	109
4.4	Model Parameters and Data Calibration.....	110
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Study Area and Data Preprocessing .....</b>	<b>112</b>
5.1	Study Area and Data Collection .....	112
5.1.1	Kaidu River in Central Asia.....	113
5.1.2	Peacheater Creek in North America .....	115
5.1.3	Watershed Data Collection .....	116
5.2	Experimental Plan of Model Evaluation.....	123
5.2.1	Assessment of terrain accuracy (T-phase) .....	124
5.2.2	Assessment of prediction accuracy (H-phase).....	126
5.2.3	Efficiency of runoff simulation (H-phase).....	128
5.2.4	Influences of spatial scale and sampling density .....	130
5.3	Data Preprocessing Procedures.....	130
5.3.1	Creation of flow path networks (topographical).....	131
5.3.2	Flow production capacity (geographical) .....	132
5.3.3	Daily water balance (meteorological).....	136
5.3.4	Spatial and temporal disaggregation of flow (hydrological) .....	139
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Accuracy Validation .....</b>	<b>140</b>
6.1	Experiment of Terrain Accuracy (T-phase).....	140
6.1.1	Comparisons of elevation error between terrain models .....	140
6.1.2	Comparisons of feature retention between terrain models .....	144
6.2	Experiment of Prediction Accuracy (H-phase).....	147
6.2.1	Comparisons of terrain accuracy .....	147
6.2.2	Prediction accuracy of runoff routing.....	148

6.3	Results Analysis and Discussion .....	153
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Efficiency Evaluation.....</b>	<b>154</b>
7.1	Parallel Simulation Platform.....	154
7.1.1	Platform structure .....	154
7.1.2	Platform deployment.....	155
7.2	Experiment of Simulation Efficiency .....	156
7.2.1	Experimental plan of parallel computing.....	156
7.2.2	Examples of runoff routing results .....	157
7.3	Results Analysis and Discussion .....	158
7.3.1	Computational time costs and workloads .....	158
7.3.2	Parallel portion and parallel efficiency.....	159
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>Sensitivity Analysis .....</b>	<b>162</b>
8.1	Scale Effects and Density Impacts.....	162
8.1.1	Multi-scale drainage-constrained TINs .....	163
8.1.2	Multi-density random starting points.....	165
8.1.3	Multi-scale and multi-density flow path networks .....	165
8.2	Influences of Spatial Scale and Sampling Density .....	167
8.2.1	Comparisons of error in elevation distribution .....	167
8.2.2	Comparisons of flow length and time to outlet.....	169
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>173</b>
9.1	Comprehensive Analysis of Experimental Results.....	173
9.1.1	Accuracy performance .....	173
9.1.2	Efficiency performance.....	174

9.1.3	Sensitivity analysis .....	175
9.2	Systematic Responses to Research Objectives .....	175
9.2.1	Simplified representation of terrain surface.....	176
9.2.2	Physical description of watershed response.....	176
9.2.3	Parallel computation of dynamic process .....	177
9.3	Summative Discussion on Main Contributions .....	178
9.3.1	Realistic framework for watershed modeling.....	178
9.3.2	Efficient simulation platform for runoff routing.....	178
9.4	Critical Evaluation of Particle-set Framework .....	179
9.4.1	Hydrological and hydraulic supports.....	179
9.4.2	Field validation and performance comparison.....	180
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>Conclusion and Outlook .....</b>	<b>181</b>
10.1	Summary of Research Work.....	181
10.2	Contributions to Knowledge.....	183
10.3	Further Works for Improvements .....	187
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>189</b>
	<b>APPENDIX - The Particle-set System .....</b>	<b>199</b>
	<b>PUBLICATIONS .....</b>	<b>205</b>
	<b>CURRICULUM VITAE.....</b>	<b>206</b>